| DSpace Institution      |                          |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| DSpace Repository       | http://dspace.org        |
| School of Public Health | Thesis and Dissertations |

2022-08-19

# Time to Develop Phlebitis and its Predictors Among Patients Admitted in Medical Ward with Peripheral Intravenous Cannula at Public Hospitals of Bahir Dar City, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 A Prospective Follow up Study

Tadios, Lidetu

http://ir.bdu.edu.et/handle/123456789/15032 Downloaded from DSpace Repository, DSpace Institution's institutional repository



# BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH SCIENCES SCHOOL OF HEALTH SCEINCES DEPARTMENT OF ADULT HEALTH NURSING

# TIME TO DEVELOP PHLEBITIS AND ITS PREDICTORS AMONG PATIENTS ADMITTED IN MEDICAL WARD WITH PERIPHERAL INTRAVENOUS CANNULA AT PUBLIC HOSPITALS OF BAHIR DAR CITY, AMHARA, ETHIOPIA, 2022 A PROSPECTIVE FOLLOW UP STUDY

BY: TADIOS LIDETU (BSC IN NURSING)

AUGUST, 2022 BAHIR DAR, ETHIOPIA Bahir Dar University College of Medicine and Health Sciences School of Health Sciences Department of Adult Health Nursing

Time to Develop Phlebitis and Predictors among Patients admitted in Medical Ward with Peripheral Intravenous Cannula at Public Hospitals of Bahir Dar City, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022: Prospective Follow up Study

A Thesis Submitted to Department of Adult Health Nursing, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bahir Dar University for the Partial Fulfillment of Master of Science Degree in Adult Health Nursing

By: Tadios Lidetu (BSc in Nursing)

Email: tadioslidetu@gmail.com

Name of Advisors

- Gebrie Yitayih (BSc, MSc, Assistant Professor in AHN) Email: <u>gebyit45@yahoo.com</u>
- 2. Alemshet Yirga (BSc, MSc, Lecturer in Surgical Nursing)

Email: alemyirga25@gmail.com

August, 2022 Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

©2022(Tadios Lidetu)

# Bahirdar University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, School of Health Sciences, Department of Adult health nursing

#### **Declaration Sheet**

Through my signature below, I declared and affirmed that this thesis is my work. I have followed all ethical principles of scholarship in the preparation, data collection, data analysis, and completion of this thesis work. All scholarly matter that was included in the thesis has been given recognition through citation. I affirmed that I have cited and referenced all sources used in this document. Every effort has been made to avoid plagiarism in the preparation of this thesis work. This thesis is submitted for partial fulfillment of a master of health science degree in Adult health nursing from college of medicine and health sciences, Bahirdar University. The thesis would be deposited in the library of college of medicine and health sciences, Bahir Dar University and would be made accessible for readers under the rules of the library. I solemnly declared that this thesis has not been submitted to any other institution anywhere for the award of any academic degree, diploma or certificate.

Student Name: Tadios Lidetu (BSc Nurse)

Signature: Date 12/12/2014

Place of submission: Department of Adult health nursing, school of health science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bahir Dar University.

Date of Submission: \_\_\_\_\_12/12/2014\_\_\_\_\_

# Bahirdar University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, School of Health Sciences, Department of Adult health nursing

#### **Advisors and Mock Examiner Approval Sheet**

I hereby certify that I had advised, supervised, and evaluated the this thesis that was entitled "Time to Develop Phlebitis and Predictors among Patients admitted in Medical Ward with Peripheral Intravenous Cannula at Public Hospitals of Bahir Dar City, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022". A Prospective Follow up Study was investigated by Tadios Lidetu with my advice, guidance, and support. Hence, I approved as this thesis can be submitted as the final thesis draft for different purposes.

| Advisors, Name                                   | Date        | Signature  |
|--|-------------|------------|
| 1. Gebrie Yitayih (BSc, MSc, Assistant professor | in AHN)     |            |
| 2. Alemshet Yirga (BSc, MSc, Lecturer in Surgica | al Nursing) | 12/12/2014 |

# Bahirdar University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, School of Health Sciences, Department of Adult health nursing

#### **Final Approval of Thesis Report Sheet**

I hereby certified that I have examined this thesis report entitled "Time to Develop Phlebitis and Predictors among Patients admitted in Medical Ward with Peripheral Intravenous Cannula at Public Hospitals of Bahir Dar City, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022". A prospective follow-up study reported By Tadios Lidetu (BSc Nurse). We have recommended and approved this thesis report for a degree of "Master of Health Science degree in Adult health nursing".

4

### **Board of Examiners**

| External examiner   |              |                    |
|---|--------------|--------------------|
| Name  | Signature    | _Date              |
| Internal examiner   | M            | 14                 |
| Dr. Worku Animaw (BSc, MSc, PhD, Associate Professor in   | Nursing)     | 12-10-11           |
| Modulator (chairperson)                                   |              |                    |
| Destaw Endeshaw (BSc, MSc, Lecturer in surgical Nursing)  | Signature Da | te 12-12-14        |
| Department head   |              | ~                  |
| Haileyesus Gedamu (BSc, MSc, Assistant professor in Alter | dat          | e <u>12-1</u> 2-14 |

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Mr. Alemshet Yirga (BSc, MSc, Lecturer in Surgical nursing), for his unwavering support on this thesis work. I want to express my gratitude to the department of adult health nursing all staff members, for their supportive contributions to this thesis work and Bahir-Dar University for the chance of learning. My heartfelt thanks go to Getnet Dessie (BSc, MSc, Assistant professor in AHN and MPH in Epidemiology) and Sr. Dagmawit Zewudu (BSc, MSc, Lecturer in AHN) for their support on this thesis through different ways.

I would like to express my thanks to patients who participated on this study and staff members (hospital director, research coordinator, ward coordinator and others) of Bahir-Dar Public hospitals for their support and good collaboration for this thesis work. Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all of my families and friends who supported me in various ways to complete this thesis.

# ABBREVIATIONS

| AAPH   | Addis Alem Primary Hospital                           |
|--------|---|
| AIDS   | Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome                   |
| BDU    | Bahir Dar University                                  |
| CI     | Confidence Interval                                   |
| CMHS   | College of Medicine and Health Sciences               |
| СРНМ   | Cox Proportional Hazards Model                        |
| CPHRM  | Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model             |
| DC     | Data Collector  |
| DVT    | Deep Vein Thrombosis                                  |
| FHCSH  | Felege Hiwot Compressive Specialized Hospital         |
| HCW    | Health Care Worker                                    |
| HR     | Hazard Ratio  |
| HIV    | Human Immune Virus                                    |
| IV     | Intravenous   |
| MRN    | Medical Registration Number                           |
| MW     | - Medical Ward  |
| РНА    | - Proportional Hazards Assumption                     |
| PIVC   | - Peripheral Intravenous Cannula                      |
| TGSCTH | Tibebe Gion Compressive Specialized Teaching Hospital |
| VIPS   | -Visual infusion phlebitis Score                      |

# TABLE OF CONTENT

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT i               |
|---------------------------------|
| ABBREVIATIONSii                 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTiii             |
| LIST OF TABLES                  |
| LIST OF FIGURES                 |
| ABSTRACT viii                   |
| 1. INTRODUCTION 1               |
| 1.1. Background 1               |
| 1.2. Statements of the problem  |
| 1.3. Significance of the study  |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW            |
| 2.1. Incidence of phlebitis     |
| 2.2. Survival time of phlebitis |
| 2.3. Factors of phlebitis       |
| 2.3.1. Sociodemographic factors |
| 2.3.2. Clinical related factors |
| 2.3.3. Cannula related factors  |
| 4. OBJECTIVES                   |
| 4.1. General objective          |
| 4.2. Specific objectives        |
| 5. METHODS AND MATERIALS 11     |
| 5.1. Study design 11            |
| 5.3. Population                 |
| 5.3.1. Source population        |
| 5.3.2. Study population         |
| 5.3.3. Study unit               |
| 5.4. Eligibility criteria       |
| 5.4.1. Inclusion criteria 12    |
| 5.4.2. Exclusion criteria 12    |
| iii                             |

| 5.5.    | San     | nple size and sampling technique                                    | . 12 |
|---------|---------|---|------|
| 5.5     | 5.1.    | Sample size determination   | . 12 |
| 5.5     | 5.2.    | Sampling techniques and procedure                                   | . 12 |
| 5.6. Va | riable  | 28  | . 14 |
| 5.6     | 5.1.    | Dependent variable  | . 14 |
| 5.6     | 5.2.    | Independent variables   | . 14 |
| 5.7.    | Ope     | erational definition  | . 14 |
| 5.8.    | Dat     | a collection questionnaires   | . 15 |
| 5.9.    | Dat     | a collection procedure  | . 15 |
| 5.10.   | Γ       | Data quality assurance and control                                  | . 16 |
| 5.11.   | Γ       | Data management and analysis  | . 16 |
| 5.12.   | E       | thical consideration  | . 17 |
| 6. RE   | ESUL    | TS  | . 18 |
| 6.1.    | Soc     | io-demographic characteristics                                      | . 18 |
| 6.2.    | Cli     | nical related characteristics                                       | . 19 |
| 6.3.    | Car     | nula related characteristics  | . 19 |
| 6.4.    | Inc     | dence of Phlebitis  | . 20 |
| 6.5.    | Sur     | vival time of Phlebitis   | . 21 |
| 6.6.    | Cor     | nparision of survival difference between categories of predictors   | . 22 |
| 6.7.    | Pro     | portional Hazards Assumption  | . 24 |
| 6.8.    | The     | Cox proportional hazards regression model adequacy test             | . 25 |
| 6.9.    | Pre     | dictors of time to develop Phlebitis                                | . 25 |
| 7. DI   | SCUS    | SSION   | . 29 |
| 8. LII  | MITA    | TION OF THE STUDY   | . 32 |
| 9. CC   | ONCL    | USION   | . 33 |
| 10. RE  | ECON    | IMENDATION  | . 34 |
| 11. RE  | EFER    | ENCE  | . 35 |
| 12. AN  | INEX    | 7<br>L  | . 43 |
| Anne    | ex- I   | Life table for time to develop Phlebitis                            | . 43 |
| Anne    | ex-II I | Median survival time and Log-rank test for categories of predictors | . 43 |

| Annex-III Graphical testing of proportional hazards assumption (log-log)   | 44 |
|--|----|
| Annex-IV Statistical test of proportional hazards assumption (global test) | 45 |
| Annex-V Bi-variable Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model              | 46 |
| Annex-VI Multi-Variable Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model          | 47 |
| Annex-VII Participants information and consent sheet English version       | 48 |
| Annex-VIII Questionnaire sheet English Version                             | 50 |
| Annex-IX Participants information and consent sheet Amharic version        | 55 |
| Annex-X Questionnaire sheet Amharic Version                                | 57 |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table-1 sample size for time to develop phlebitis and its predictors among patients admitted in    |
|--|
| medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara,    |
| Ethiopia, 2022   |
| Table-2 Socio-demographic characteristics of patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public           |
| hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462) 18   |
| Table-3 Clinical related characteristics of patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, |
| Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462) 19  |
| Table-4 Cannula related characteristics of patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals,  |
| Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)   |
| Table-5 Bi-variable and Multi-variable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis for the        |
| predictors of phlebitis among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara,       |
| Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure-1 Conceptual framework for time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among         |
|---|
| patients with cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 20229            |
| Figure-2 Sampling procedure of time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients   |
| with cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022                      |
| Figure-3 Staging and scoring of Phlebitis among patients with peripheral intravenous cannula at |
| Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)                                 |
| Figure-4 Over all Kaplan Meier survival probability curve of Phlebitis among patients with      |
| PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)                         |
| Figure-5 KM survival difference curve for cannula dressing status among patients with PIVC at   |
| Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)                                 |
| Figure-6 KM survival difference curve for fluid administration among patients with PIVC at      |
| Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)                                 |
| Figure-7 Cox Snell residual goodness of model test among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city   |
| public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)  |

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Phlebitis is the inflammation of the vein and common complication of peripheral intravenous cannula. Phlebitis leads the patient to sepsis and pulmonary embolism that increase mortality. Incidence and median survival time of phlebitis varies across the world. The incidence, median survival time and predictors of phlebitis are not well addressed in Ethiopia. Therefore, this study focuses on the time to develop and predictors of phlebitis.

**Objective:** Time to develop phlebitis and predictors among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

**Methods and Materials:** An institution based prospective follow up study was conducted at public Hospitals of Bahir Dar city and 462 patients who were selected through systematic random sampling technique. Phlebitis was identified by Jackson's Visual Infusion Phlebitis Scoring System. Cox proportional hazards regression model was used.

**Results:** In this study, 462 patients participated, of those, 171(37.01%) acquired phlebitis. The median survival time to develop phlebitis was six days. Patients in age group > 60 years were 51% times (AHR = 0.49, 95% CI 0.29-0.82) less hazardous to acquire phlebitis than age group 15-40 years. Patients with Chronic-diseases were 1.50 times (AHR = 1.50, 95% CI 1.09-2.07) more risk to acquire phlebitis as compared to patients with no chronic disease. The statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood showed that if drugs and blood administer in one vein, the hazards/risk of phlebitis increase by 2.03 times (AHR=2.03, 95% CI 1.44-2.86). Patients with inappropriate cannula dressing were 1.81 times (AHR=1.81, 95% CI 1.31-2.51) more hazardous to develop phlebitis as compared to with appropriate cannula dressing. Patients with large cannula size and cannula short dwelling time were 1.52 times (AHR = 1.52, 95% CI 1.08-2.15) and 7.39 times (AHR =7.39, 95% CI 4.12-13.32) more risk to acquire phlebitis as compared to patient with small cannula size and longer cannula dwelling time respectively.

**Conclusion and recommendation:** the incidence density rate was 8/100 persons per day observation and the median survival time were six days. Younger age, chronic disease, using one intravenous line for more than one purpose, larger cannula size, inappropriate cannula dressing and longer cannula dwelling time were found as hazard/risk factors for phlebitis. Therefore, patients with those risk factors need to get special care and follow up.

Keywords: Time to Develop, Predictors, Phlebitis, Peripheral Intravenous Cannula, Patients

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1. Background**

Post- peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis is inflammation of the vein wall and characterized by symptom and signs of pain, erythema, edema, inducation of cannulated vein, cannulated vein become palpable and pyrexia(1). Peripheral intravenous cannulation is the common procedures, which preformed through nurse professional that apply approximately on 80 percent of hospitalized patients(2). Among those patients, up to 70% develop phlebitis and the median time to develop phlebitis is four days. It is the common complication of PIVC( $\underline{3}, \underline{4}$ ).

Phlebitis caused by mechanical, chemical or bacterial origin, and it can occur in isolation or in combination with any of the other known PIVC complications(5). Mechanical phlebitis is the most common cause that results from vein trauma during cannula insertion and movement of improperly secured cannula with in the vein(4). Chemical phlebitis is cause which caused by irritating chemicals that are too alkaline or hypertonic solutions(6). Bacteria phlebitis is caused by contamination of intravenous system during cannula insertion time (6-8). To diagnosis phlebitis, the patient showed at least two of the above listed clinical presentations and the occurrence of those clinical presentations take different time (9, 10).

Phlebitis classified into stages, based on the Jackson's Visual Infusion Phlebitis Scoring System, which is an internationally adopted tool that has been tested in literature and used in clinical settings worldwide. The stages are early stage that is all of the following evidenced, pain near IV site, erythema and swelling. Medium-stage, all of the following evidenced, pain along path of cannula, erythema and induration. Advanced-stage, all of the following evidenced in addition, extensive, which are pain along path of cannula, erythema, induration and palpable venous cord. More advanced-stage, all of the following evidenced and extensive, which are pain along path of cannula, erythema, induration, palpable venous cord and pyrexia (<u>11</u>, <u>12</u>).

If cannula did not remove at the appropriate time, the risk of phlebitis is high and can lead to serious complications like septicemia and pulmonary embolism. Phlebitis damage the vein lining and make thrombus formation which resulting DVT and pulmonary embolism(<u>13</u>). Unless phlebitis can be diagnosis and manage as soon as early, the above listed complication of phlebitis increase the patent's morbidity and mortality (<u>13</u>, <u>14</u>).

#### **1.2.** Statements of the problem

Majorities of health care facilities do not practice the appropriate peripheral intravenous cannula procedure strictly (15, 16). As a result, most patients exposed to phlebitis due to the poor practice of the procedure(17). The infusion nurses society indicates that the accepted phlebitis rate should be five percent or less than five percent(11). However, still the current incidence of phlebitis is up to 70 percent following peripheral intravascular cannula use(11, 15). According to evidence, different countries have different incidence of phlebitis. The distribution of phlebitis incidence showed that in Australia 17 percent, Saudi Arabia 18 percent, Brazil 10 percent, Tunisia 52 percent, and Ethiopia 70% as evidenced by literatures (15, 18-21).

Adverse outcomes of Phlebitis embrace patient discomforts( $\underline{22}$ ,  $\underline{23}$ ). Phlebitis causes patients to stay in the hospital for extended periods of time(most of the time beyond expected days), which increases their suffering and economic burden of the patient ( $\underline{1}$ ,  $\underline{21}$ ). Phlebitis comprises systemic and local infections that can lead to pulmonary embolism, deep vein thrombosis, abscess formation, and other complications that increase the patient morbidity and mortality rates( $\underline{13}$ ). Pulmonary embolism commonly results in patients with peripheral intravenous cannula, which has a 25% mortality rate( $\underline{13}$ ,  $\underline{24}$ ).

Post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis is the most serious complication, which damages the patient's blood stream. Significantly, it increase the morbidity and mortality rates of patients in healthcare settings(15, 25). Early identification of different complications of phlebitis can facilitate the task of daily care of the nursing team. It help to produce scientific evidence to support the decision making of the nurses to minimizing the risk of phlebitis related morbidity and mortality of the patient (26, 27).

There are different factors that precipitate the occurrences of phlebitis after cannula insertion. Such as high cannula gauge size, prolonged cannula dwelling time and comorbidities are the major factors that lead the patient to risk of phlebitis (15). The physiology of the vein is affected by the cannula's size and other characteristics of the cannula, which exposes the patient to phlebitis. As a result, choosing a cannula diameter that is too large can increase the risk of phlebitis(4, 28). Proper stabilization and securing of the insertion site significantly reduce the risk of phlebitis (4, 8, 29). Medication/drugs administration increase the risk of the patients to develop phlebitis following cannula insertion(4, 29).

Different prevention and management strategies are currently being used globally to solve the challenges of phlebitis( $\underline{30}$ ). According to the guideline, if the peripheral intravenous cannula (PIVC) has been in place for more than 96 hours, it should be removed because the risk of phlebitis increases over time( $\underline{8}$ ,  $\underline{31}$ ). The entire medical staff are responsible for check-out of phlebitis symptoms and signs and acting properly as necessary( $\underline{10}$ ,  $\underline{32}$ ). Understanding the best technically advanced and evidence-based care techniques that can lower the risk of phlebitis, which ensure that nurses and nursing teams have technical-scientific understanding about peripheral intravenous cannula therapy and quality of care( $\underline{33}$ ). Nursing practitioners can use skills and clinical evidence from daily patient care to make decisions about the best peripheral intravenous cannula insertion and removal technique, to reduce the incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis( $\underline{17}$ ).

Medical professional can prevent phlebitis and lengthen the lifespan of the cannula, through doing basic things, like using gloves, fully cleaning their hands, and thoroughly cleaning the patient's skin before inserting the cannula(34). After insertion, the cannula should be wrapped to stop movement in the vein lumen that could result in mechanical phlebitis(34, 35). Good clinical practice must be followed when administering intravenous drugs, starting with the reconstitution and drawing up of the medication. When administering the medication, standard of care must be observed with frequent and special care of patients with peripheral intravenous cannula therapy, unless using a cannula increases the risk of phlebitis(35, 36).

Despite the different phlebitis prevention and management strategies that are practice currently, the complication of post peripheral intravenous cannula (phlebitis) is depends on several risk factors. Still phlebitis is a serious problem in clinical settings, which has a high incidence (up to 70 percent)(15, 37). There are no enough studies on the incidence of phlebitis and identification of the preventive and the risk factors of post-cannula phlebitis, which are paramount to design proper care practice of peripheral intravenous cannula at health care facilities level. In Ethiopia, the incidence and predictors of phlebitis are still not well addressed. Therefore, this study was aimed to determine the incidence and identify predictors of phlebitis among patients with peripheral intravenous cannula.

#### **1.3.** Significance of the study

This study brought very important findings from its particular area on incidence, time to occur and predictors of phlebitis. The finding was important for patients who participated in this study, through early detection of symptom and signs of phlebitis and recommendation for medical professionals to take the appropriate management.

The finding provided that crucial information for healthcare professionals on the incidence of phlebitis, the timing of its onset, and the risk and preventive factors of phlebitis. Based on the aforementioned information, healthcare professionals give care for patients with peripheral intravenous cannulas in an appropriate manner to prevent phlebitis.

Managers of the health facilities would use the finding during the resource allocation plan that would help to improve the quality of care, through using the available and appropriate resources. Moreover, the results would serve as a reference for other researchers working in the field.

#### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1. Incidence of phlebitis

Globally, the incidences of phlebitis are different from region to region, due to different sociodemographic and other characteristics (8, 38). Study conducted at a global level, 70 percent of peripheral intravenous cannulas primarily use for intravenous medication administration. Among these, 70 percent of peripheral intravenous cannulas, two-thirds of cannulas placed in non-recommended sites such as the back of hand, hand of wrist and antecubital veins(18, 38). Even though, there is wide regional variation of cannula insertion procedure, most cannula-insertion procedures, which is up to 71 percent performed through Nurse Professionals(38). Studies conducted as a global level showed that the incidence of peripheral intravenous cannula related with phlebitis was 14 percent(18, 39). A randomized controlled trial study conducted in Australia showed that the incidence of post peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was 17 percent(19). Studies conducted in Brazil and Mexico found that the incidences of post peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis were 18.34 and 33.02 percent respectively(9, 37).

Studies conducted in china showed that the incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was 17.8 percent. Among this Grade one post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis accounts that 88.40 percent (40). Study in India showed that post peripheral intravenous complication was common event on the patients among that the incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was 17.57 percent(41). Another meta-analysis study conducted in India revealed that the pooled cumulative incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was five percent(42). Study conducted in Saudi Arabia showed that the cumulative incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was 75.84/1,000 person- day observations (38). Comparative study (before professional training and after professional training regarding to peripheral intravenous cannula) conducted in Malaysia, the incidence of phlebitis prior to intervention was 15.4% and which reduced to 2.3 percent after professional training was carried out regarding to peripheral intravenous cannula (43). Prospective cohort study conducted in Japan showed that the incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis is 12.90 percent(28).

Study conducted in Africa level showed that the cumulative incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was 13 percent(18). In Tunisia the cumulative incidence of

phlebitis secondary to peripheral intravenous cannula was 51.90 percent( $\underline{20}$ ). Study conducted in Ethiopia (University of Gondar hospital) showed that the cumulative incidence of phlebitis secondary to peripheral intravenous cannula insertion was 70 percent( $\underline{15}$ ).

#### 2.2. Survival time of phlebitis

Millions of peripheral intravenous cannula preforms each year and for adult patients the recommended replacement time of peripheral intravenous cannula is from 72–96 hours((8, 17)). Even though, the routine replacement of peripheral intravenous cannula increases health-care costs, staff workload and require patients to undergo repeated invasive procedures, it decrease the incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis((44)). The lifespan of a peripheral intravenous cannula is an important issue to avoid any complication after cannula insertion. The survival of peripheral intravenous cannula depends on many variables such as the size of the cannula, the frequency of cannula insertion trial, drugs and fluid administration, cannula procedure and securing/dressing status((17, 45)).

As study showed that when certain drugs are administered, the peripheral intravenous cannula become infiltrated faster and complicated, which showed that there is survival difference between patients who have taken drug and not taken drug(35, 36). To prolong the life span/survival of peripheral intravenous cannula, health care professional use mechanisms that able to prolong the life spine of peripheral intravenous cannula. Among the mechanisms, heparin is able to prolong duration of the peripheral intravenous cannula(46). As study showed that post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis can be develop starting from one day or after 24 hours duration of the insertion of peripheral intravenous cannula (9). As studies showed that post-peripheral intravenous cannula complications like phlebitis was the most indicator for the removal of peripheral intravenous cannula (39, 41).

#### 2.3. Factors of phlebitis

#### 2.3.1. Sociodemographic factors

There are different sociodemographic factors that contributing for the occurrences of postperipheral intravenous cannula phlebitis (47). Studies conducted in Australia and Saudi Arabia showed that sex is one of the risk factor for phlebitis secondary to post-peripheral intravenous cannula. As studies revealed that females were more risk to develop post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis as compare to male(47, 48). Different studies revealed that age is one of the risk factor of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis. Among the age group, older age group (> 65 years) was more risk to develop PIVC related phlebitis ( $\frac{47}{49}$ ,  $\frac{50}{50}$ ).

The frequency and severity of phlebitis was different among patients due to their sociodemographic factors such as residence, religion, marital status, educational level and occupational status(15). According to research conducted in Qatar and Ethiopia showed that the risk of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was decreased when patients were urban residents, married in their marital status and had a college or higher educational level in their educational status(15, 38).

#### 2.3.2. Clinical related factors

There are different clinical predictors that are positively or negatively associated with peripheral intravenous cannula complication like phlebitis. Moreover, according to studies finding, admission of patients' diagnosis(more than one disease), performance level (not ambulatory) of the patient during hospitalization, and level of consciousness(< 8) of the patients were all positively associated with post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis(41, 47). Study showed that physically inactive individuals were immunological weakened as a result peripheral intravenous cannula making them easily vulnerable to infections such as post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis. (51).

As studies showed that patients with chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart diseases and chronic kidney disease were more risk to develop phlebitis as compared to patients who were free from such chronic diseases(15, 38). Patients with chronic diseases are immune-compromised who does not have the ability to resistance infection. This inability to fight infection can be caused by a number of chronic diseases that have contribution to the occurrences of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis(11, 30).

Study conducted in Ethiopia showed that use of intravenous fluids decrease the occurrences of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis as compared does not use intravenous fluids through cannula lumen (<u>17</u>). In other way, the use of dry cannula (using cannula for only drug administration) increases the risk of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis and the vein conditioning whether visible or not visible is the main predictor for the occurrence of phlebitis(<u>9</u>, <u>17</u>). Randomized controlled trial Study conducted in Australia showed that administration of intravenous fluid at anti-cubital fossa site was less risk for post-peripheral intravenous cannula

phlebitis as compared with the administration of intravenous fluid at forearm (47). The use of cannula IV line for drug administrations was more vulnerable to post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis as compare to using IV line for non-drug administration purpose like only fluid administration(52, 53).

#### 2.3.3. Cannula related factors

Study conducted in Korea showed that post peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was found to be more common in patients with cannula-dwell time greater than 96 hours as compared to cannula-dwell time less than 96 hours (1). Study conducted in Ethiopia showed that patients who had prolonged cannula dwell time predisposes for continued trauma by the cannula itself and irritant drugs as compared to patients who had shorter cannula dwelling time (15).

The use of appropriate dressing has ability to make the insertion site clean and dry while also preventing external contamination and trauma that decrease the occurrence of phlebitis(48). Many risk factors alone can cause numerous health problems (38, 54). Study conducted in Thailand showed that behavioral risk factors cannot cause directly phlebitis, but can aggravate and used as cofactors of other factors that directly cause phlebitis (52). As study showed that the visibility/quality of the vein on fatty skin is not easy as non-fatty skin that leads to skin injury and repeated try that increase the risk of phlebitis(55).

Studies evidenced that inappropriate dressing type of IV site and methods of securing IV cannulas were contributed to the occurrence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis(<u>11</u>, <u>48</u>). Randomized controlled trial Study conducted in Brazil showed that the use of smaller cannula size decrease or reduce the occurrence of peripheral intravenous cannula complications like phlebitis. Because of it prevent mechanical irritation to the interior walls of small-diameter veins(<u>26</u>). As studies showed that patients with large-sized cannula were two times more likely risk of complications like phlebitis compare to those with small-sized catheters(<u>26</u>, <u>38</u>, <u>47</u>).

# 3. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The conceptual framework described socio demographic factors, clinical factors, cannula related factors and the outcome variable. The conceptual framework used as only to explain the possible predictors that can affect the outcome variable. It was self-constructed through on review of different literature (1, 15, 26, 48, 54).



Figure-1 Conceptual framework for time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients with cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city. Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

### 4. OBJECTIVES

#### 4.1. General objective

Assess time to develop phlebitis and predictors among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

#### 4.2. Specific objectives

- 1. Determine incidence rate of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022
- 2. Determine survival time of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022
- 3. Identify predictors of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

# 5. METHODS AND MATERIALS

#### 5.1. Study design

Institutional based prospective follow up study design was conducted

#### 5.2. Study area and period

This study was conducted at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city. Bahir Dar city is the capital city of Amhara national regional state. In the city, there are three public hospitals. Among that, Felege-Hiwot compressive specialized Hospital is one of public hospital in Bahir Dar city, which gives service for patients in Amhara and neighboring regions. The hospital give service over 12 million people from the surrounding areas. It serves an average of 310 patients per a month through medical ward(56). The second hospital in Bahir Dar city is Tibebe Gion compressive specialized and teaching hospital, which is established by Bahirdar University for patients care and teaching purpose. Even if the hospital is recently established, it gives all services that given by the oldest hospital (Felege Hiwot comprehensive specialized hospital) in similar manner. It serves an average of 270 patients per month through medical ward(57). The third hospital in the city is Adisalem primary hospital that gives service for patients in the city and neighboring district woredas. It serves over one million people. Its services are limited compared to the other two hospitals. In average per month, it serves an average of 90 patients per a month through medical ward(58). This study was conducted from May/02 to June/17/2022.

#### 5.3. Population

#### 5.3.1. Source population

Patients admitted in medical ward with PIVC at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city

#### **5.3.2.** Study population

Patients admitted in medical ward with PIVC at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city from May/02 to June/13/2022

#### 5.3.3. Study unit

Each patient admitted in medical ward with PIVC that be selected through systematic random sampling technique at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city during study period.

#### 5.4. Eligibility criteria

#### 5.4.1. Inclusion criteria

Patients admitted in medical ward with insertion of PIVC at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city during the study period (from May/02 to June/17/2022).

#### **5.4.2.** Exclusion criteria

There was no predetermined exclusion criterion

#### 5.5. Sample size and sampling technique

#### 5.5.1. Sample size determination

The sample size was calculated through stata version-15 software packages. Two populationproportion formulas with the following assumptions were applied. Confidence level = 95%, power =80%, ratio of unexposed to exposed group one to one and hazards ratio was used for calculation of sample size as observed in table one. Design effect was used for this study, due to the sampling method that start with stratified sampling techniques then systematic sampling method was applied for each hospital. Previous related studies were taken to calculate the appropriate sample size using three factors that have small hazard ratio (16, 47, 59) [Table-1]. Table-1 sample size for time to develop phlebitis and its predictors among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

| Variables                               | Hazard ratio | Sample size =<br>through HR | Sample size =<br>adding Design<br>effect (1.5) | Sample size =<br>adding Non-<br>response rate<br>(10%) | Final Calculated<br>sample size      |
|---|--------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Cannula size                            | 1.40         | 284                         | 426  | 42   | 468( <u>59</u> )                     |
| Vein visibility status<br>Fluid therapy | 1.42<br>1.95 | 262<br>78                   | 393<br>117                                     | 39<br>12   | 432( <u>16</u> )<br>129( <u>47</u> ) |

In considering of the adequate sample size and feasibility issues, the final sample size was 468.

#### 5.5.2. Sampling techniques and procedure

The source population was patients admitted in medical ward. One-year medical ward admissions were obtained from the data management offices of each hospital, and 45-day average numbers of admitted patients were determined across the three hospitals. Stratified

sampling technique was implemented. Due to the hospital difference, the three-hospital included for this study. A proportional allocation was carried out for the three hospitals based on their 45-day average number of admitted patients. After then, from May 02 to June 17/2022, a systematic random sampling technique was applied to select the appropriate sample size and study units at the three public hospitals.



Note: FH = Felege Hiwot, TG= Tibebe Gion, AA= Addis Alem

Figure-2 Sampling procedure of time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients with cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

#### 5.6. Variables

#### 5.6.1. Dependent variable

Time to develop phlebitis

#### 5.6.2. Independent variables

#### Sociodemographic variables

Sex, age, residence, educational level, occupational status and marital status

#### **Clinical related variables**

Admission diagnosis of the patient, Known chronic diseases, base line consciousness level of the patient, ambulation status of patients, drug administration, fluid administration, blood administration

#### **Cannula related variables**

Cannula insertion site, cannula-gauge size, cannula insertion trial, cannula securing/dressing status, cannulated vein visibility status during cannula insertion time, and cannula dwelling time

#### 5.7. Operational definition

**Event:** patients have developed phlebitis (peripheral intravenous cannula complication) during the study period (follow up time)

**Censored:** Patients have not developed phlebitis during the study period due to different reason such as died; discharge and transfer out to other health facilities or not developed up to the end of follow up time.

**Follow up period**: The time interval between insertions of peripheral intravenous cannula to the occurrence of phlebitis or removal time of the cannula.

**Phlebitis:** Phlebitis was defined as the presence of at least two of the following on patient's vein with peripheral intravenous cannula, pain near to intravenous site, erythema, swelling, induration, palpable venous cord and pyrexia with or without visible pussy discharge at intravenous site ( $\underline{8}$ ,  $\underline{11}$ ,  $\underline{12}$ ,  $\underline{38}$ ).

**Good vein quality/visibility:** The vein could be easily accessible, observable and able to accommodate adult cannula size  $(12, \underline{60}, \underline{61})$ .

**Appropriate cannula site securing/dressing:** covering the cannula insertion site by V-shaped through adhesive plaster and add another adhesive plaster over it the half edges of the cannulated hand (180 degree) but not tourniquet the site 360 degree rotation(<u>12</u>).

**Cannula size:** the cannula size is the outer diameter of the needle that measured by its standard unit, which is gauge, 16-gauge in color gray and in metric 1.65mm, 18-gauge in color green and in metric 1.27mm, 20-gauge in color pink and in metric 0.91(<u>62</u>).

Cannulated Vein: is the vein of the patients that peripheral intravenous cannula inserted into it.

#### **5.8.** Data collection questionnaires

The data collection questionnaires were developed from different related studies. It was tested before the actual data collection-period on similar study area. The questionnaires were comprised different parts that including socio-demographic characteristics, clinical related characteristics, cannula related characteristics and the outcome (phlebitis) characteristics. The Visual Infusion Phlebitis score can range from zero, indicating no symptoms of phlebitis up to five that indicate with signs of pyrexia with or without visible pussy discharge.

#### **5.9.** Data collection procedure

After obtaining ethical clearance letter from college of medicine and health science, Bahir Dar University, permission letters from each hospitals research coordinators and written consent from each patient, data were collected through interviewer administered pre-designed structured questionnaire using systematic random sampling technique from each stratified hospitals. Patients were interviewed to obtain socio-demographic and other concerned data. Relevant medical history and related data were obtained from patients' records and the outcome variable information (phlebitis) was collected through direct observation of the patient cannulated vein by trained data collectors. In addition to this for clarity of doubtful data, the data collectors have asked health care professionals who were work in medical ward. Four BSc nursing Professionals (three data collectors) and (one supervisor) were participated in the data collection process. One day training was given for data collectors and supervisor. The training was theoretical and practical regarding to phlebitis, how to identify the symptom and signs of phlebitis (diagnosis of phlebitis) and other related issues of this study. Patients with peripheral intravenous cannula were followed two times per a day. This was based on pre-designed phlebitis scoring form at the morning and at the evening time by data collectors to check whether the patients developed the event (phlebitis) or not developed the event. Phlebitis was diagnosed based on Jackson's Visual Infusion Phlebitis Scoring System, which is international adopted diagnosis method/criteria that has been tested in literature and used in clinical settings worldwide(15, 38, 63). Data were

collected from patients that admitted in medical ward from May/02 to June/17/2022 at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022.

#### 5.10. Data quality assurance and control

Data quality was assured through designing proper data abstraction questionnaires. Pre-test was done on five percent (24 patients) of the sample size at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city on patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula that admitted before the actual data collection period to check the appropriateness of the questionnaires. As a result, modification was takes place on some variables. Training was given for data collectors and supervisor, which concerning the data abstraction questionnaires and data collection process regarding to the purpose of the study, which data should be collected, how and from who/what collected and how to ensure the confidentiality issues of the patient information. During the data collection time, close supervision and monitoring were carried out through investigator and supervisor to ensure the quality of the data. Daily evaluation of the data for completeness and consistency and encountered difficulties on the time of data collection was attended accordingly. Finally, all the collected data was checked by investigator for its completeness and consistency during the data management process using descriptive statistics.

#### 5.11. Data management and analysis

After the data were collected, the completeness and consistency of the questionnaires was checked manually. Epi data version 3.1 was used to enter the data then it was exported to Stata version-15 for analysis. Before analysis, missing values were checked through descriptive statistics. Multicollinearity was checked through spearman's rank test for categorical independent predictors. Descriptive analyses were carried out to determine frequency, proportion, mean, interquartile range, standard deviation, cumulative incidence and person-time incidence-rate (incidence density). Survival life table carried out to estimate cumulative survivor probability of patients to developed phlebitis. Kaplan-Meier curve was used to estimate the median survival time and the overall cumulative survival probability. Kaplan-Meier curve and log rank test were implemented to estimate the survivor differences between categories of independent variables. Graphical method (log minus log plot of survival estimation) and statistical method (global test) were used to check the proportional hazards assumption. Cox Snell residual test and log likelihood ratio test were implemented to check the overall model fitness. Bi-variable Cox

proportional hazards regression analysis was applied for each predictor of phlebitis to select those candidate predictors for the multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis. Predictors their p-value on bi-variable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis  $\leq 0.25$  and they fulfilled the Cox proportional hazards ratio assumption were chosen for multiple Cox proportional hazards regression analysis. To identify predictors of phlebitis, Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was carried out at 95 percent confidence level. Statistical significance of the measure of association (hazards ratio) between outcome variable and independent variables was declared at P-value less than five percent. Findings of this study were presented through texts, tables and figures.

#### 5.12. Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical review board of CMHS, BDU. Permission letter was obtained from each public hospital and written informed consent was taken from each participants. Patients MRN was replaced by new identification number during data entry. The daily collected data was kept in locked cabinets. Information retrieved was used for the study purpose only.

#### 6. RESULTS

#### 6.1. Socio-demographic characteristics

In this study, 462 patients participated with the response rate of 98.72%. Of those, 243(52.60%) were females, from these, 115(47.33%) acquired phlebitis. The median age of the patients was 42 years old, with an interquartile range 27 to 60 years old and a range of 15 to 88 years. Majority of the patients, 286 (61.90%) were rural residents, from these 125(43.71%) patients showed the event (acquired phlebitis). Regarding to educational level, 213(46.10%) patients could not read and write, of those, 73(34.27%) patients experienced phlebitis [Table-2].

Table-2 Socio-demographic characteristics of patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

| Variables   | Categories             | Event       |       | Censored |         | Total |         |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-------|----------|---------|-------|---------|
|             |                        | FR. Percent |       | FR.      | Percent | FR.   | Percent |
| Sex         | Male                   | 56          | 25.57 | 163      | 74.43   | 219   | 47.40   |
|             | Female                 | 115         | 47.33 | 128      | 52.67   | 243   | 52.60   |
| Age         | 15 - 40                | 83          | 36.89 | 142      | 63.11   | 225   | 48.70   |
|             | 41 - 60                | 57          | 42.54 | 77       | 57.46   | 134   | 29.00   |
|             | > 60                   | 31          | 30.10 | 72       | 69.90   | 103   | 22.29   |
| Residence   | Urban                  | 46          | 26.14 | 130      | 73.86   | 176   | 38.10   |
|             | Rural                  | 125         | 43.71 | 161      | 56.29   | 286   | 61.90   |
| Educational | Unable read and write  | 73          | 34.27 | 140      | 65.73   | 213   | 46.10   |
| status      | Able to read and write | 25          | 40.32 | 37       | 59.68   | 62    | 13.42   |
| 5           | Up to elementary       | 23          | 38.33 | 37       | 61.67   | 60    | 12.99   |
|             | Up to secondary        | 23          | 40.35 | 34       | 59.65   | 57    | 12.34   |
|             | College and above      | 27          | 38.57 | 43       | 61.43   | 70    | 15.15   |
| Occupation  | Unemployed             | 15          | 37.50 | 25       | 62.50   | 40    | 8.66    |
| al status   | Employed               | 17          | 29.31 | 41       | 70.69   | 58    | 12.55   |
|             | Merchant               | 27          | 35.06 | 50       | 64.94   | 77    | 16.67   |
|             | Farmer                 | 90          | 37.66 | 149      | 62.34   | 239   | 51.73   |
|             | Student                | 22          | 45.83 | 26       | 54.17   | 48    | 10.39   |
| Marital     | Single                 | 26          | 29.89 | 61       | 70.11   | 87    | 18.83   |
| status      | Married                | 114         | 37.75 | 188      | 62.25   | 302   | 65.37   |
|             | Divorced               | 14          | 42.42 | 19       | 57.58   | 33    | 7.14    |
|             | Widowed                | 17          | 42.50 | 23       | 57.50   | 40    | 8.66    |

Note: Event is patient acquired Phlebitis, Censored is patient not acquired phlebitis, FR. = Frequency

#### 6.2. Clinical related characteristics

From all (462) participated patients, 185(40.04%) were admitted with two or more diseases, of them, 69 (37.30%) patients developed phlebitis. During the study period, 165(35.71%) patients had at least one chronic disease, of these, 97(58.79%) patients showed the event (phlebitis). Most patients, 414 (89.61%) were treated through drugs (medications). Among those, 165(39.86%) patients acquired phlebitis. From all participated patients, 85(18.40%) were received blood transfusion, of those, 48 (56.47%) patients experienced phlebitis [Table-3].

Table-3 Clinical related characteristics of patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

| Variables                       | Categories          | Event    |                | Censored |                | Total     |                |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|
|                                 |                     | FR.      | Percent        | FR.      | Percent        | FR.       | Percent        |
| Patient admitted                | One disease         | 102      | 36.82          | 175      | 63.18          | 277       | 59.96          |
| with                            | $\geq$ two diseases | 69       | 37.30          | 116      | 62.70          | 185       | 40.04          |
| Known chronic                   | No                  | 74       | 24.92          | 223      | 75.08          | 297       | 64.29          |
| disease                         | Yes                 | 97       | 58.79          | 68       | 41.21          | 165       | 35.71          |
| Base line patient consciousness | (≤8)<br>(9–12)      | 21<br>55 | 37.50<br>44.72 | 35<br>68 | 62.50<br>55.28 | 56<br>123 | 12.12<br>26.62 |
| level                           | (>12)               | 95       | 33.57          | 188      | 66.43          | 283       | 61.26          |
| Patient ambulation              | Ambulatory          | 87       | 27.27          | 232      | 72.73          | 319       | 69.05          |
| status                          | Not ambulatory      | 84       | 58.74          | 59       | 41.26          | 143       | 30.95          |
| Drugs/medications               | No                  | 6        | 1.25           | 42       | 98.75          | 48        | 10.39          |
| administered<br>through cannula | Yes                 | 165      | 39.86          | 249      | 60.14          | 414       | 89.61          |
| Fluid administered              | No                  | 107      | 37.94          | 175      | 62.06          | 282       | 61.04          |
| through cannula                 | Yes                 | 64       | 35.56          | 116      | 64.44          | 180       | 38.96          |
| Blood                           | No                  | 123      | 32.63          | 254      | 67.37          | 377       | 81.60          |
| administered<br>through cannula | Yes                 | 48       | 56.47          | 37       | 43.53          | 85        | 18.40          |

Note: Event is patient acquired Phlebitis, Censored is patient not acquired phlebitis, FR. = Frequency

#### 6.3. Cannula related characteristics

Most of the peripheral intravenous cannulas were inserted on the patient's dorsum of hand that accounted, 210 (45.45%). Among those, 85(40.48%) patients acquired phlebitis. Above half of the patients, 241 (52.16%) used 18-gauge cannula size, from those, 115(47.72%) acquired

phlebitis. During the study period, 240(51.95%) patients had improper cannula dressing, of those, 106 (44.17%) patients developed phlebitis. Near to the half of peripheral intravenous cannula, 229(49.57%) dwelling times were longer than four days. Among them, 56(24.45) patients acquired phlebitis during the study period [Table-4].

Table-4 Cannula related characteristics of patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

| Variables                  | Categories        | Event |         | Censored |         | Total |         |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|-------|---------|
|                            |                   | FR.   | Percent | FR.      | Percent | FR.   | Percent |
| Cannula                    | Antecubital fossa | 24    | 32.88   | 49       | 67.12   | 73    | 15.80   |
| insertion site             | Forearm           | 62    | 34.64   | 117      | 65.36   | 179   | 38.74   |
|                            | Dorsum of hand    | 85    | 40.48   | 125      | 59.52   | 210   | 45.45   |
| Cannula gauge              | 20gauge (0.91mm)  | 56    | 25.34   | 165      | 74.66   | 221   | 47.84   |
| size                       | 18gauge (1.27mm)  | 115   | 47.72   | 126      | 52.28   | 241   | 52.16   |
| Cannulated                 | Good visible      | 122   | 34.56   | 231      | 65.44   | 353   | 76.41   |
| Vein quality cannula       | Poorly visible    | 49    | 44.95   | 60       | 55.05   | 109   | 23.59   |
|                            | One time          | 121   | 35.07   | 224      | 64.93   | 345   | 74.68   |
| insertion trial<br>Cannula | More than one     | 50    | 42.74   | 67       | 57.26   | 117   | 25.32   |
|                            | Appropriate       | 65    | 29.28   | 157      | 70.72   | 222   | 48.05   |
| dressing status            | Not appropriate   | 106   | 44.17   | 134      | 55.83   | 240   | 51.95   |
| Cannula                    | $\leq$ four days  | 115   | 49.36   | 118      | 50.64   | 233   | 50.43   |
| dwelling time              | > four day        | 56    | 24.45   | 173      | 75.55   | 229   | 49.57   |

Note: Event is patient acquired Phlebitis, Censored is patient not acquired phlebitis, FR. = Frequency

#### 6.4. Incidence of Phlebitis

The cumulative incidence and incidence rate of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis were 37.01% (95% CI 32.59% - 41.59%) and 8/100 persons per day observation (95% CI 7/100 - 9/100) respectively. All patients who participated in this study had a total follow-up time of 2107 days, with a median follow-up time of four days and a range of two to eight days. The following figure-3 showed that score zero and score one indicated that patients not acquired phlebitis but score two/early stage of phlebitis up to score five/extensive stage of phlebitis and uncategorized symptom and signs indicated that patients acquired phlebitis. In this study 291(62.99%) patients found that in the categories of zero and one (score zero = 260 and score one = 31). The rest 171(37.01%) patients found that in the categories of score two up to score five and uncategorized group, which showed that patients developed phlebitis during the follow

up period. Among all patients 153 (33.12%) found that in categories of score two/early stage of phlebitis up to score five/extensive stage of phlebitis and 18(10.53%) patients showed symptom and sign of phlebitis from different categories, as a result those patients did not categorized in any scores/stages, such as patients showed swelling and pyrexia. Those patients did not included in any categories, even if they satisfied the phlebitis diagnosis criteria. Because of swelling found in score two but pyrexia found in another category that is score five.



scoring and staging of phlebitis

Figure-3 Staging and scoring of Phlebitis among patients with peripheral intravenous cannula at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

#### 6.5. Survival time of Phlebitis

The overall median survival time to develop phlebitis was six days with the survival interquartile ranges of five up to seven days. During the study period, 171(37.01%) patients have showed the event while the rest, 291(62.99%) patients were not showed the event, which were censored that was due to lost to follow up 13 (2.81%) and not developed the event throughout the follow up time (cannula removal time) 237 (51.30%). In addition to the Kaplan Meier graphical presentation the cumulative survival probability to develop phlebitis was presented using



statistical method (life table), [Annex-1]. The overall Kaplan Meier survival curve indicated that 50% of patients could survive to developed phlebitis up to six days [Fig-4].

Figure-4 Over all Kaplan Meier survival probability curve of Phlebitis among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

#### 6.6. Comparision of survival difference between categories of predictors

For categorical predictors survival differences were assessed using both KM graphical curve and log-rank test. From the result of Kaplan Meier survival curve or log rank test, survival differences to develop phlebitis was observed between categories of predictors. Such as sex, age group, occupational status, marital status, chronic disease, patient ambulatory status, drug administration, cannula insertion site, cannula gauge size, cannula-dressing status, cannula dwelling time and the statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood administration. Figure-five showed that an example of the Kaplan Meier graph and log rank test for predictor that had survival difference between its categories to develop post cannula phlebitis [Fig. 5].


Figure-5 KM survival difference curve for cannula dressing status among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

On some variables, the Kaplan Meier survival curve or log rank test showed that there was no clear survival difference to develop post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis between their categories. Such as residence, educational status, patient admission diagnosis, patient consciousness level, fluid administration, blood administration, cannulated vein visibility or quality status and cannula insertion trial. Figure six showed that an example of the Kaplan Meier graph and log rank test for predictor that had not survival difference between its categories to develop post cannula phlebitis [Fig. 6].



Figure-6 KM survival difference curve for fluid administration among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

Log-rank test is also the most commonly used statistical test for comparision of the median survival differences between categories of predictors. In this study, the median survival time difference was observed for variables like cannula insertion site and cannula dwelling time. However, Predictors like sex, age group, drug administration, cannula size, cannula dressing status, etc. did not showed that median survival time difference between their categories to develop post cannula phlebitis. The detail of median survival difference for each predictors presented in table, on the annex part of this document [Annex-II].

### 6.7. Proportional Hazards Assumption

The proportional hazards assumption is vital to a fitted the cox proportional hazards model and the interpretation of its result. The CPHM assumes that the proportional hazards ratio is constant over time(64). The most common methods to assess this assumption are visualization of log minus log curve (graphical method) and use of global goodness of fit test (statistical method)(65, 66). In this study, a graphical method was used to check proportional hazards assumptions by plotting log minus log survivor curves versus survival time for categorical predictors and evaluating whether the curves are reasonably parallel or crossed each other.

The graph was drawn for each predictor and those fulfilling the requirement were considered for the final model, if they also fulfilled the statistical method. They were sex, age group, occupational status, marital status, known chronic disease, patient ambulation status, drug administration, cannula insertion site, cannula gauge size, cannula-dressing status, cannula dwelling time and the interaction term of drug and blood. To show as example, one variable log minus log curve presented in this document on the annex part [Annex-III].

Predictors that were not fulfilled log minus log proportional hazards assumption were residence, educational status, patient admission diagnosis, base line patient level of consciousness, fluid administration, blood administration/transfusion, vein visibility/quality status and cannula insertion trial. To show as example, one variable log minus log curve presented in this document on the annex part [Annex-III].

The graphical assumption test is somewhat subjective test to the interpretation in different persons and not enough to be certain test of the proportional hazards assumption of the cox proportional hazards model. Therefore, there is a need to support it with other method employed statistical test. The global goodness-of fit test, proposed by Schoenfeld is an objective test, and it is recommended as the best among the methods compared. The overall global test with P-value of greater than 0.05 indicated that the proportional hazards assumption was fulfilled(64, 66). In this study, the overall global test and tests for each predictors had a P-value greater than 0.05 (overall, Chi=22.48 and P-value = 0.261), indicating that the proportional hazards assumption was fulfilled. The detail presented on the annex part of this document [Annex-IV].

### 6.8. The Cox proportional hazards regression model adequacy test

After fitting multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression model, the adequacy of a fitted model was assessed graphically through Cox Snell residuals. Finally, the graph of Nelson Aelon hazard function (cumulative hazard function of residual for Cox model) and the Cox Snell residuals were compared. The graph of Nelson Aelon hazard function (cumulative hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazards model) follows the 45-degree line, which approximately, indicated that the model fitted the data well(67). The following graph indicated that the Nelson Aelon hazard function (cumulative hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazard function (cumulative hazard function function) follows the Nelson Aelon hazard function (cumulative hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazard function (cumulative hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazard function) follows the Nelson Aelon hazard function (cumulative hazard function of residual for Cox proportional hazard function) follows the Cox Snell residual. Despite the wriggling of the line, it showed the model fitted the data well.



Figure-7 Cox Snell residual goodness of model test among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

Graphical (Cox Snell residual) of model check method is subjective; therefore, there is need of support it through objective model fitting method/technique that is the likelihood ratio test. Statistical method (likelihood ratio test) implemented to check the CPHRM goodness of fitness test (adequacy test). The result showed the likelihood ratio test = 131.22 with chi-square probability < 0.001, which indicated the Cox proportional hazards model was well fitted.

## 6.9. Predictors of time to develop Phlebitis

Nineteen possible predictors believed to be predictors of time to develop phlebitis that entered into bi-variable CPHRM. Those were sex, age group, residence, educational status, occupational status, marital status, patient admission diagnosis, known chronic disease, patient consciousness level, patient ambulation status, drug administration, fluid administration, blood administration, cannula insertion site, cannula gauge size, cannulated vein quality, cannula insertion trial, cannula dressing status and cannula dwelling time. On bi-variable CPHRM, 14 predictors were significant at level of significance 0.25. Those were sex, age-categorized, residence, occupational status, marital status, known chronic disease, patient ambulation status, drug administration, blood administration, cannula insertion site, cannula gauge size, cannulated vein quality, cannula dressing status and cannula dwelling time.

Among 14 predictors, eleven predictors could passed graphical and statistical assumptions of proportional hazards ratio that were sex, age-categorized, occupational status, marital status, known chronic disease, patient ambulation status, drug administration, cannula insertion site, cannula gauge size, cannula dressing status and cannula dwelling time. All these eleven predictors and the statistical interaction effect of drug and blood were entered into multi-variable Cox proportional hazards regression model. On the final Cox proportional hazards model, five predictors and the statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood became significant (p-values less than 0.05) which were age group, chronic disease, cannula size, cannula dressing status, cannula dwelling time and the statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood.

For patients whose age group > 60 years old, the hazard of developing phlebitis decreased by 51% times as compared to patients of age group 15-40 years old (AHR = 0.49, 95% CI 0.29-0.82). The hazard of developing phlebitis for patients with chronic disease was 1.50 times higher as compared to patients without chronic disease (AHR = 1.50, 95% CI 1.09-2.07). The statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood showed that if drugs and blood administer in one vein, the hazard of developing phlebitis increased by 2.03 times (AHR = 2.03, 95% CI 1.44-2.86).

Patients who used 18-gauge cannula size were 1.52 times more risk to develop phlebitis as compared to patients who used 20-gauge cannula size (AHR=1.52, 95%CI 1.08-2.15). Patients with inappropriate cannula dressing were 1.81 times more risk to develop phlebitis as compared to patients with appropriated cannula securing/dressing (AHR = 1.81, 95% CI 1.31-2.51). Patients with a cannula dwelling time of more than four days, the hazard of develop phlebitis were 7.39 times as compared to patients with a cannula dwelling time of more than four days, the hazard of less than or equal to four days (AHR = 7.39, 95% CI 4.12-13.32) [Table-7, Annex-6 and Annex-7]. These significant predictors contributed a favorable impact on patients with peripheral intravenous cannula to acquired phlebitis in hospital setting.

| Variables    | Categories             | Р     | hlebitis | CHR  | AHR(95% CI)      | P-value |
|--------------|------------------------|-------|----------|------|------------------|---------|
|              |                        | Event | Censored | -    |                  |         |
| Sex          | Male                   | 56    | 163      | 1    | 1                |         |
|              | Female                 | 115   | 128      | 1.54 | 1.28 (0.91-1.80) | 0.154   |
| Age          | 15 - 40                | 83    | 142      | 1    | 1                |         |
| 0            | 41 - 60                | 57    | 77       | 1.25 | 0.89 (0.59-1.31) | 0.551   |
|              | > 60                   | 31    | 72       | 0.84 | 0.49 (0.29-0.82) | 0.008*  |
| Residence    | Urban                  | 46    | 130      | 1    | -                | -       |
|              | Rural                  | 125   | 161      | 1.63 | -                | -       |
| Educational  | Unable to read and     | 73    | 140      | 1    | -                | -       |
| status       | write                  |       |          |      |                  |         |
|              | Able to read and write | 25    | 37       | 1.27 | -                | -       |
|              | Up to elementary       | 23    | 37       | 1.01 | -                | -       |
|              | school                 |       |          |      |                  |         |
|              | Up to secondary        | 23    | 34       | 1.27 | -                | -       |
|              | school                 |       |          |      |                  |         |
|              | College and above      | 27    | 43       | 1.05 | -                | -       |
| Occupationa  | Unemployed             | 15    | 25       | 1    | 1                |         |
| l status     | Employed               | 17    | 41       | 1.29 | 1.16 (0.55-2.45) | 0.695   |
|              | Merchant               | 27    | 50       | 1.55 | 1.40 (0.69-2.72) | 0.372   |
|              | Farmer                 | 90    | 149      | 1.54 | 1.18 (0.65-2.13) | 0.585   |
|              | Student                | 22    | 26       | 1.59 | 1.33 (0.65-2.72) | 0.437   |
| Marital      | Single                 | 26    | 61       | 1    | 1                |         |
| status       | Married                | 114   | 188      | 1.57 | 1.46 (0.82-2.50) | 0.201   |
|              | Divorced               | 14    | 19       | 1.10 | 1.05 (0.50-2.19) | 0.896   |
|              | Widowed                | 17    | 23       | 1.59 | 2.21 (0.98-5.02) | 0.057   |
| Patient      | One disease            | 102   | 175      | 1    | -                | -       |
| admission    | More than one          | 69    | 116      | 1.01 | -                | -       |
| Known        | No                     | 74    | 223      | 1    | 1                |         |
| chronic      | 110                    | , .   | 220      | 1    | •                |         |
| disease      | Yes                    | 97    | 68       | 1.64 | 1.50 (1.09-2.07) | 0.014*  |
| Patient base | ( <8)                  | 21    | 35       | 1    | -                | -       |
| line GCS     | (9-12)                 | 55    | 68       | 1.21 | -                | _       |
| level        | (>12)                  | 95    | 188      | 1.00 | -                | _       |
| Patient      | Able to ambulate       | 87    | 232      | 1    | 1                |         |
| ambulation   | weahle to amhulat-     | 0.4   | 50       | -    | 1 20 (0 00 1 02) | 0.062   |
| status       | unable to ambulate     | ð4    | 39       | 1.88 | 1.38 (0.98-1.93) | 0.002   |

Table-5 Bi-variable and Multi-variable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis for the predictors of phlebitis among patients with PIVC at Bahir Dar city public hospitals, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022 (N=462)

| Variables                                  | Categories          | Pl       | nlebitis | CHR  | AHR(95% CI)           | P-value  |
|--|---------------------|----------|----------|------|-----------------------|----------|
|  |                     | Event    | Censored | -    |                       |          |
| Drug<br>administration                     | No                  | 6        | 42       | 1    | 1                     |          |
|  | Yes                 | 165      | 249      | 2.85 | 1.88 (0.81-4.37)      | 0.145    |
| Fluid                                      | No                  | 107      | 175      | 1    | -                     | -        |
| administration                             | Yes                 | 64       | 116      | 0.86 | -                     | -        |
| Blood                                      | No                  | 123      | 254      | 1    | -                     | -        |
| administration                             | Vac                 | 19       | 27       | 1 65 |                       |          |
| Cannula                                    | Antecubital fossa   | 40<br>24 | 57<br>49 | 1.05 | - 1                   | -        |
| insertion site                             | Forearm             | 62       | 117      | 1.29 | 1.04 (0.63-1.72)      | 0.881    |
|  | Dorsum of hand      | 85       | 125      | 1.69 | 1.01 (0.62-1.66)      | 0.968    |
| Cannula<br>gauge size                      | 20gauge             | 56       | 165      | 1    | 1                     |          |
|  | 18gauge             | 115      | 126      | 1.64 | 1.52 (1.08-2.15)      | 0.017*   |
| Cannulated                                 | Good visible        | 122      | 231      | 1    | -                     | -        |
| vein quality                               | Poorly visible      | 49       | 60       | 1.34 | -                     | -        |
| Cannula                                    | one times           | 121      | 224      | 1    | -                     | -        |
| insertion trial                            | > one time          | 50       | 67       | 1.13 | -                     | -        |
| Cannula                                    | Appropriate         | 65       | 157      | 1    | 1                     |          |
| dressing status                            | Not appropriate     | 106      | 134      | 1.67 | 1.81 (1.31-2.51)      | < 0.001* |
| Cannula                                    | Less/equal four     | 115      | 118      | 1    | 1                     |          |
| dwelling time                              | days                |          |          |      |                       |          |
|  | More than four days | 56       | 173      | 5.38 | 7.39 (4.12-<br>13.32) | < 0.001* |
| Statistical                                | No                  | 95       | 277      | 1    | 1                     |          |
| interaction<br>effect of drug<br>and blood | Yes                 | 76       | 14       | 2.58 | 2.03 (1.44-2.86)      | < 0.001* |

This table is continuing from the above table (table-5)

*Note:* 1-Reference category, AHR - adjusted hazards ratio, CHR - crude hazards ratio, CI- confidence interval, GCS = Glasgow comma scale, \* = indicated significant predictors their p-value less than five percent

### 7. DISCUSSION

This study finding showed that the cumulative incidence and incidence rate of phlebitis were 37.01% and 8/100 persons per day observation respectively. The incidence was much higher than acceptable phlebitis rate recommended by Infusion Nurses Society (INS), which should be five percent or less (<u>11</u>). This might be referring to ineffective preventive measures and poor peripheral intravenous cannula care practice. This finding was similar with study conducted in Saudi Arabia (<u>48</u>). The similarity was due to both studies used similar study design and diagnosis approach of phlebitis.

This current finding was higher than studies conducted in Africa level (13%) and other countries such as Malaysia (15.41%) and Brazil (18.34%)(<u>18</u>, <u>30</u>, <u>37</u>). The discrepancy might be due to diagnosis approach and sampling differences. Study conducted in Malaysia used laboratory based microorganism-identification diagnosis approach, which was conservative diagnosis that decreases the incidence of phlebitis and in Brazil, the design was retrospective follow up study and the study used small sample size compared to this study, those difference might be decreases the incidence of post cannula phlebitis.

However, the current finding was lower than studies conducted in Ethiopia (Gondar) (70%) and Tunisia (51.90) (<u>15</u>, <u>68</u>). The discrepancy of the study might be due to sampling technique and sample size difference. This study used probability-sampling technique and used relatively large sample size. However, study conducted in Tunisia used small sample size and study conducted at University of Gondar hospital used non-probability sampling technique that might be increases the incidence of post cannula phlebitis.

This study showed that the median cannula dwelling time (length time) was four days with the range of two up to eight days. This finding was similar with study conducted in other countries and guideline(standard duration of the intravenous cannula) (39, 41). The median survival time to develop phlebitis was six days with the survival inter-quartile range of five up to seven days. This showed that 50% of the patients acquired phlebitis before six days of after peripheral intravenous cannula inserted. In the other ways, 50% of the patients could survive to develop phlebitis beyond six days. This finding was inconsistent with studies conducted in other countries (8, 39, 41). The discrepancy might be due to difference in sample size, sampling techniques, and diagnosis criteria of phlebitis.

The current study found that patients in the age group of 15-40 years old were linked to a high risk for post cannula phlebitis. The hazard of acquired phlebitis was higher for patients whose age group of 15-40 as compared to patients whose age group > 60 years old. This finding contradicted with studies conducted in other countries (47, 49, 50). The reason of the difference was due to adult age group participants have strong immune system to fight any infection and foreign material and more sensitive as compared to older age group. As a result, adult age group (15-40 years) were more exposed for the risk of phlebitis (11, 30).

Patients with chronic disease were more hazardous as compared to patients free from chronic disease. This finding was similar with studies conducted in other countries (15, 38). Patients with chronic diseases such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension and others are more immune-compromised that unable to resist infection/inflammation like phlebitis because they are physiological unstable as compared to healthy individual(4, 29).

The statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood showed that if drugs and blood administer in one vein, the risk of developing phlebitis increase. As my searching level, I could not found that study that support or contradict this finding. However, studies showed that in separately drug and blood were risk factors for phlebitis. The evidence revealed that when drugs or blood is administer, the vein become infiltrated faster due to the irritation effect of drug and clotting and reaction of blood on the blood vessels( $\underline{69}$ ,  $\underline{70}$ ). The irritation effects and reaction of blood make the blood vessels be inflamed. As a result, drugs and blood lead the blood vessel susceptible to develop post cannula phlebitis( $\underline{46}$ ,  $\underline{70}$ ).

Patients who used 18-gauge cannula size were more hazardous to develop phlebitis as compared to patients that used 20-gauge cannula size. This result was similar with studies conducted in other countries (26, 38, 47). The 18-gauge cannula size is comparably large in its diameter and length as compared to 20-gauge cannula. A smaller diameter peripheral intravenous cannula that accommodates the patient's veins and prescribed therapy minimizes the risk of phlebitis. However, choosing an inappropriate or larger cannula diameter size can increase the rate of phlebitis, and the risk rises with increasing diameter. Because of the physical properties and the size of the cannula, affect the physiology of the vein tissues by irritating and physically damaging the cannulated vein. The repeated irritation and physical damaging of the vein tissues resulted inflammation and infection of the vein (4, 28).

Patients who had inappropriate peripheral intravenous cannula dressing were more risk to develop phlebitis as compared to patients that had appropriated peripheral intravenous cannula securing/dressing. This finding was similar with studies conducted in other countries(11, 48). Improper stabilization and dressing of the cannula insertion-site that significantly increase the risk of phlebitis through direct contamination and blood clotting due to over tourniquet of the vein(4, 8, 29). Patients who had longer cannula dwelling time (greater than four days) were more hazardous/risk to develop phlebitis as compared to patients who had shorter cannula dwelling time (less than or equal to four days). This result was similar with studies conducted in other countries (1, 48). Prolonged cannula dwell times predisposes for continued trauma by the cannula itself and longer contact to irritant drugs and colloids that contribute to higher chance of inflammation or phlebitis (9, 15).

# 8. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

This study included patients who admitted at medical wards but did not incorporate/included patients that admitted in other wards.

## 9. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the incidence of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis was much higher than the recommended of Infusion Nurses Society. The median cannula dwelling time (duration of intravenous cannula) was four days that consistent with the standard/recommended one and the median time to develop phlebitis was 6 days with the survival inter quartile range of five up to seven days. The current study found that adult age group (15-40 years old), chronic disease, the statistical interaction effect of drugs and blood, 18-gauge cannula size, inappropriate cannula dressing and cannula dwelling time more than four days were found as significant risk factors of phlebitis. The finding of this study would help the health-care professionals through providing information regarding to incidence, time to develop and predictors of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis, which were very important for the prevention and the treatment of phlebitis.

# **10. RECOMMENDATION**

### For health care providers/professionals

To decrease/prevent the risk of phlebitis better to give special attention and clinical care for patient the risk factors of phlebitis like patients with chronic disease. Shall remove the intravenous cannula at the appropriate time (at four days, if no any reasons to remove the cannula, it can stay up to four days)

Health care providers shall avoid administering drugs and blood in one peripheral intravenous cannula, use the appropriate cannula size, avoid rolling adhesive plaster 360 degrees on cannulated hand and remove the cannula at the appropriate time (based on peripheral intravenous cannula condition).

### For health organizations

Better to give a greater emphasis on the prevention of post-peripheral intravenous cannula phlebitis through providing necessary resources (medical equipment and supplies like cannula) for all health-care facilities, based on their needs.

#### For researchers

Future researchers, better to incorporate patients who admitted in other than medical wards for better generalizability of the problem of post cannula phlebitis.

## **11. REFERENCE**

- 1. Lee S, Kim K, Kim J-S. A model of phlebitis associated with peripheral intravenous catheters in orthopedic inpatients. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2019;16(18):3412.
- López JG, Vilela AA, Del Palacio EF, Corral JO, Martí CB, Portal PH. Indwell times, complications and costs of open vs closed safety peripheral intravenous catheters: a randomized study. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2014;86(2):117-26.
- Furlan MdS, Lima AFC. Evaluation of phlebitis adverse event occurrence in patients of a Clinical Inpatient Unit. Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP. 2021;55.
- Milutinović D, Simin D, Zec D. Risk factor for phlebitis: a questionnaire study of nurses' perception. Revista latino-americana de enfermagem. 2015;23:677-84.
- 5. Ray-Barruel G, Polit DF, Murfield JE, Rickard CM. Infusion phlebitis assessment measures: Journal of evaluation in clinical practice. 2014;20(2):191-202.
- 6. Makafi S, Marfega M. Peripheral intravenous catheter (PIVC) related local complications among patients in KFCH-Jizan. Advanced Practices in Nursing. 2017;2(3):138.
- 7. Tefera M, Letta S, Ararsa A, Leta M, Abrham A. Incidence and Its Associated Factors of Phlebitis among Pediatric Patients with Peripheral Intravenous Cannula at Hiwot Fana Specialized University Hospital, Harar, Eastern Ethiopia. East African Journal of Health and Biomedical Sciences. 2020;4(1):1-12.
- 8. Keogh S, Mathew S. Peripheral intravenous catheters: A review of guidelines and research. Sydney: ACSQHC. 2019.
- Flores Moreno M, Pueblas Bedoy KS, Ojeda Sánchez A, Zurita-Cruz J. Risk factors associated with complications that required the removal of peripherally inserted central venous catheters in a tertiary pediatric hospital. Boletín médico del Hospital Infantil de México. 2017;74(4):289-94.
- Carr PJ, Rippey JC, Budgeon CA, Cooke ML, Higgins N, Rickard CM. Insertion of peripheral intravenous cannulae in the emergency department: factors associated with first-time insertion success. The Journal of Vascular Access. 2016;17(2):182-90.
- 11. Guanche-Sicilia A, Sánchez-Gómez MB, Castro-Peraza ME, Rodríguez-Gómez JÁ, Gómez-Salgado J, Duarte-Clíments G, editors. Prevention and Treatment of Phlebitis

Secondary to the Insertion of a Peripheral Venous Catheter: A Scoping Review from a Nursing Perspective. Healthcare; 2021: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

- 12. Book PICR. Peripheral Cannulation.
- Tayal A, Lodha R. Improving the Detection of Phlebitis in Hospitalized Children. Indian Journal of Pediatrics. 2021;88(4):328-9.
- Dunda S, Demir E, Mefful O, Grieb G, Bozkurt A, Pallua N. Management, clinical outcomes, and complications of acute cannula-related peripheral vein phlebitis of the upper extremity: A retrospective study. Phlebology. 2015;30(6):381-8.
- 15. Lulie M, Tadesse A, Tsegaye T, Yesuf T, Silamsaw M. Incidence of peripheral intravenous catheter phlebitis and its associated factors among patients admitted to University of Gondar hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a prospective, observational study. Thrombosis Journal. 2021;19(1):1-8.
- 16. Palese A, Ambrosi E, Fabris F, Guarnier A, Barelli P, Zambiasi P, et al. Nursing care as a predictor of phlebitis related to insertion of a peripheral venous cannula in emergency departments: findings from a prospective study. Journal of Hospital Infection. 2016;92(3):280-6.
- 17. Birhane E, Kidanu K, Kassa M, Gerezgiher D, Tsegay L, Weldu B, et al. Lifespan and associated factors of peripheral intravenous Cannula among infants admitted in public hospitals of Mekelle City, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2016. BMC nursing. 2017;16(1):1-8.
- Alexandrou E, Ray-Barruel G, Carr PJ, Frost SA, Inwood S, Higgins N, et al. Use of short peripheral intravenous catheters: characteristics, management, and outcomes worldwide. J Hosp Med. 2018;13(5):E1-E7.
- Marsh N, Webster J, Larson E, Cooke M, Mihala G, Rickard CM. Observational Study of Peripheral Intravenous Catheter Outcomes in Adult Hospitalized Patients: A Multivariable Analysis of Peripheral Intravenous Catheter Failure. Journal of hospital medicine. 2017;13(2):83-9.
- 20. Ben Abdelaziz R, Hafsi H, Hajji H, Boudabous H, Ben Chehida A, Mrabet A, et al. Peripheral venous catheter complications in children: predisposing factors in a multicenter prospective cohort study. BMC pediatrics. 2017;17(208).

- 21. Glover KR, Stahl BR, Murray C, LeClair M, Gallucci S, King MA, et al. A simulationbased blended curriculum for short peripheral intravenous catheter insertion: an industry– practice collaboration. The Journal of Education in Nursing. 2017;48(9):397-406.
- Becerra MB, Shirley D, Safdar N. Prevalence, risk factors, and outcomes of idle intravenous catheters: an integrative review. American journal of infection control. 2016;44(10):e167-e72.
- 23. Atay S, Şen S, Cukurlu D. Phlebitis-related peripheral venous catheterization and the associated risk factors. Nigerian journal of clinical practice. 2018;21(7):827-31.
- 24. Ying CX, Yusuf A, Keng SL. Perceptions of risk factors for phlebitis among Malaysian nurses. British Journal of Nursing. 2020;29(2):S18-S23.
- Milutinović D, Simin D, Zec D. Risk factor for phlebitis: a questionnaire study of nurses' perception. Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem. 2015;23(4):677-84.
- 26. Johann DA, Danski MTR, Vayego SA, Barbosa DA, Lind J. Risk factors for complications in peripheral intravenous catheters in adults: secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial1. Revista latino-americana de enfermagem. 2016;24.
- Benaya A, Schwartz Y, Kory R, Yinnon A, Ben-Chetrit E. Relative incidence of phlebitis associated with peripheral intravenous catheters in the lower versus upper extremities. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2015;34(5):913-6.
- 28. Yasuda H, Yamamoto R, Hayashi Y, Kotani Y, Kishihara Y, Kondo N, et al. Occurrence and incidence rate of peripheral intravascular catheter-related phlebitis and complications in critically ill patients: a prospective cohort study (AMOR-VENUS study). Journal of intensive care. 2021;9(1):1-12.
- 29. Arias-Fernández L, Suérez-Mier B, del Carmen Martinez-Ortega M, Lana A. Incidence and risk factors of phlebitis associated to peripheral intravenous catheters. Enfermería Clínica (English Edition). 2017;27(2):79-86.
- 30. Ng R, Johari BM, Kuppusamy P, Ponnampalavanar S, Sohail A. A quality improvement study to reduce peripheral intravenous catheter-related complications in the medical ward of a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020;101:311-2.

- Foumani SA, Paryad E, Khanghah AG, Leili EK. Routine replacement or clinically indicated replacement of peripheral intravenous catheters. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 2018:1-10.
- 32. Kaur P, Rickard C, Domer GS, Glover KR. Dangers of peripheral intravenous catheterization: the forgotten tourniquet and other patient safety considerations. Vignettes in Patient Safety-Volume 4: Intechopen; 2019.
- 33. Higgins N, Iu P, Carr P, Ware R, Van Zundert A. Techniques to select site of insertion for a peripheral intravenous catheter with vessel locating devices using light, sounds or tactile actions (or palpations). Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2021;30(7-8):1091-8.
- Weston V. Assessment for Catheter Function, Dressing Adherence and Device Necessity. Vessel Health and Preservation: The Right Approach for Vascular Access: Springer, Cham; 2019. p. 219-33.
- 35. Takahashi T, Murayama R, Abe-Doi M, Miyahara-Kaneko M, Kanno C, Nakamura M, et al. Preventing peripheral intravenous catheter failure by reducing mechanical irritation. Scientific reports. 2020;10(1):1-13.
- 36. Denton A, Bodenham A, Conquest A, Davies A, Davidson A, Portsmouth J, et al. Standards for infusion therapy 4th Ed. Royal college of Nursing. 2016.
- 37. Danski MTR, Johann DA, Vayego SA, Oliveira GRLd, Lind J. Complications related to the use of peripheral venous catheters: a randomized clinical trial. Acta Paulista de Enfermagem. 2016;29:84-92.
- 38. Abolfotouh M, Salam M, White D, Balkhy H, editors. Prospective Study of Incidence and Predictors of Peripheral IV Catheter–Induced Complications. Qatar Foundation Annual Research Conference Proceedings Volume 2016 Issue 1; 2016: Hamad bin Khalifa University Press (HBKU Press).
- Cooke M, Ullman AJ, Ray-Barruel G, Wallis M, Corley A, Rickard CM. Not" just" an intravenous line: Consumer perspectives on peripheral intravenous cannulation (PIVC). An international cross-sectional survey of 25 countries. PloS one. 2018;13(2):e0193436.
- 40. Liu C, Chen L, Kong D, Lyu F, Luan L, Yang L. Incidence, risk factors and medical cost of peripheral intravenous catheter-related complications in hospitalised adult patients. The Journal of Vascular Access. 2020:1129729820978124.

- 41. Shinde MB, Zagade TB, Potdar NJ, Pawar S. OCCURANCE AND PREDICTORS OF PERIPHERAL INTRAVENOUSCATHETER INDUCED COMPLICATIONS AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL. age. 2020;29(02):2928-34.
- 42. Indarwati F, Mathew S, Munday J, Keogh S. Incidence of peripheral intravenous catheter failure and complications in paediatric patients: Systematic review and meta analysis. International journal of nursing studies. 2020;102:103488.
- 43. Wu S, Xue L, Legido-Quigley H, Khan M, Wu H, Peng X, et al. Understanding factors influencing the length of hospital stay among non-severe COVID-19 patients: A retrospective cohort study in a Fangcang shelter hospital. PloS one. 2020;15(10):e0240959.
- 44. Kim J, Shin B, Jeon G. Early Detection of Intravenous Infiltration Using Multi-frequency Bioelectrical Impedance Measurement System: Pilot Study. Journal of information and communication convergence engineering. 2017;15(2):123-30.
- 45. Alloubani A, Awwad M, Akhu-Zaheya L. Optimal Timing for Peripheral Intravenous Cannula Replacement. The Open Infectious Diseases Journal. 2019;11(1).
- 46. Kreidieh FY, Moukadem HA, El Saghir NS. Overview, prevention and management of chemotherapy extravasation. World Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2016;7(1):87.
- 47. Wallis MC, McGrail M, Webster J, Marsh N, Gowardman J, Playford EG, et al. Risk factors for peripheral intravenous catheter failure: a multivariate analysis of data from a randomized controlled trial. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology.2014;35(1):63-8.
- 48. Abolfotouh MA, Salam M, Ala'a Bani-Mustafa DW, Balkhy HH. Prospective study of incidence and predictors of peripheral intravenous catheter-induced complications. Therapeutics and clinical risk management. 2014;10:993.
- 49. Blanco-Mavillard I, Parra-García G, Fernández-Fernández I, Rodríguez-Calero MÁ, Personat-Labrador C, Castro-Sánchez E. Care of peripheral intravenous catheters in three hospitals in Spain: Mapping clinical outcomes and implementation of clinical practice guidelines. PloS one. 2020;15(10):e0240086.
- 50. Rodriguez-Calero MA, de Pedro-Gomez JE, Molero-Ballester LJ, Fernandez-Fernandez I, Matamalas-Massanet C, Moreno-Mejias L, et al. Risk factors for difficult peripheral

intravenous cannulation. The PIVV2 Multicentre Case-Control Study. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020;9(3):799.

- 51. Takashima T, Nakashima Y, Suenaga A, Yamashita Y, Nonaka Y, Fukuda M, et al. Brachio-brachial arteriovenous fistula combined with superficialization of the brachial artery using a short skin incision for hemodialysis. The Journal of Vascular Access. 2021;22(3):462-9.
- 52. Farah HA, Sarwono B, Supriyatno H. The Risk Factors of Phlebitis in The Installation of Intravent Catalysts. Midwifery and Nursing Research. 2021;3(1):17-26.
- Furtado LCdR. Incidence and predisposing factors of phlebitis in a surgery department. British Journal of Nursing. 2011;20(Sup7):S16-S25.
- 54. Linardakis M, Papadaki A, Smpokos E, Micheli K, Vozikaki M, Philalithis A. Peer reviewed: association of behavioral risk factors for chronic diseases with physical and mental health in European adults aged 50 years or older, 2004–2005. Preventing chronic disease. 2015;12.
- 55. Ravik M, Havnes A, Bjørk IT. Conditions affecting the performance of peripheral vein cannulation during hospital placement: a case study. Nursing research and practice. 2017;2017.
- 56. Kefale B, Ewunetei A, Molla M, Tegegne GT, Degu A. Clinical pattern and predictors of stroke treatment outcome among hospitalised patients who had a stroke at Felege Hiwot comprehensive specialised hospital, northwest Ethiopia: a retrospective cross-sectional study. BMJ open. 2020;10(12):e040238.
- 57. Tarekegn F, Asfaw G, Mossie M. Perioperative mortality at Tibebe Ghion Specialized Teaching Hospital, Ethiopia: A longitudinal study design. International Journal of Surgery Open. 2020;26:81-5.
- Yizengaw E, Getahun T, Mulu W, Ashagrie M, Abdela I, Geta M. Incidence of human rabies virus exposure in northwestern Amhara, Ethiopia. BMC infectious diseases. 2018;18(1):1-7.
- 59. López JG, Vilela AA, Palacio E, Corral JO, Martí CB, Portal PH. Indwell times, complications and costs of open vs closed safety peripheral intravenous catheters: a randomized study. J Hosp Infect. 2014;86(2):117-26.

- Alexandrou E, Ray-Barruel G, Carr PJ, Frost S, Inwood S, Higgins N, et al. International prevalence of the use of peripheral intravenous catheters. Journal of hospital medicine. 2015;10(8):530-3.
- 61. Høvik LH, Gjeilo KH, Lydersen S, Rickard CM, Røtvold B, Damås JK, et al. Monitoring quality of care for peripheral intravenous catheters; feasibility and reliability of the peripheral intravenous catheters mini questionnaire (PIVC-miniQ). BMC health services research. 2019;19(1):1-10.
- 62. Kucklick TR. Introduction to Needles and Cannulae. The Medical Device R&D Handbook. 2013:89-112.
- Gu MO, Cho Y, Eun Y, Jung IS, Kim HL, Yoon HS, et al. Updates of nursing practice guideline for intravenous infusion. Journal of Korean Clinical Nursing Research. 2017;23(3):361-75.
- 64. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied survival analysis: regression modelling of time to event data: Wiley; 2002.
- Muche R. Applied Survival Analysis: Regression Modeling of Time to Event Data. DW Hosmer, Jr., S Lemeshow. New York: John Wiley, 1999, pp. 386, US \$89.95. ISBN: 0-471-15410-5. Oxford University Press; 2001.
- 66. Kuitunen I, Ponkilainen VT, Uimonen MM, Eskelinen A, Reito A. Testing the proportional hazards assumption in cox regression and dealing with possible non-proportionality in total joint arthroplasty research: methodological perspectives and review. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 2021;22(1):1-7.
- 67. Collett D. Modelling survival data in medical research: CRC press; 2015.
- 68. Hafsi H, Hajji H, Boudabous H, Chehida B, Mrabet A, Boussetta K, et al. Peripheral venous catheter complications in children: predisposing factors in a multicenter prospective cohort study. BMC Pediatrics. 2017;17(1):208-.
- Sijabat M, Nduru SD, Sitanggang YF, Hutasoit EO. Incidence of Phlebitis Following the Use of Peripheral IV Line at X Hospital. Indonesian Contemporary Nursing Journal. 2021:79-86.

 Sharp R, Turner L, Altschwager J, Corsini N, Esterman A. Adverse events associated with home blood transfusion: A retrospective cohort study. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2021;30(11-12):1751-9.

# **12. ANNEX**

# Annex- I Life table for time to develop Phlebitis

| Time (days) | Beg. Total | phlebitis | Censored | Survival | Std.Error | 95% CI           |
|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| 2-3         | 462        | 6         | 9        | 0.9869   | 0.0053    | 0.9710 - 0.9941  |
| 3-4         | 447        | 20        | 35       | 0.9409   | 0.0112    | 0.9144 - 0.9594  |
| 4-5         | 392        | 42        | 129      | 0.8203   | 0.0200    | 0.7772 - 0.8558  |
| 5-6         | 221        | 49        | 76       | 0.6006   | 0.0306    | 0.5380 - 0.6576  |
| 6-7         | 96         | 39        | 36       | 0.3003   | 0.0373    | 0.2293 - 70.3743 |
| 7-8         | 21         | 10        | 5        | 0.1380   | 0.0388    | 0.0731 - 0.2232  |
| 8-9         | 6          | 5         | 1        | 0.0125   | 0.0173    | 0.0003 - 0.0941  |

# Annex-II Median survival time and Log-rank test for categories of predictors

| Variables        | Category                 | F     | hlebitis | Median time | Log       | KM curve         |                                 |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|
|                  |                          | Event | Censored | Total       | phlebitis | test P-<br>value | difference<br>b/n<br>categories |
| Sex              | Male                     | 56    | 163      | 219         | 6         |                  | yes                             |
|                  | Female                   | 115   | 128      | 243         | 6         | 0.003            |                                 |
| Age              | 15 - 40                  | 83    | 142      | 225         | 6         |                  | Yes, b/n age                    |
|                  | 41 - 60                  | 57    | 77       | 134         | 6         |                  | group 15-40                     |
|                  | 60 - 88                  | 31    | 72       | 103         | 6         | 0.111            | and 60-88                       |
| Residence        | Urban                    | 46    | 130      | 176         | 7         |                  | No, grossly                     |
|                  | Rural                    | 125   | 161      | 286         | 6         | 0.001            | crossed each other              |
| Educational      | Unable to read and write | 73    | 140      | 213         | 6         |                  | No                              |
| status           | Able to read and write   | 25    | 37       | 62          | 6         | 0.687            |                                 |
|                  | Up to elementary school  | 23    | 37       | 60          | 6         |                  |                                 |
|                  | Up to secondary school   | 23    | 34       | 57          | 6         |                  |                                 |
|                  | College and above        | 27    | 43       | 70          | 6         |                  |                                 |
| Occupational     | Unemployed               | 15    | 25       | 40          | 7         |                  | Yes, b/n                        |
| status           | Employed                 | 17    | 41       | 58          | 6         |                  | unemployed                      |
|                  | Merchant                 | 27    | 50       | 77          | 6         | 0.419            | and merchant                    |
|                  | Farmer                   | 90    | 149      | 239         | 6         |                  |                                 |
|                  | Student                  | 22    | 26       | 48          | 6         |                  |                                 |
| Marital status   | Single                   | 26    | 61       | 87          | 6         |                  | Yes, b/n                        |
|                  | Married                  | 114   | 188      | 302         | 6         |                  | single and                      |
|                  | Divorced                 | 14    | 19       | 33          | 6         | 0.065            | married                         |
|                  | Widowed                  | 17    | 23       | 40          | 6         |                  |                                 |
| Patient          | More than one            | 102   | 175      | 277         | 6         | 0.964            | No                              |
| admission        | One disease              | 69    | 116      | 185         | 6         |                  |                                 |
| Known            | No                       | 74    | 223      | 297         | 6         | <0.001           | Yes                             |
| chronic disease  | Yes                      | 97    | 68       | 165         | 6         |                  |                                 |
| Patient level of | (≤8)                     | 21    | 35       | 56          | 6         | 0.642            | No                              |

| consciousness             | (9-12)             | 55  | 68  | 123 | 6 |       |                       |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|---|-------|-----------------------|
|                           | (>12)              | 95  | 188 | 283 | 6 |       |                       |
| Patient                   | Able to ambulate   | 87  | 232 | 319 | 6 | <     | Yes                   |
| ambulation                | unable to ambulate | 84  | 59  | 143 | 6 | 0.001 |                       |
| status                    |                    |     |     |     |   |       |                       |
| Drug                      | No                 | 6   | 42  | 48  | - |       | Yes                   |
| administration            | Yes                | 165 | 249 | 414 | 6 | 0.003 |                       |
| Fluid                     | No                 | 107 | 175 | 282 | 6 |       | No                    |
| administration            | Yes                | 64  | 116 | 180 | 6 | 0.288 |                       |
| Blood                     | No                 | 123 | 254 | 377 | 6 |       | Yes                   |
| administration            | Yes                | 48  | 37  | 85  | 6 | 0.001 |                       |
| Cannula<br>insertion site | Antecubital fossa  | 24  | 49  | 73  | 7 |       | Yes, b/n<br>dorsum of |
|                           | Forearm            | 62  | 117 | 179 | 6 |       |                       |
|                           | Dorsum of hand     | 85  | 125 | 210 | 6 | 0.019 | hand and              |
|                           |                    |     |     |     |   |       | forehand              |
| Cannula gauge             | 20gauge            | 56  | 165 | 221 | 6 | 0.001 | Yes                   |
| size                      | 18gauge            | 115 | 126 | 241 | 6 | 0.001 |                       |
| Cannulated                | Good visible       | 122 | 231 | 353 | 6 |       | No                    |
| vein quality              | Poorly visible     | 49  | 60  | 109 | 6 | 0.046 |                       |
| Cannula                   | One time           | 121 | 224 | 345 | 6 |       | No                    |
| insertion trial           | > one time         | 50  | 67  | 117 | 6 | 0.406 |                       |
| Cannula                   | Appropriate        | 65  | 157 | 222 | 6 | <     | Yes                   |
| dressing status           | Not appropriate    | 106 | 134 | 240 | 6 | 0.001 |                       |
| Cannula                   | ≤four days         | 115 | 118 | 233 | 6 | <     | Yes                   |
| dwelling time             | >Four days         | 56  | 173 | 229 | 5 | 0.001 |                       |
| Statistical               | No                 | 95  | 277 | 372 | 7 | <     | yes                   |
| interactional             | Yes                | 76  | 14  | 90  | 5 | 0.001 |                       |
| effect of drug            |                    |     |     |     |   |       |                       |
| and blood                 |                    |     |     |     |   |       |                       |

# **Annex-III Graphical testing of proportional hazards assumption (log-log)**







Example of predictor that did not pass graphical proportional hazards assumption (log-log)

## **Annex-IV Statistical test of proportional hazards assumption (global test)**

| List of variables                        | Chi-square | Degree of freedom | P-value |
|--|------------|-------------------|---------|
| Sex (female)                             | 1.69       | 1                 | 0.193   |
| Age cat (41-60)                          | 0.21       | 1                 | 0.648   |
| Age cat (61-88)                          | 0.30       | 1                 | 0.581   |
| Occupation (employed)                    | 0.12       | 1                 | 0.732   |
| Occupation (merchant)                    | 1.35       | 1                 | 0.244   |
| Occupation (farmer)                      | 0.02       | 1                 | 0.894   |
| Occupation (student)                     | 0.41       | 1                 | 0.523   |
| Marital (married)                        | 1.62       | 1                 | 0.202   |
| Marital (divorced)                       | 0.38       | 1                 | 0.537   |
| Marital (widowed)                        | 2.92       | 1                 | 0.087   |
| Chronic disease(yes)                     | 0.96       | 1                 | 0.328   |
| Ambulation status(unable to ambulate)    | 1.95       | 1                 | 0.162   |
| Drug (yes)                               | 1.31       | 1                 | 0.251   |
| Cannula insertion(forearm)               | 1.42       | 1                 | 0.233   |
| Cannula insertion(dorsum of hand)        | 0.13       | 1                 | 0.719   |
| Cannula size(18gauge)                    | 0.20       | 1                 | 0.653   |
| Cannula dressing status(not appropriate) | 1.03       | 1                 | 0.310   |
| Cannula dwelling time(>4days)            | 1.33       | 1                 | 0.248   |
| Interaction term of drug and blood(yes)  | 0.49       | 1                 | 0.485   |
| Global test (over all)                   | 22.48      | 19                | 0.261   |

| Sno | Variable name     | Categories     | HR       | Robust std. | Ζ     | P     | CI lower | CI upper |
|-----|-------------------|----------------|----------|-------------|-------|-------|----------|----------|
|     |                   |                |          | error       | value | value | limit    | limit    |
| 1   | Sex >25%          | Male           | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     |                   | Female         | 1.544579 | .2534907    | 2.65  | 0.008 | 1.119731 | 2.130621 |
| 2   | Age categorized   | 15-40          | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     |                   | 41-60          | 1.24589  | .2152663    | 1.27  | 0.203 | .8879901 | 1.74804  |
|     |                   | 61-88          | .8438748 | .1790959    | -0.80 | 0.424 | .5567076 | 1.279172 |
| 3   | Residence <5%     | Urban          | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     |                   | Rural          | 1.634613 | .2828123    | 2.84  | 0.005 | 1.164513 | 2.294486 |
| 4   | Educational       | Unable to read | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     | status $3 - 25\%$ | A white        | 1 266525 | 2062222     | 1.01  | 0.212 | 8006744  | 2.002410 |
|     |                   | write          | 1.200323 | .2905525    | 1.01  | 0.515 | .8000744 | 2.005419 |
|     |                   | Elementary     | 1.011655 | .2424925    | 0.05  | 0.961 | .6324121 | 1.61832  |
|     |                   | secondary      | 1 2733/3 | 3065706     | 1.00  | 0.316 | 79/3/61  | 2 0/1179 |
|     |                   | school         | 1.275545 | .5005700    | 1.00  | 0.510 | .7745401 | 2.041177 |
|     |                   | College and    | 1.053771 | .2393378    | 0.23  | 0.818 | .6751761 | 1.644657 |
|     |                   | above          |          |             |       |       |          |          |
| 5   | Occupational      | Unemployed     | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     | status            | employed       | 1.298205 | .4612924    | 0.73  | 0.463 | .6469711 | 2.604963 |
|     |                   | Merchant       | 1.546118 | .5001551    | 1.35  | 0.178 | .8201373 | 2.914732 |
|     |                   | Farmer         | 1.538315 | .4299126    | 1.54  | 0.123 | .8895291 | 2.660299 |
|     |                   | Student        | 1.58756  | .5318037    | 1.38  | 0.168 | .8233663 | 3.061027 |
| 6   | Marital status    | Single         | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     |                   | Married        | 1.570759 | .3438869    | 2.06  | 0.039 | 1.022717 | 2.412478 |
|     |                   | Divorced       | 1.103061 | .370188     | 0.29  | 0.770 | .571394  | 2.12943  |
|     |                   | Widowed        | 1.588596 | 495745      | 1.48  | 0.138 | .8617543 | 2.928489 |
| 7   | Admission DX      | > one Disease  | 1        |             | 1110  | 01100 |          | 20100    |
|     | diseases          | One disease    | 1 00619  | 1577393     | 0.04  | 0.969 | 7400108  | 1 368113 |
| 8   | Chronic           | No             | 1        | .1577575    | 0.04  | 0.909 | ./+00100 | 1.500115 |
| U   | diseases          | Yes            | 1 641826 | 2584141     | 3 1 5 | 0.002 | 1 206015 | 2.235123 |
| 0   |                   |                | 1.011020 | .2001111    | 5.15  | 0.002 | 1.200015 | 2.233123 |
| 9   | Base line GCS     | Less than & 8  | 1        | 21201.00    | 0.54  | 0.450 | 50000 40 | 0.010005 |
|     | level             | b/n 9 – 12     | 1.21477  | .3130168    | 0.76  | 0.450 | .7330943 | 2.012927 |
|     |                   | Above 12       | 1.100219 | .2676479    | 0.39  | 0.695 | .6829816 | 1.772348 |
| 10  | Ambulation        | Ambulatory     | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     | status            | Not ambulatory | 1.882913 | .2890016    | 4.12  | 0.000 | 1.393742 | 2.543772 |
| 11  | Drug              | No             | 1        | -           |       |       |          |          |
|     | administration    | Yes            | 2.850431 | 1.18558     | 2.52  | 0.012 | 1.26145  | 6.440965 |
| 12  | Fluid             | No             | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     | administration    | Yes            | .8629187 | .1367124    | -0.93 | 0.352 | .6325776 | 1.177134 |
| 13  | Blood             | No             | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     | administration    | Yes            | 1.645707 | .2808813    | 2.92  | 0.004 | 1.177805 | 2.299489 |
| 14  | Cannula           | Antecubital    | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |
|     | insertion site    | Forearm        | 1.294504 | .3125881    | 1.07  | 0.285 | .8064197 | 2.078002 |
|     |                   | Dorsum of      | 1.69476  | .3956995    | 2.26  | 0.024 | 1.072424 | 2.678245 |
|     |                   | hand           |          |             |       |       |          |          |
| 15  | Cannula gauge     | 20             | 1        |             |       |       |          |          |

# **Annex-V Bi-variable Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model**

|               | size            | 18             | 1.63781  | .2685848 | 3.01 | 0.003 | 1.187612 | 2.258667 |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|----------|------|-------|----------|----------|
| 16            | Vein quality    | Good visible   | 1        |          |      |       |          |          |
| status        |                 | Poorly visible | 1.344656 | .2276064 | 1.75 | 0.080 | .9650068 | 1.873664 |
| 17            | Cannula         | One time only  | 1        |          |      |       |          |          |
|               | insertion trial |                |          |          |      |       |          |          |
| moortion that |                 | > one time     | 1.129969 | .1903753 | 0.73 | 0.468 | .8121896 | 1.572083 |
| 18            | Cannula         | Appropriate    | 1        |          |      |       |          |          |
|               | dressing        | Inappropriate  | 1.671776 | .2668351 | 3.22 | 0.001 | 1.22269  | 2.285808 |
| 19            | Cannula         | $\leq$ 4 days  | 1        |          |      |       |          |          |
|               | dwelling time   | >4 days        | 5.377031 | 1.570124 | 5.76 | 0.000 | 3.033799 | 9.53012  |
| 20            | Drug & blood    | No             | 1        |          |      |       |          |          |
|               | interaction     | Yes            | 2.580731 | .4003332 | 6.11 | 0.000 | 1.90415  | 3.497716 |

# Annex-VI Multi-Variable Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Model

| List of variables              | Haz. Ratio | Std. Err. | Z     | P>z   | CI lower<br>limit | CI upper<br>limit |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Sex (male)                     | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Female                         | 1.280252   | .2218357  | 1.43  | 0.154 | .911598           | 1.79799           |
| Age cat (15-40)                | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| 41-60                          | .8871944   | .1780711  | -0.60 | 0.551 | .5986485          | 1.314818          |
| 61 - 88                        | .4925301   | .1306445  | -2.67 | 0.008 | .2928532          | .8283533          |
| Occupation(unemployed)         | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Employed                       | 1.161697   | .4445407  | 0.39  | 0.695 | .5487414          | 2.459336          |
| Merchant                       | 1.368254   | .4802991  | 0.89  | 0.372 | .6876522          | 2.722479          |
| Farmer                         | 1.180046   | .357734   | 0.55  | 0.585 | .6514108          | 2.137681          |
| Student                        | 1.329508   | .4875291  | 0.78  | 0.437 | .6479722          | 2.727882          |
| Marital (single)               | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Married                        | 1.457699   | .4294041  | 1.28  | 0.201 | .818321           | 2.596641          |
| Divorced                       | 1.05022    | .393948   | 0.13  | 0.896 | .5034846          | 2.190658          |
| Widowed                        | 2.214714   | .9253708  | 1.90  | 0.057 | .9764751          | 5.023125          |
| Chronic disease (no)           | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Yes                            | 1.501056   | .2477766  | 2.46  | 0.014 | 1.086152          | 2.074451          |
| Ambulation(able to ambulate)   | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| unable to ambulatory           | 1.378061   | .2369701  | 1.86  | 0.062 | .9837767          | 1.930368          |
| Drug administer(no)            | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Yes                            | 1.874998   | .8084607  | 1.46  | 0.145 | .8053415          | 4.365374          |
| Cannula insertion site(no)     | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Forearm                        | 1.039507   | .2683478  | 0.15  | 0.881 | .6267442          | 1.724107          |
| Dorsum of hand                 | 1.010099   | .2554229  | 0.04  | 0.968 | .615349           | 1.658085          |
| Cannula size(20)               | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| 18                             | 1.522031   | .2680467  | 2.39  | 0.017 | 1.077748          | 2.149461          |
| Cannula dressing(appropriate)  | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| not appropriate                | 1.809339   | .3007122  | 3.57  | 0.000 | 1.306322          | 2.50605           |
| Cannula dwelling time(≤4       | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| days)                          |            |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| >4 days                        | 7.395322   | 1.219693  | 6.67  | 0.000 | 4.106486          | 13.31815          |
| Interaction effect of drug and | 1          |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| blood (no)                     |            |           |       |       |                   |                   |
| Yes                            | 2.030988   | .3535457  | 4.07  | 0.000 | 1.443889          | 2.856807          |

### Annex-VII Participants information and consent sheet English version

#### **Dear Participant**

My name is..... I am here on behalf of Tadios Lidetu who is a master's degree student at Bahir Dar University in department of Adult health nursing. Your participation is very important for this study therefore, I ask you politely to responding the questions accordingly.

**Introduction**: Information sheet provided for patients who admitted at Felege Hiwot compressive and specialized hospital, Tibebe Gion specialized and teaching hospital and Adisalem primary hospital and concerned bodies so that a clear view about the purpose of research, confidentiality and data collection procedures will be created for participants and concerned bodies.

**Title of the Research**: Time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical and surgical wards with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

Name of Investigator: Tadios Lidetu (BSC Nurse)

Name of the Organization: Bahir Dar University, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Department of Adult health Nursing

**Purpose of the Research**: To assess the time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

**Procedure**: After checking for the inclusion criteria of the participants, data will be obtained based on the written questionnaires. The total number of questions for one participant will be takes around 30 minutes.

**Risk and /or Discomfort:** Since data for this research is obtaining from the participants by asking, therefore it will not inflict any harm to the patients. Any other identifying information will not be recorded on the questionnaire and all information taken from the participant will be kept strictly confidential and in a safe place. The information retrieved from the participants will be only used for the study purpose.

**Benefits:** The research has direct benefit for the participant by identify the problem of phlebitis then recommendation will give the concerned bodies to manage it accordingly. It has also the indirect benefit of the research for the participant and other clients in the area of the research.

Generally, the research work has a paramount direct benefit for health care planners and managers to design appropriate policies.

**Confidentiality:** to assure confidentiality the data on the participant will be collected by those individuals who have working experience in the health facility and information will be collected without the name. The information collected from this research project will be kept confidential and will be stored in a locked cabinet. Besides, it will not be revealed to anyone except the investigator and will be kept with a password only on the investigator's computer.

**Person to contact:** If there are any questions or inquiries at any time about the study or the procedures, you can contact any of the following individuals (Investigator and Advisors) at any point in time.

Name of Investigator: Tadios Lidetu (BSc Nurse), Email address: <u>tadioslidetu@gmail.com</u>, Cell phone: 0955185671

**Name of Advisors**: Mr. Gebrie Yitayih (BSC, MSC, PhD fellow, Assistant professor in adult health nursing), Email address <u>gebyit45@yahoo.com</u>, Cell phone +251963039143 and Mr. Alemishet Yirga (BSC, MSC, lecturer in medical surgical adult health nursing), Email address <u>alemyirga25@gmail.com</u>, Cell phone +251918065664

 Based on the understanding of the above information, Are you willing to participate in the

 Study? Yes\_\_\_\_\_\_ (continue)
 No \_\_\_\_\_\_ Thank you

 Respondent:
 Signature \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date\_\_\_\_\_

 Questionnaires
 number\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Date of interview\_\_\_\_\_\_ Starting time\_\_\_\_\_ Completed time
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

 Result of interview A) Completed B) not completed C) Partially completed D) Refused
 Data collector: Name \_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_ date \_\_\_\_\_

 Supervisor:
 Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_\_ date \_\_\_\_\_\_

## **Annex-VIII Questionnaire sheet English Version**

### Part-I: sociodemographic variables

Table-4 Sociodemographic variables for time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

| Sno | Variable(questions)      | Answers                  | Codes | Skipping | Remarks |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|----------|---------|
| 01  | What is sex of the       | Male                     | 0     |          |         |
|     | patient?                 | Female                   | 1     |          |         |
| 02  | How old are you (Age)?   |                          |       |          | Put in  |
|     |                          |                          |       |          | years   |
| 03  | Where is your residence? | Urban                    | 0     |          |         |
|     |                          | Rural                    | 1     |          |         |
| 04  | What is your educational | Unable to read and write | 0     |          |         |
|     | level?                   | Able to read and write   | 1     |          |         |
|     |                          | Elementary school        | 2     |          |         |
|     |                          | Secondary school         | 3     |          |         |
|     |                          | College and above        | 4     |          |         |
| 05  | What is your             | Unemployed               | 0     |          |         |
|     |                          | Employed                 | 1     |          |         |
|     | occupation?              | Merchant                 | 2     |          |         |
|     |                          | Farmer                   | 3     |          |         |
|     |                          | Student                  | 4     |          |         |
|     |                          | Others                   |       |          |         |
| 06  | What is your Marital     | Single                   | 0     |          |         |
|     | status?                  | Married                  | 1     |          |         |
|     | Status :                 | Divorced                 | 2     |          |         |
|     |                          | Widowed                  | 3     |          |         |

Part II: Clinical related Variables

Table-6 Clinical variables for time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals of Bahir Dar city, Amhara, Ethiopia, 2022

| Sno | Variable              | Answers            | Codes | Skipping | Remarks    |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|----------|------------|
| 07  | What is the patient   |                    |       |          | Write the  |
| 00  | Jalara any known      | No                 |       | 0        | ulagilosis |
| 08  | is/are any known      | INO                |       | 0        |            |
|     | chronic disease/s     | Yes                |       | 1        |            |
| 09  | What is the patient   | $\leq 8$           |       | 0        |            |
|     | base line level of    | 9 – 12             |       | 1        |            |
|     | consciousness/GCS?    | > 12               |       | 2        |            |
| 10  | What is the patient   | able to ambulate   |       | 0        |            |
|     | base line             | unable to ambulate |       | 1        |            |
|     | ambulation's status?  |                    |       |          |            |
| 11  | Is Drug administered  | No                 |       | 0        |            |
|     | through cannula       | Yes                |       | 1        |            |
| 12  | Is fluid administered | No                 |       | 0        |            |
|     | through cannula       | Yes                |       | 1        |            |
| 13  | Is blood administered | No                 |       | 0        |            |
|     | through cannula       | Yes                |       | 1        |            |

### Part-III: cannula related variables

| Sno | Variable                              | Answers           | Code | Skip | Remarks |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------|------|---------|
| 14  | Where is the cannula insertion site?  | Antecubital fossa | 0    |      |         |
|     |                                       | Forearm           | 1    |      |         |
|     |                                       | Dorsum of hand    | 2    |      |         |
|     |                                       | Others            | 3    |      |         |
| 15  | What is the cannula gauge size?       | 20 gauge(0.91mm)  | 0    |      |         |
|     |                                       | 18 gauge(1.27mm)  | 1    |      |         |
|     |                                       | 16 gauge(1.65mm)  | 2    |      |         |
|     |                                       | Others            | 3    |      |         |
| 16  | How many time the cannula insertion   | One time          | 0    |      |         |
|     | trial on one vein (at insertion time) | > one time        | 1    |      |         |
| 17  | The quality/visibility of cannulated  | Good visible      | 0    |      |         |

|    | vein during insertion time            | Poorly visible  | 1 |          |
|----|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---|----------|
| 18 | The cannulated vein securing/dressing | Appropriate     | 0 |          |
|    | condition                             | Not appropriate | 1 |          |
| 19 | How many days the cannula stay, (the  |                 |   | Write in |
|    | cannula dwelling times)               |                 |   | day      |

Table-8 Outcome variable characteristics for time to develop and its predictors of phlebitis among patients admitted in medical ward with peripheral intravenous cannula at public hospitals

### **Part-IV: Outcome Variable**

| Sno | Variable                              |                | Answers  | Codes  | Skippi                     | ng | Remarks  |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|----------------------------|----|----------|
| 20  | Date of cannula in                    | sertion        |  |  |                            |    | Write in |
| 21  | What is the patien<br>scoring system? | t phlebitis    | Score zero (intravenous s<br>Score one (slight pain ne<br>slight redness near IV sit<br>Score two (pain, eryther<br>Score three (pain along p<br>cannula, erythema, indur<br>Score four (pain along pa<br>cannula, erythema, indur<br>palpable venous cord)<br>Score five (pain along pa<br>cannula, erythema, indur<br>palpable venous cord)<br>Score five (pain along pa<br>cannula, erythema, indur<br>palpable venous and pyro<br>Unspecified score(stage<br>symptom and signs but m | site healthy)<br>ar IV site or<br>e)<br>na, swelling)<br>path of<br>ation)<br>ath of<br>ation,<br>th of<br>ation,<br>exia<br>(two or more<br>ot included | 0<br>1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 |    | while in |
|     |                                       |                | In one of the scoring of p   | meditis)   |                            |    |          |
| 22  | Did patient acquir                    | ed phlebitis   | No<br>Yes  |  | 0                          |    |          |
|     |                                       |                |  |  |                            |    |          |
| 23  | If phlebitis<br>developed, what       | Early stage (p | ain, erythema, swelling)   |  | 0                          |    |          |
|     | is the stage of phlebitis?            | Medium stage   | e (pain along path of cannu  | ıla, erythema,   | 1                          |    |          |

|  |   |  | Advanced stage (pain along path of cannula,        |   |  |   |   | 2                                      |   |   |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|
|  |   |  | erythema,  | induration,   | palpable v   | enous cord)                                     |   |  |   |   |
|  |   |  | More adva  | anced stage   | = grade fiv  | e (pain along                                   | g path of                                     | 3                                      |   |   |
|  |   |  | cannula, e   | rythema, in   | duration, pa   | alpable veno                                    | us and  |  |   |   |
|  |   |  | pyrexia)   |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |
|  |   |  | Unspecifi  | ed stage (tw  | o or more s  | symptom and                                     | signs   | 4                                      |   |   |
|  |   |  | but not inc  | cluded in on  | e of the sta   | ge of phlebit                                   | is)   |  |   |   |
| 24   | When is   | the date                                   |  |   |  |   |   |  |   | Write   |
|  | of phlebi   | itis                                       |  | and   |  |   |   |  |   | both date   |
|  | develope  | ed and                                     |  |   |  |   |   |  |   | and length  |
|  | after hov   | v manv                                     |  |   |  |   |   |  |   | dav   |
|  | day deve  | loped                                      |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |
| 25   | When C  | annula                                     |  |   | /  |   |   |  |   | Write date  |
| 23   | when Ca   |  |  |   | /  |   | -   |  |   |   |
|  | removed   | and  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   | and day   |
|  | after hov   | v many                                     |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |
|  | day it re   | moved                                      | <b>a</b> (   |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |
| Part-  | V Phlehi  | tis Scoru                                  | ng torm (d   | observatio  | n charf) d   | luring each                                     | tollowe                                       | un fu                                  | no  |   |
| Dov  | Timo  | Dlago r                                    | ut phlobit   | is score (fr  | $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$ | taning cach                                     | un tim  | If pl                                  | ne<br>blobiti                               | acourrad  |
| Day  | Time  | Please p                                   | out phlebit  | is score (fr  | om 0-5) at<br>ary infor  | t each follow                                   | v up time                                     | e. If pl<br>mesti                      | hlebiti<br>onnair                           | s occurred  |
| Day  | Time  | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed                 | out phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed                 | is score (fr<br>t all necess<br>P Bed                 | om 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed   | t each follow<br>mation on the Bed no=          | v up time<br>ne main d<br>Bed                 | e. If pl<br>question<br>Be             | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed                     | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed  |
| Day  | Time  | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=          | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=          | is score (fr<br>t all necess<br>P Bed<br>no=          | om 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=  | t each follow<br>mation on the Bed no=          | v up time<br>ne main o<br>Bed<br>no=          | e. If pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc       | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed                     | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=   |
| Day  | Time  | Please r<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | is score (fr<br>t all necess<br>P Bed<br>no=<br>score | om 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score   | t each follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=       | v up time<br>ne main o<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | e. If pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>o=<br>ore        | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day<br>Day1  | Time  | Please r<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | is score (fr<br>all necess<br>P Bed<br>no=<br>score   | om 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score   | teach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=        | v up time<br>ne main o<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | e. If pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>o=<br>ore        | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day<br>Day1  | Time     M     E     M  | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | is score (fr<br>t all necess<br>P Bed<br>no=<br>score | m 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  | teach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=        | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | e. If pl<br>questic<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc  | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>o=<br>ore        | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day<br>Day1<br>Day2                                  | Time<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E  | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | is score (fr<br>t all necess<br>P Bed<br>no=<br>score | bed<br>no=<br>score  | teach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=        | v up time<br>ne main o<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | e. If pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore              | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day<br>Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3                          | Time<br>Time<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M   | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | m 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  | teach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=        | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | Be nc                                  | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore              | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day<br>Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3                          | M     E     M     E     M     E     M     E                                     | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | m 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  | teach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=        | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | e. If pl<br>questic<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc  | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore              | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day<br>Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4                  | TimeTimeMEMEMEM   | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | bell charter () a       com 0-5) at       cary infor       Bed       no=       score   | ach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=          | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | e. If pl<br>questic<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc  | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore              | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4                         | Time<br>Time<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E                            | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | and charter () a         pom 0-5) at         ary infor         Bed         no=         score   | teach follow<br>mation on the<br>Bed no=        | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | Be nc                                  | ne<br>hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore<br>ore | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4<br>Day5                 | Time     Time     M     E     M     E     M     E     M     E     M     E     M | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | m 0-5) at<br>sary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score   | teach follow<br>mation on the Bed no=           | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | E If pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc  | ne<br>hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>)=<br>ore  | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4<br>Day5                 | Time<br>Time<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E<br>M<br>E        | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | m 0-5) at<br>ary infor<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  | teach follow<br>mation on the Bed no=           | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | Be nc                                  | ne<br>hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore<br>ore | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4<br>Day5<br>Day6         | TimeTimeMEMEMEMEMEMEM   | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | and charter () at common (  | teach follow<br>mation on the Bed no=           | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | E I F pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc | ne<br>hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore<br>ore | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4<br>Day5<br>Day6         | TimeTimeMEMEMEMEMEM   | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | Image: Charlet () and () an  | teach follow<br>mation on the Bed no=<br>score  | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | E If pl<br>question<br>Be<br>nc<br>sc  | hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>)=<br>ore        | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4<br>Day5<br>Day6<br>Day7 | TimeTimeMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEM   | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | Image: control of the second secon  | teach follow<br>mation on the Bed no=           | v up time<br>ne main o<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score |  | ne<br>hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>)=<br>ore  | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score  |
| Day1<br>Day2<br>Day3<br>Day4<br>Day5<br>Day6<br>Day7 | TimeTimeMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEMEM                                       | Please p<br>(two of<br>Bed<br>no=<br>Score | but phlebit<br>its s/s), pu<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score | P Bed<br>no=<br>score                                 | Image: Charlet () and () an  | a ch follow<br>mation on th<br>Bed no=<br>score | v up time<br>ne main e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score |  | ne<br>hlebiti<br>onnair<br>ed<br>ore<br>ore | s occurred<br>e<br>Bed<br>no=<br>score<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c<br>c |

|      | Е |  |  |  |  |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Day9 | Μ |  |  |  |  |
|      | Е |  |  |  |  |
| Day1 | Μ |  |  |  |  |
| 0    | Е |  |  |  |  |

P Bed no = patient bed number, M = morning, E = evening

### Part-VI The Jackson's Visual Infusion Phlebitis (VIP) Scoring System



#### **Annex-IX** Participants information and consent sheet Amharic version

ጤና ይስጥልኝ ስሜ .....ይባላል። በባህር ዳር የኒቨርሲቲ የአዋቂዎች

ነርሲነግ የሁተኛ ዴግሪ ተማሪ ለሆነው የተማሪ ታዴዎስ ልደቱ ተወካይ ነኝ። ለዚህ ጥናት የእርስዎ ተሳትፎ በጣም አስፈላጊ ነው። ስለዚህ ለጥያቄው መልስ እንዲሰጡ በትህትና እጠይቃለሁ።

**መግቢያ፡-** ስለጥናቱ አጠቃላይ መረጀ ለፈለን ሕይወት ሁለንብ እና ስፔሻላይዝድ ሆስፒታል፣ ለጥበበ *ግ*ዮን ስፔሻላይዝድና ማስተማሪያ ሆስፒታል ፤ ለአዲስዓለም የመጀመሪያ ደረጃ ሆስፒታል ፤ ለሚመለከታቸው አካላት እና ለተሳታፍዎች ይሰጣቸዋል።

**የጥናቱ ርዕስ፡-** በ2014ዓም ፤ በኢትዮጵያ፣ በአማራ ክልል፣ በባህርዳር ከተማ የሕዝብ ሆስፒታሎች ውስጥ ተኝተዉ ከሚታከሙ አዋቂዎች ህሙማን እና በደም ስራቸዉ የመድሃኒት መስጫ ፕላስቲክ ቱቦ ካላቸዉ መካክል መቸ የደም መላሽ የደም ስር እንደሚበከል እና አጋላጭ መንሴዎች ምርምር ማድረግ ነዉ።

**የተሞራጣሪው ስም፡-** ታዴዎስ ልደቱ (ቢኤስሲ ነርስ)

**የድርጅቱ ስም፡-** ባህር ዳር ዩኒቨርሲቲ የህክምና እና ጤና ሳይንስ ኮሌጅ የአዋቂዎች ጤና ነርሲነግ ትምህርት ክፍል **የጥናቱ ዓላማ፡-** በ2014ዓም ፤ በኢትዮጵያ፣ አማራ፣ በባህርዳር ከተማ የሕዝብ ሆስፒታሎች ውስጥ ተኝተዉ ከሚታከሙ አዋቂዎች ህሙማን እና በደም ስራቸዉ የመድሃኒት መስጫ ፕላስቲክ ቱቦ ካላቸዉ መካክል መቸ የደም መላሽ የደም ስር እንደሚበከል እና አጋላጭ መንሴዎች ምርምር እና ጥናት ማካሄድ ነዉ።

**የጥናቱ ሂደት፡** ተሣታፊዎችን የማካተት መሞዘኛዎች ከተጣራ በኋላ እና ተሳታፊዎች ከተለዩ በኋላ፣ በጥያቄዎች ላይ በመመስረት መረጃው ይሰበሰባል። አንድ ተሳታፊ ጠይቆ ለመጨረስ 30 ደቂቃ አካባቢ ይወስዳል።

**የጥናቱ ስጋት እና/ወይም አለመመቸት፡-** የዚህ ጥናት መረጃ ተሳታፊዎችን በመጠየቅ የሚንኝ ስለሆነ በበሽተኞች ላይ ምንም አይነት ጉዳት አያስከትልም። ማንኛውም ሌላ ተሳታፊዉን ሊለይ የሚችል መረጃ በጥያቄዉ ላይ አይመዘንብም እንዲሁም ሁሉም ከተሳታፊው የተወሰዱ መረጃዎች በጥብቅ ሚስጥር እና በአስተማማኝ ቦታ ይቀመጣሉ። ከተሳታፊዎች የተንኘው መረጃ ለጥናት ዓላማ ብቻ ጥቅም ላይ ይውላል።

**የጥናቱ ጥቅም፡** ጥናቱ ለተሳታፊው ቀጥተኛ ጥቅም አለው የህም የደም መላሽ የደም ስር የመበከል ችግርን በመለየት ከዚያም የሚመለከታቸው የህክምና አካላት በህክምናዉ መሰረት እንዲቆጣጠሩት ምክረ ሃሳብ ይሰጣል። በተጨማሪም በጥናቱ ዘርፍ ለተሣታፊው እና ለሌሎች ደንበኞች የሚሰጠው ቀጥተኛ ያልሆነ ጥቅም አለዉ። በአጠቃላይ፣ የምርምር ስራው የደም ስር የመበከል ችግርን በተመለከተ ተንቢ ፖሊሲዎችን ለመንደፍ ፤ ለጤና አጠባበቅ እቅድ አውጪዎች እና አስተዳዳሪዎች በጀት ለመበጀት ከፍተኛ የሆነ ቀጥተኛ ጥቅም አለው።

**የጥናቱ ምስጢራዊነት፡** የጥናቱን ምስጢራዊነት ለማረ*ጋ*ንጥ በተሳታፊው ላይ ያለው መረጃ በጤና ተቋሙ ውስጥ የስራ ልምድ ባላቸው ማለሰቦች ይሰበሰባል እና የተሳታፊዎችን ስም ሳይጨምር መረጃ ይሰበስባል። ከዚህ

55

የምርምር ፕሮጀክት የሚሰበሰበው መረጃ በሚስጥር ይጠበቃል እንዲሁም በተዘጋ ካቢኔ ውስጥ ይቀመጣል። በተጨማሪም፣ ከተመራማሪዉ በስተቀር ለማንም አይንለጽም ። በተመራማሪዉኮምፒውተር ላይ በሚስጥር ይቀመጣል።

**የጥናቱ ተጠሪ ሰው፡-** ስለ ጥናቱ ወይም አካሄዶቹ በማንኛውም ጊዜ ጥያቄዎች ካሉ፣ ከሚከተሉት ማለሰቦች መካከል በማንኛውም ጊዜ ማግኘት ይቻላል።

| የተጦራጣሪው      | ታዴዎስ ልደቱ (ቢኤስሲ ነርስ), ኢሜል፡ <u>tadioslidetu@gmail.com</u> , የሞባይል           |
|--------------|---|
| የአማካሪዎች ስም   | ንብሬ ይታይሀ (ቢኤስሲ፣ ኤም.ኤስ.ሲ፣ በአዋቂዎች የጤና ነርሲንግ ረዳት ፕሮፌሰር),                     |
|              | ኢሜል አድራሻ <u>gebyit45@yahoo.com</u> , የሞባይል ስልክ +25196303914               |
|              | አለምሸት ይር <i>ጋ</i> (ቢኤስሲ፣ ኤም.ኤስ.ሲ፣ በነርስ የቀዶ ጥ <i>ነ</i> ና ህክምና  ሞምህር),  ኢሜል |
|              | አድራሻ, <u>alemyirga25@gmail.com</u> , የሞባይል ስልክ +251918065664              |
| ከላይ ባለው ወይፃ  | <sup>ው</sup> በተሰጠዎት   |
| አይደለሁም       | አጦሰግናለሁ።  |
| የተሳታፊ፡ ፊርማ _ | ቀን  |
| የጠያቂ፡ ስም     | ፊርማ   |
| የጠያቄ         | ۲ <u>ـــــ</u>  |
| የቃለ          | ነ የጦነሻ ሰዓት የተጠናቀቀበት  ጊዜ   |
| የቃለ          | ጤት   ሀ) የተጠናቀቀ ለ) ያልተጠናቀቀ ሐ) በከፊል የተጠናቀቀ ጦ) የተቃወጮ።                        |
| የጦረጃ ሰብሳቢ፡ ስ | ነም ፊርማ ቀን   |
| የተቆጣጣሪ፡ ስም   | ቀን  |

### **Annex-X Questionnaire sheet Amharic Version**

ሞማቢያ፡ (ከሞጋቢት/1/2014ዓም እስከ ሞጋቢት/30/2014ዓም ሞረጃ ከእያንዳንዱ ተሳታፊ ይሰበሰባል ። ጥያቄዎቹ ከተለያዩ ጽሑፎች የተወሰዱ እና የተሻሻሉ ናቸዉ። ከትክክለኛው የሞረጃ ሞሰብሰቢያ ጊዜ በፊት የጥያቄዎቹ ትክክለኛነት በተማባር የታያል።ውድ ተሳታፊ እባኮትን ተንቢውን ሞልስ ይስጡ።

የመረጃ ሰብሳቢው ስም፡ \_\_\_\_\_\_ ፊርማ፡ \_\_\_\_\_\_ ቀን\_\_\_\_\_ የተቆጣጣሪው ስም፡ \_\_\_\_\_\_ ፊርማ፡ \_\_\_\_\_ የተሳታፊዉ ኮድ፡- \_\_\_\_ /\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

የጥያቄዉ ኮድ ቁጥር፡ \_\_\_\_\_\_ የሆስፒታል ስም፡ \_\_\_\_\_

ክፍል አንድ፡ዲሞግራፊ እና ማህበራዊ ሁኔታዎችን በተመለከተ የተዘጋጁ ጥያቄዎች

| ተቁ | ጦጠይቅ               | ሞልስ                       | ኮድ | ማለፍ | ምርጦራ |
|----|--------------------|---------------------------|----|-----|------|
| 01 | የህሞምተኛዉ ጾታ?        | ወንድ                       | 0  |     |      |
|    |                    | ሴት                        | 1  |     |      |
| 02 | እድሜዎ ስንት ነዉ?       | በአሙት ፃፍ                   | :  |     |      |
| 03 | የት ነዉ የሚኖሩ?        | ከተማ                       | 0  |     |      |
|    |                    | ን៣ር                       | 1  |     |      |
| 04 | የትምሀርት ደረጃዎ ምንድ    | ማንበብና                     | 0  |     |      |
|    | ነዉ?                | ማንበብና                     | 1  |     |      |
|    |                    | እስከ                       | 2  |     |      |
|    |                    | ተምሬአለሁ                    |    |     |      |
|    |                    | እስከ ሁለተኛ ደረጃ ትምህርት ተምሬአለሁ | 3  |     |      |
|    |                    |                           |    | _   |      |
|    |                    | ኮሌጅ እና በላይ ተምሬአለሁ         | 4  |     |      |
| 05 | ስራዎ ምንድን ነዉ?       | ስራ አጥ                     | 0  |     |      |
|    |                    | ተቀጣሪ                      | 1  |     |      |
|    |                    | ነጋዴ                       | 2  |     |      |
|    |                    | አርሶ አደር                   | 3  |     |      |
|    |                    | ተማሪ                       | 4  |     |      |
|    |                    | ሌላ (ግለጽ)                  | 5  |     |      |
| 06 | የጋብቻዎ ሁኔታ ምንድን ነዉ? | አላንባሁም                    | 0  |     |      |
|    |                    | አግብቻለሁ                    | 1  |     |      |
|    |                    | ፈትቻለሁ                     | 2  | ]   |      |
|    |                    | <u>ሙቶብኛል/ሙ</u> ታብኛለሽ      | 3  |     |      |

ክፍል ሁለት ከክምና እና ተዛማጅ ሁኔታዎችን በተመለከተ የተዘጋጁ ጥያቄዎች

| ተቁ | ጦጠይቅ                      | ሞልስ | ኮድ | ማለፍ | ምርሞራ |
|----|---------------------------|-----|----|-----|------|
| 07 | በሽተኛዉ/ዋ ሆስፒታል የተኛበት/ችበት   |     |    |     | ይፃፍ  |
|    | በሽታ ምንድን ነዉ?              |     |    |     |      |
| 08 | የታዎቀ የቆየ የማይድን በሽታ አለቦዎት? | የለም | 0  |     |      |

|    |                          | አዎ                                     | 1 |  |
|----|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| 09 | የሀጦምተኛዉ/ዋ የንቁነት ሁኔታ ምንድን | እራሱን የሳተ (≤ 8)                         | 0 |  |
|    | ነዉ?በመጀመርያዉ ቀን            | በንቁነት እና እራሱን በሙሳት<br>ሙካከል ያለ (9 – 12) | 1 |  |
|    |                          | ንቁ (>12)                               | 2 |  |
| 10 | የአካላዊ እንቅስቃሴዎ ሁኔታ ምንድን   | <u>እንቅስቃሴ አደር</u> ጋለሁ                  | 0 |  |
|    | ነዉ?                      | እንቅስቃሴ አላደር <i>ግም</i>                  | 1 |  |
| 11 | የጦርፌ ምግብ (ፈሳሽተሰጧል)       | የለም                                    | 0 |  |
|    |                          | አዎ                                     | 1 |  |
| 12 | ሞድሃኒት ወስዷል በደም ስር ፕላስቲኩ  | የለም                                    | 0 |  |
|    |                          | አዎ                                     | 1 |  |
| 13 | ደም በደም ስር ፕላስቲኩ ተሰጧል     | የለም                                    | 0 |  |
|    |                          | አዎ                                     | 1 |  |

ክፍል ሶስት፡ የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ እና ተጓዳኝ ሁኔታዎች

| ተቁ | ጦጠይቅ   | ሞልስ                       | ኮድ | ማለፍ | ምርጦራ   |
|----|--|---------------------------|----|-----|--------|
| 14 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ የተደረንበት የሰዉነት                             | የክርን                      | 0  |     |        |
|    | ክፍል የትኛዉ ላይ ነዉ?  | የፊት እጅ ላይ                 | 1  | -   |        |
|    |  | ለእጅ  ማዳፍ  የቀረበ ላይ         | 2  |     |        |
|    |  | ሌላ ካለ ይ7ለፅ                | 3  |     |        |
| 15 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲኩ  ጦጠን በኔጅ መለኪያ<br>ስንት ነዉ?                  | 20 gauge(0.91 ሚጫ)         | 0  |     |        |
|    |  | 18 gauge(1.27ዲሜ)          | 1  |     |        |
|    |  | 16 gauge(1.65 <b>ሚ</b> ጫ) | 2  |     |        |
|    |  | ሌላ ከለ (ሚሜ)                | 3  |     |        |
| 16 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ በደም ስር ሲንባ የደም<br>ስር የእደ ተሁኔታው እንደ ት ነባር? | በደንብ የሚታይ                 | 0  |     |        |
|    |  | በደንብ የማይታይ                | 1  |     |        |
| 17 | አንድ ቬን ላይ የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ                                 | አንዴ ብቻ                    | 0  |     |        |
|    | ለማስንባት ስንት ጊዜ ተሞከረ?                                    | ሁለቴ እና በላይ                | 1  |     |        |
| 18 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ የተደረንበት የደም ስር                            | ትክክል                      | 0  |     |        |
|    | እሸጋ ሁኔታ እንዴት ነዉ?                                       | ትክክል አይደልም                | 1  |     |        |
| 19 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ በህሙማኑ የሰዉነት<br>ክፍል የቆይታ ጊዜ ምን ያህል ነዉ?     |                           |    |     | በቀን ፃፍ |
## ክፍል አራት የደም ስር ጦርፌ ሀክምን እና ተጓዳኝ ጠንቆች ሁኔታ

| ተቁ | ጦጠይቅ  | ሞልስ            | ኮድ |   | ማለፍ | ምርጦራ                     |
|----|---|----------------|----|---|-----|--------------------------|
| 20 | ጦቸ ነዉ የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ የተደረንዉ?  |                |    |   |     | ቀኑን ፃፍ                   |
| 21 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ ከተደረז በኋላ የደም<br>ሞልስ የደም ስር ሞበከል ዉጤት<br>በነጥብ(ስኮር)                | <br>ነጥብ(ስኮር) 0 |    | 0 |     |                          |
|    |   | ነጥብ(ስኮር) 1     |    | 1 | -   |                          |
|    |   | ነጥብ(ስኮር) 2     |    | 2 |     |                          |
|    |   | ነጥብ(ስኮር) 3     |    | 3 |     |                          |
|    |   | ነጥብ(ስኮር) 4     |    | 4 |     |                          |
|    |   | ነጥብ(ስኮር) 5     |    | 5 |     |                          |
|    |   | ያለተንለፀ ነጥብ(ስኮር | ;) | 6 |     |                          |
| 22 | የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ ከተደረን በኋላ የደም<br>ሞልስ የደም ስር                                      | አዎ             |    | 0 |     |                          |
|    |   | የለም            |    | 1 |     |                          |
| 23 | ሞልሱ አዎ ከሆነ የደም ሞልስ ቧንቧ ሞበከል<br>ደረጃ ምንድን ነዉ?                                   | የመጀመርያ ደረጃ     |    | 0 |     |                          |
|    |   | ሞካከለኛ ደረጃ      |    | 1 |     |                          |
|    |   | ከፍተኛ ደረጃ       |    | 2 |     |                          |
|    |   | በጣም ከፍተኛ ደረጃ   |    | 3 |     |                          |
|    |   | ያለተ7ለፀ ደረጃ     |    | 4 |     |                          |
| 24 | ሞልሱ አዎ ከሆነ/ምልክቶች ከታዩ ሞቸ ነዉ<br>የደም ሞልስ የደም ስር ሞበከል የተፈጠረዉ<br>እና በስተኛዉ ቀን ተከሰተ? |                |    |   |     | በቀን እና<br>የፈጀዉን ቀን<br>ፃፍ |
| 25 | ሞቸ ነዉ የደም ስር ፕላስቲክ ትቦዉ<br>የወጣዉ እናም በስተኛዉ ቀን ወጣ?                               |                |    |   |     | ሁለቱንም ፃፍ                 |