

2017-12-26

INTEGRATING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EROSION VULNERABLE AREA: THE CASE OF JABI TEHINAN WOREDA, WEST GOJJAM ZONE, AMHARA REGION.

Abebe, Mengaw

<http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/8313>

Downloaded from DSpace Repository, DSpace Institution's institutional repository



**INTEGRATING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING
TECHNIQUES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF
EROSION VULNERABLE AREA: THE CASE
OF JABI TEHINAN WOREDA, WEST GOJJAM
ZONE, AMHARA REGION.**

By

Abebe Mengaw Wubie
(abe_mis@yahoo.com)



DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE
BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY

June, 2010

016

INTEGRATING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING
TECHNIQUES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EROSION
VULNERABLE AREA: THE CASE OF JABI TEHINAN
WOREDA, WEST GOJJAM ZONE, AMHARA REGION.

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science in Geo-Information System

By
Abebe Mengaw Wubie
(abe_gis@yahoo.com)

Advisor
Ferede Zewdu (Assistant Professor)

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE
BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY

June, 2010



The thesis titled "INTEGRATING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF EROSION VULNERABLE AREA: The Case of Jab: Tefnan Woreda, West Gojjam Zone, Amhara Region." by **Mr. Abebe Mengaw** is approved for the degree of Master of Science in Geo-Information System.

Board of Examiners

	Name	Signature
Advisor	Ferede Zewdu (Assistant Professor)	<hr/>
External Examiner	Dr. Yihenew G. Selassie	 <hr/>
Internal Examiner	Dr. Dharmendra Kumar Dubc (Assistant Professor)	 <hr/>

Date: June. 13. 2010

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, glory to the Almighty God who willing to me health and strength to complete the entire requirements and finalize the necessary thesis work successfully.

I am greatly express my deepest gratitude and indebtedness to my advisor Ferede Zewdu (Assistant Professor.), Lecturer, Department of Geography and Environmental Studies in Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, for his unlimited support, advise and insightful comments in the preparation of this research work.

I am also express my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. Birru Yitafere, Directorate of water and soil conservation in Amhara Regional Agricultural Research Institute Bureau, for his real hospitability and giving valuable comments, encouragement and kind cooperation to finish this thesis in time appropriately.

I am also thankful to Amhara National Regional State-Finance and Economic Development Bureau, Agriculture and Rural Development Bureau, Amhara Regional Agricultural Research Institute Bureau and Bureau of Statistics for giving all the necessary data. And also my gratitude extends to Bahir Dar University for its accessible internet service and documentations. Further my special acknowledgement goes to Mizan-Tepi University for giving me the chance to enjoy my graduate studies.

I am greatly indebted to all my family members Mengaw Wubie, Netsuh Ejigu, Teyeku Wubie, Gubaye Ejigu and all my brothers and sisters for their supportive nature through my life. And also I am very grateful to all my best friends especially to Dawit Tesega and Alemayehu Tefera for their encouragement and necessary support in different corners.

Finally, I dedicate this thesis to my father Mengaw Wubie, My mother Netsuh Ejigu, my sister Selamawit Mengaw and my lovely friend Titi Abate.

Abebe Mengaw

Contents	Page
Acknowledgements.....	i
Table of Content.....	ii
List of Tables.....	vi
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Abbreviations.....	viii
Abstract.....	ix
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem.....	2
1.3. Objectives of the Study.....	4
General Objective.....	4
Specific Objectives.....	4
1.4. Research Questions.....	5
1.5. Significance of the Study.....	5
1.6. Delimitation of the Study.....	5
1.7. Limitation of the Study.....	6
CHAPTER TWO.....	7
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE.....	7
2.1. Concepts of Vulnerability.....	7
2.2. Vulnerability Research.....	7
2.3. Vulnerability Analysis/Assessment.....	8
2.4. GIS in Erosion Vulnerability Modeling.....	9
2.5. Erosion Model.....	10
2.5. 1. Empirical Models.....	11
2.5. 2. Conceptual Model.....	11
2.5. 3. Physically-Based Model.....	12
2.6. Erosion in the World: Concept, Trend and Figures.....	12

2.7. Global Socio –Economic Effects of Soil Erosion.....	14
2.8. Erosion and Land Degradation in Highlands of Ethiopia.....	14
2.9. Erosion Mechanisms and Processes.....	14
2.10. Major Factors of Soil Erosion.....	15
2.10.1. Topography (Slope and Elevation).....	15
2.10.2. Soil Type.....	16
2.10.3. Land Uses Type.....	17
2.10.4. Land Cover.....	18
2.10.5. Drainage Pattern (Morphology of Basin).....	18
2.10.6. Rainfall.....	19
2.10.7. Population Pressure/Density.....	19
2.11. Concept and Application of GIS and Remote Sensing in Hazard Mapping.....	20
CHAPTER THREE.....	21
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	21
3.1. Descriptions of the Study Area.....	21
3.1.1. Location.....	21
3.1.2. Climate.....	22
3.1.2.1. Temperature.....	22
3.1.2.2. Rainfall.....	23
3.1.3. Topography.....	23
3.1.4. Soil Type.....	23
3.1.5. Drainage Pattern.....	24
3.1.6. Geology.....	25
3.1.7. Land use/ Land cover.....	26
3.1.8. Population.....	26
3.2. Methodology.....	27
3.2.1. Source of Data.....	27
3.2.2. Measurements/Variables.....	27
3.2.3. Methods of Data Collection.....	29
3.2.3.1. Field work (Training Sample Selection).....	29

3.2.4. Data Type and Materials (soft wares) used.....	30
3.2.5. Geodatabase Design.....	32
3.2.6. Methodology for Quantifying Soil Loss Using RUSLE.....	34
3.3. Data Analysis and Derivations of Model Parameters	35
3.3.1. Analysis of Land Use/Land Cover Classification.....	35
3.3.1.1. Image Rectification and Restoration (Pre-processing).....	35
3.3.1.2. Image Enhancement.....	36
3.3.1.3. Image Classification.....	36
3.3.1.4. Accuracy Assessment.....	37
3.3.1.5. Dynamics of Land Use/Land Cover Structure.....	38
3.3.2. Analysis of Topographic Factor (Elevation and Slope).....	38
3.3.2.1. Digital Elevation Model (DEM).....	38
3.3.2.2. Slope Factor.....	38
3.3.3. Analysis of Soil Factor.....	38
3.3.4. Analysis of Drainage Pattern.....	39
3.3.5. Analysis of Rainfall Factor.....	39
3.3.6. Multi-Criteria Evaluation.....	39
3.3.7. Weighted Overlay.....	41
3.4. Analysis and Derivation of RUSLE Parameters.....	43
3.4.1. Rainfall Erosivity Factor (R).....	43
3.4.2. Soil Erodability Factor (K).....	45
3.4.3. Topographic Factor (LS Factor).....	46
3.4.4. Cover Management Factor (C).....	48
3.4.5. Conservation Practice Factor (P factor).....	49
CHAPTER FOUR.....	51
RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS.....	51
4.1. Erosion vulnerability Distribution.....	51
4.1.1. Erosion Vulnerability in terms of Land Use/Land Cover.....	51
4.1.2. Erosion Vulnerability in terms of Topography.....	55
4.1.3. Erosion Vulnerability in terms of Soil Type.....	57

4.1.4. Erosion Vulnerability in terms of Drainage Pattern.....	59
4.1.5. Erosion Vulnerability in terms of Rainfall Distribution.....	60
4.2. Erosion Vulnerability Model Result.....	62
4.2.1. Pairwise Comparison Matrix or MCE Result.....	62
4.2.2. Weighted Erosion Vulnerability Map.....	63
4.3. Results of RUSLE Spatial Soil Loss Assessment.....	66
4.4. Comparison of Erosion Vulnerability Map and Rate of Estimated Soil Loss.....	67
CHAPTER FIVE.....	68
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	68
5.1. Conclusion.....	68
5.2. Recommendation.....	69
Reference.....	70
Appendix I.....	76
Appendix II.....	78
Appendix III.....	79

List of Tables

Table.3.1: Data type and materials of the study.....	30
Table.3.2: Confusion matrix of accuracy assessment for 2003 land use/land cover supervised image classification.....	37
Table.3.3: Continuous rating scale.....	40
Table.3.4: Mean annual rainfall and erosivity factor (R) result.....	44
Table.3.5: Soil color and its value to estimate soil erodibility.....	45
Table.3.6: Soil types with their corresponding K values.....	45
Table.3.7: The land use/ land cover classes and their corresponding C- values.....	48
Table.4.1: Comparative land use/ land cover (1986 & 2003) and its correspondence values of vulnerability.....	54
Table.4.2: Slope range and its correspondence to erosion vulnerability.....	57
Table.4.3: Soil type and its correspondence of vulnerability in percentile.....	59
Table.4.4: Model parameters and their percent of influence.....	63
Table.4.5: Summary percentile values of overall vulnerability classes.....	65

List of Figures

Fig.3.1: Location of the study area.....	21
Fig.3.2: Maximum and minimum monthly temperature of Jabi Tehinan Woreda.....	22
Fig.3.3: Mean monthly rainfall of Jabi Tehinan Woreda.....	23
Fig.3.4: Major soil distribution map of Jabi Tehinan Woreda.....	24
Fig.3.5: Drainage pattern of Jabi Tehinan Woreda.....	24
Fig.3.6: Geological map of Jabi Tehinan Woreda.....	25
Fig.3.7: Flow chart showing the basic methodological approach adopted for the study.....	31
Fig.3.8: Personal geodatabase schema.....	33
Fig.3.9: Flow chart showing the methodology adopted for soil loss estimation.....	34
Fig.3.10: Pairwise comparison matrix of AHP weight derivation.....	40
Fig.3.11: Erosion vulnerability evaluation model computed in ArcGIS	42
Fig.3.12: Mean annual rainfall and erosivity factor map (R_value).....	44
Fig.3.13: Soil type and derivated soil erodability factor (K_value).....	46
Fig.3.14: Topographic factor (LS_factor) map.....	47
Fig.3.15: Cover management factor (C-value).....	49
Fig.3.16: Conservation practice factor (p-value).....	50
Fig.4.1: Land use/land cover map of Jabi Tehinan Woreda 1986 & 2003.....	51
Fig.4.2: Comparative erosion vulnerability classes in terms of LULC of 1986 & 2003.....	52
Fig.4.3: Erosion vulnerability in terms of slope gradient.....	56
Fig.4.4: Erosion vulnerability in terms of soil type.....	58
Fig.4.5: Erosion vulnerability map in terms of drainage pattern.....	60
Fig.4.6: Erosion vulnerability in terms of rainfall distribution.....	61
Fig.4.7: Pairwise comparison matrix and resulting rating scale or weights of influence of each parameter.....	62
Fig.4.8: Result of the wightage influence of each model parameters.....	62
Fig.4.9: Weighted erosion vulnerability model and map result.....	64
Fig.4.10: Estimated soil loss hazard map.....	67

List of Abbreviations

FAO- Food and Agricultural Organization

SCR- Soil Conservation Research Programme

ANRS- Amhara National Regional State

MOARD- Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development

SRTM- Shuttle Radar Topography Mission

NDVI- Normalized Different Vegetation Index

DEM- Digital Elevation Model

GPS- Global Positioning System

GCP- Ground Control Point

GIS- Geographic Information System

RS- Remote Sensing

NRM- Natural Resource Management

GMOE- Geological Map of Ethiopia

LULC- Land use/ Land cover

EWG- Expert Working Group

UNU- EHS-United Nations University Institute of Environment and Human Security

MCE- Multi-Criteria Evaluation

MCA- Multi-Criteria Analysis

AHP- Analytical Hierarchy Process

WEPP- Water Erosion Prediction Project

EUROSEM- European Soil Erosion Model

UNEP- The United Nations Environmental Programme

m.a.s.l- Meters Above Sea Level

RDBMS- Relational Database Management System

PESERA- Pan European Soil Erosion Risk Assessment

Abstract

Soil degradation in the form of soil erosion is a serious and continuous environmental problem in Jabi Tehinan Woreda. Uncontrolled land use, deforestation, land over cultivation, overgrazing and exploitation of biomass for firewood, construction and other household uses due to increasing population ultimately leads to severe soil erosion. The impact of natural hazards like erosion hazard can be minimized and ultimately controlled by disaster preparedness maps. Therefore, the overall objective of this paper is to identify and map erosion vulnerable areas for the planning and implementations of sustainable soil conservation and management system in the study area.

This study had integrated GIS, RS and MCE models to assess and map erosion vulnerable areas and RUSLE model to quantify an estimated soil loss in Jabi Tehinan Woreda. Slope gradient, soil type, LULC, rainfall and drainage pattern were used as input model parameters/variables. The data had been collected and analyzed from different land sat imageries, SRTM data, topomaps and point interpolations of primary data. Finally the aggregated effects of all parameters had been analyzed and priority rating of erosion vulnerable area was calculated using weighted overlay techniques.

After analyzing all model parameters, areas in steeper slope with Lithosols, Eutric Nitosols, Orthic Luvisols, croplands, bare lands and river banks have been identified as the most erosion vulnerable areas. Quantitatively, 3,644 ha (3.1%), 12,867.8 ha (11%), and 33,995.5 ha (29%) of the entire land is endangered to extremely vulnerable, highly vulnerable and vulnerable areas respectively. The remaining almost half 59,310.7 ha (50.7%) and 7,165.5 ha (6.2%) of the land is explained to less and very less erosion vulnerable areas respectively. In general the northern, northeastern and a little bit of the southern margins of the woreda is the most exposed or vulnerable areas to erosion. While the south and central parts of the study areas are insignificantly vulnerable to erosion by water. The estimated annual soil loss in Jabi Tehinan Woreda ranges from nearly 0 in south and central parts of the study area to 504.6 t/ha/yr in the steeply sloping mountainous areas of the north and north-eastern parts of the catchments.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Nowadays, people in developing countries are increasingly forced to use more marginal and sensitive lands for agricultural purposes in every aspect to sustain their living. These uncontrolled uses of land due to population growth ultimately leads to deforestation, land over cultivation, overgrazing and exploitation of biomass for firewood, construction and other household uses, often causing severe soil erosion.

Soil erosion is the direct result of the mismanagement of the soil by man in his effort to obtain a living from it (Gustafson, 2005). Studies reported that, cultivation without using specific control techniques and unplanned land use, such as uncontrolled urban development, deforestation and mal-agricultural practice are fundamental factors of soil erosion (Biard and Barot, 1997). It is clearly evident that the ultimate cause of erosion is human himself contributing about 60 to 80% of all soil erosion and soil degradation (McNeill, 2000). Further Gerrard (2000) stated that soil erosion by wind and water are natural processes, but enhanced by human mismanagement of the land. Due to uncontrolled and unmanageable interference of people to the land, world wide 80% of agricultural land suffers from moderate to severe erosion. Consequently, 65% of the soil in sub-Saharan Africa is said to have undergone degradation (Ludi, 2004).

Because of favourable topographical factors and strong human impact over the environment, especially the northern highlands of Ethiopia have been characterized by severe erosion. At country level, total soil loss by erosion from all land is estimated at almost 1.5 billion tons per year and on average 42 tones per hectare, of which 45% originates from croplands alone. But, in the highlands of Ethiopia, annual soil loss reaches up to 200 - 300 ton per hectare, making the total loss 23,400 million ton per year (FAO, 1984; Hurni, 1993). With the increment of such environmental problems, identification and mapping of erosion (hazard) vulnerable area has become an important discipline in the world of Geo-Information Systems.

Mapping of erosion vulnerable area using the integration of GIS, RS and MCE technique can identify areas that are at potential risk of extensive soil erosion. The formulation of proper soil management for sustainable development requires an explicit inventory and rating of vulnerable areas. This information is very useful in the decision making context to avoid land acquisition in erosion risk areas, or, alternatively, to recommend soil conservation measures to reduce soil loss if developments continue (Yusof and Baban, 1999). On the other hand, the modeling of soil movement and their consequences plays a significant role for natural resource management and/or sustainable environmental planning processes; like optimization of agricultural productivity.

Studies on erosion undertaken at spatial scales covering local to regional areas have provided both qualitative (e.g., erosion vulnerable areas) and quantitative information (e.g., soil loss rates). Methods for evaluating erosion vulnerable area on catchments or regional scales include both the application of erosion models or qualitative approximations using GIS and RS technologies.

Thus, by this study GIS and RS were employed to evaluate potential erosion vulnerable area including the determinations and prioritizations of different factors that accelerate soil erosion in the study area.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Erosion is regarded as the major and most widespread form of environmental problems in the highlands of Ethiopian. Rapid population growth, cultivation on steep slopes, rugged topographical feature, clearing of vegetation, mal-agricultural practice, overgrazing and even higher demand for firewood are the main factors that accelerate soil erosion in the area. According to Ministry of Agriculture (MOA, 1984) erosion classification algorithm, the Northwestern Highlands of Ethiopia has been categorized in to severe to moderate soil loss.

The economic implication of soil erosion is more serious in Northwestern Highlands of Ethiopia because of mainly its rugged topographical features and lack of capacity to cope

