School of Public Health

Thesis and Dissertations

2021-02

Prevalence of Hook Worm Infection and its Associated Factors Among Adult Outpatient Attendants in Public Health Facilities of North Mecha District, North West Ethiopia

Mullualem, Asmare

http://ir.bdu.edu.et/handle/123456789/13852 Downloaded from DSpace Repository, DSpace Institution's institutional repository



BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH SCIENCES

SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

PREVALENCE OF HOOK WORM INFECTION AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG ADULT OUTPATIENT ATTENDANTS IN PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITIES OF NORTH MECHA DISTRICT, NORTH WEST ETHIOPIA.

BY: - MULLUALEM ASMARE (BSC)

ATHESIS RESEARCH SUBMITTED TO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH ECONOMICS, SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH, COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH SCIENCES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTERS IN GENERAL PUBLIC HEALTH

FEBRUARY, 2021

BAHIR DAR, ETHIOPIA

BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH SCIENCES SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICE MANAGEMENTAND HEALTH ECONOMICS

PREVALENCE OF HOOK WORM INFECTION AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG ADULT OUTPATIENT ATTENDANTS IN PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITIES OF NORTH MECHA DISTRICT, NORTH WEST ETHIOPIA.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: -MULLUALEM ASMARE

BSC NURSE

CELL PHONE: +251936593083

EMAIL:asmaremullualem.8@gmail.com

ADVISOR: -YIHUN MULUGETA (ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR)

BSC, MPH IN EPI / BIO., MSC IN IH.

CELL PHONE: +251946466150

EMAIL:yihun.mulugeta@yahoo.com

CO-ADVISOR: - GEBEYEHU TSEGA (ASSISTANT PROFESSOR)

BSC, MPH IN HSM.

CELL PHONE: +251978807477

EMAIL ADDRESS: gebishts@gmail.com

BAHIRDAR, ETHIOPIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank Bahirdar University College of Medicine and Health Sciences School of Public Health Department of Health Service Management and Health Economics for giving me the chance to prepare this Thesis Research. Secondly I would like to express my deepest Gratitude to my advisors: -Mr. Yihun Mulugeta (Associate Prof.) and Mr.Gebeyehu Tsega (Assistant Prof.), for their valuable Advising &suggestions for the development of this thesis thesis research. I also would like to thank North Mecha District Health Office, Merawi primary Hospital, Data collectors and Study Participants for their great contribution to this Research.Finally, I express my sincere thanks to my family & my friends for their support in giving supportive idea in this thesis research development.

Abstract

Background: - Human hookworm is a soil-transmitted helminth infection caused by either Necator americanus or Anclystoma duodenale.Globally it is a major cause of morbidity and predominantly affects the poorest population. Transmitted primarily by larval invasion of exposed skin, the adults inhabit the host small intestine, where they consume host blood. The resultant chronic iron deficiency anemia can lead to stunted growth and cognitive deficits in children, reduced work capacity in adults, and a variety of pregnancy complications. **Objective:-**To assess prevalence of hook worm infection & associated factors among adult outpatient attendants in health facilities of North Mecha District, North West Ethiopia 2020/2021.

Method: - Afacility based cross-sectional study was conducted from December 10/2020 to January 8/2021. Six out of 11 Health facilities were selected by Lottery method& study participants were selected by systematic random sampling technique. Data collectors and supervisors were trained. Pre tested Amharic version questionnaire was used to collect data using face to face interview technique and stool sample was examined in the Laboratory. Data was coded and entered into SPSS version 23 software. A total of 539 samples were included in Analysis.Bivariable logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors associated with prevalence of hook worm infection.Those variables <0.2 p-value were entered into multivariable logistic regression analysis to identify statistically significant independent factors when the effect of other variables were adjusted. Adjusted odd ratio at 95% Cland p-value< 0.05 was presented.

Result: - The prevalence of hookworm infection was 20%. Habit of walking in barefoot in outdoor (AOR=6.49, 95% CI ;(2.753-15.302)), fingernails hygienic status (AOR=2.534, 95% CI (1.354-4.74)) and information about hookworm infection (AOR=6.013, 95%CI (2.625-13.776)) were significantly associated with hookworm infection.

Conclusion: - The prevalence of hookworm infection is high. Had habit of walking in barefoot ,had dirty fingernails and had no information about hookworm infection were positively associated with hookworm infection.Giving targeted health education, regular shoe wearing and behavioral change communication to reduce habit of walking in barefoot are essential to reduce prevalence of hookworm infection among adults.

Keywords: - Hook worm infection, Associated factors, Adult Outpatient attendant and North Mecha district.

ABBREVIATIONS

A.caninum:	Ancylostoma caninum
A.cyelanicum:	Ancylostoma cyelanicum
A.duodenale:	Ancylostoma duodenale
CSA:	Central Statistics Agency
DWMM:	Direct Wet Mount Microscopy
Fig:	Figure
G.C.:	Gregorian calendar
H.C.:	Health center
MRN:	Medical Registration Number
N.Americanus:	Necator americanus
PI:	Principal Investigator
OPD:	Out Patient Department
SOP:	Standard Operating Procedure
STH:	Soil Transmitted Helminthes
VHV:	Village Health Volunteer
\$:	Dollar
YLD:	Years Lived With Disability
AOR:	Adjusted Odds Ratio
CI:	Confidence Interval

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
Abstract iv
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS v
LIST OF FIGURES ix
1. INTRODUCTION
1.1. Background 1
1.2. Statement of the problem
1.3. Significance of the study
2. LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1. Magnitude of hookworm infection5
2.2. Determinants of hook worm infection
2.2.1. Socio demographic factors 5
2.2.2. Personal factors
2.2.3. Environmental factors
3. CONCEPTUAL FRAME WORK ON FACTORS OF HOOK WORM
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY 11
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY 11 4.1. General Objective 11 4.2. Specific Objective 11
 4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY
 4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings12
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population13
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population135.3.1. Source population13
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population135.3.1. Source population135.3.2. Study population13
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population135.3.1. Source population135.3.2. Study population135.3.3 Study unit13
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population135.3.1. Source population135.3.2. Study population135.3.3 Study unit135.4. Sample size determination and sampling procedure13
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population135.3.1. Source population135.3.2. Study population135.3.3 Study unit135.4. Sample size determination and sampling procedure13
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY 11 4.1. General Objective 11 4.2. Specific Objective 11 5. METHOD 12 5.1. Study design and period 12 5.2. Study settings 12 5.3. Population 13 5.3.1. Source population 13 5.3.2. Study population 13 5.4. Sample size determination and sampling procedure 13 5.4.2. Sampling procedure 15
4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY114.1. General Objective114.2. Specific Objective115. METHOD125.1. Study design and period125.2. Study settings125.3. Population135.3.1. Source population135.3.2. Study population135.3.3 Study unit135.4. Sample size determination and sampling procedure135.4.1. Sample size determination135.4.2. Sampling procedure155.5. Eligibility criteria17

5.5.2. Exclusion criteria	17
5.6. Study variables	
5.6.1. Dependent variable	
5.6.2. Independent variables	
5.7. Operational definitions	
5.8. Data collection	
5.8.1. Data collection technique	
5.8.2. Data collectors and supervisors	19
5.8.3. Data quality control	19
5.8.4. Data processing and analysis	20
5.9. Ethical considerations	
6. RESULT	
6.1. Socio- demographic characteristics of respondents.	
6.2. Environmental characteristics of Respondents.	
6.3. Personal characteristics of Respondents.	
6.4. Respondents characteristics on Stool exam and recommended actions	
6.5. Factors associated with hook worm infection of Adult OPD attendants.	
7. DISCUSSION	
8. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY	
9. CONCLUSION	30
10. RECOMMENDATION	
11. REFERENCES	
12. APPENDIX	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1Table showing Sample size Determination by using associated factors of Hookworm 14
Table 2 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Respondents, North Mecha District, Northwest
Ethiopia January 2021, (n = 539)
Table 3 Environmental characteristics of Respondents, North Mecha District, Northwest Ethiopia
January 2021, (n = 539)
Table 4 Personal characteristics of Respondents, North Mecha District, Northwest Ethiopia
January 2021, (n = 539)
Table 5 Respondents characteristics on results of Stool exam and recommended actions, North
Mecha District, Northwest Ethiopia January 2021, (n = 539)
Table 6 Bivariable Logistic Regression and Multivariable Logistic Regression analysis of factors
associated with hookworm infection in North Mecha District, NorthWest Ethiopia, January
2021,(n=539)

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Conceptual framework on associated factors of Hookworm Infection	. 10
Figure 2.Schematic presentation of sampling procedures	. 16

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Hookworm is a soil transmitted helminth and one of the neglected tropical diseases listed by World Health Organization (WHO) (1). Hookworms are parasitic worms that can infect the human intestine (2). Walking barefooted in warm climates where sanitation is poor, contaminated soil, contaminated food and water in parts of the world with poor sanitation, lack of hygiene and open defecation are important risk factors for the transmission of hookworm infection(4). Transmission of hookworm infection is occurring either by fecal-oral route or by skin penetration (3). The main way people become infected with hookworm's larva is through direct skin contact with contaminated soil when walking on barefoot (9).

Humans are infected with hookworm's third stage filarial-form larvae. The larva in soil penetrates through the skin particularly into area such as unprotected feet (5). After penetrating the epithelial barrier, hookworms attach to a host's intestinal mucosa, using sharp teeth to lacerate small blood vessels for feeding (7).Once infected, the filarial-form larva migrates into blood circulation. They break out of the pulmonary blood vessels into alveoli, then crawl up the trachea and are swallowed with saliva to re-enter the intestinal tract. They attach themselves to the mucous membrane of the small intestine to mature into adults. The female adult releases eggs Necator Americanus (N.Americanus) about 9000-10,000 eggs per day and Ancylostoma Duodenale (A.duodenale) 25000-34000 eggs per day) which are passed in the faces of the human host. These eggs hatch in the environment within several days and cycle starts anew (5).Hookworm is characterized by the presence of teeth or cutting plates lining the buccal capsule of adult (6). The adult worms are roughly 1 cm long and attach to the host's mucosa where they feed on blood, up to roughly 30 μ L per day per worm (8).

Hookworm infection is characterized by abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, fatigue, dyspnea, pallor, pale sclera, melena and poor academic performance. During heavy infections, an adult hookworm can consume up to 0.2 ml of the blood per day causing iron deficiency anemia and malnutrition (11, 12).

Diagnosis of hookworm infection can be achieved through clinical, parasitological, molecular and immunological diagnostics techniques (13). Necator americanus has been found to be more predominant worldwide than A. duodenale. In addition animal hookworms of dogs and cats, Ancylostoma Ceylanicum (A. ceylanicum) and Ancylostoma Caninum (A. caninum) have been occasionally reported in humans. N. americanus is the predominant hookworm of Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and the Americas while Ancylostma duodenale is endemic in China and India (14). N. americanus is the predominant etiologic agent for hookworm infection in Ethiopia and in Amhara region (15).

Treatment with anthelminthic drugs with a single dose of Albendazole (400mg/day) is a feasible, effective, and low cost approach to control hookworm infection (16).

1.2. Statement of the problem

Hookworm disease is caused by chronic infection with Ancylostoma duodenale or Necator americanus and is of considerable public health importance in low and middle-income countries in the tropics and subtropics (14).

Hookworm infection affects about 740 million people worldwide with 80 million people severely infected (17). It is among the most common infections worldwide and affects most poor communities. It occurs in sub Saharan Africa, The America, china and East Asia. About one third of the world's hookworm infections occur in the Sub Saharan Africa, with the greatest number of cases occurring in Nigeria (38 million cases), Democratic Republic of Congo (31 million cases), followed by Angola, Ethiopia (30 million) and Côte d'Ivore (10-11 million cases)(13). Climate and soil structure in Sub Saharan Africa regions appear to be crucial determinants of hookworm infection, with the high temperatures and moist environments ideal for larvae growth outside a host (7).

The economic burden caused by hookworm infection is high. Among those infected with intestinal nematodes, hookworm infections are associated with the greatest years lived with disability (YLDs), with recent estimates indicating that human hookworm disease is associated with 4.1 million disability-adjusted life years Worldwide. Beyond its health impact, the anemia it induces is linked to a moderate economic burden ranging up to \$139 billion each year globally (19). Anemia due to hookworm infection costs \$20.9 billion worldwide. It also resulted in \$11.0 billion in productivity losses in Africa (20). Majority of infected individuals live in poverty stricken areas with poor environmental sanitation (21). Women and young children have the lowest iron stores and are therefore most vulnerable to chronic blood loss as the result of hookworm infection (22, 23). Young children are reported to be disproportionately affected by

hookworm infection compared to adults due to increased nutritional requirements and less developed immune system. Hookworm infection in this age group has been linked with significant reduced growth and increased risk for protein-energy malnutrition (24); including growth stunting, iron deficiency anemia, intellectual retardation, cognitive and educational deficit (25).

Hookworm's hematophagous habits cause pathogenesis of anemia and malnutrition. However, actual blood loss can be significantly greater, the worms change, their feeding sites several times a day, and the secretion of anticoagulants or proteins means that the vacated sites continues to bleed, contributing greatly to blood loss (26,27).

Most of the physical signs of chronic hookworm infection reflect the presence of iron-deficiency anemia. In addition, anasarca from extensive plasma hyper proteinemia is associated with edema of the face and lower limbs. The skin becomes waxy and acquires a sickly yellowish color (a feature of tropical chlorosis) (28). Other than hypochromic microcytic anemia, the most prominent laboratory finding is eosinophilia which can be detected in30 to 60% of cases (29). Eosinophilia peaks at five to nine weeks after the onset of infection, a period that coincides with the appearance of adult hookworms in the intestine Patients with a light hookworm burden are usually asymptomatic; however, some patients report subjective clinical improvement after treatment (30).

A moderate or heavy hookworm burden results in recurrent epigastric pain and tenderness, nausea, exertional dyspnea, pain in the lower extremities, palpitations, joint and sternal pain, headache, fatigue, and impotence(31). Some patients crave bulky substances and ingest dirt (pica). In adults, the capacity for work may be adversely affected, and many report an inability to work (32).

The disease burden and the public health importance of Hookworm and other soil transmitted helminthes are still concerns in developing countries like Ethiopia (33). Moreover, Efforts like Launching and implementing Health Extension Package, Mass Drug Administration of School Age Deworming and Enhancing Outreach service with Periodic Deworming of 24-59 months old children, has been done Hookworm Remains Public Health Problem in Ethiopia.

As far as few Recent Literatures available on Prevalence and Risk factors of Hookworm, Hookworm prevalence and its associated factors among adult population is not well known in the study area & also in North West Ethiopia. Due to lack of such studies in the study area, this study will be conducted to determine prevalence and factors which may contribute to hookworm infection in adults. Different Electronic Search Engines like Google, Google scholar, PubMed, science direct, BMC Medical were used to Review Available Literatures.

1.3. Significance of the study

The results of this study has contributions for Health care providers and District and zonal level Health Officials to increase hook worm screening chance for their patients and to take effective prevention and control mechanisms for their catchment population by updating their knowledge about hookworm prevalence and associated factors in the study area. The results of this study will also help Educators to increase and/or update local knowledge about the prevalence and risk factors of hookworm in Adults in North West Ethiopia so as to teach their students. Policy makers will use this result as an additional Input to assess hookworm magnitude and Risk factors that are prevalent in North West Ethiopia and to take evidence based decision to improve or strengthen policies in prevention and control of Hook worm for the community at large. Finally, information obtained from this study will add to the existing body of knowledge about the prevalence and associated factors of hook worm in adults and help Researchers to generate Hypothesis for further Research.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Magnitude of hookworm infection

Across sectional study done in 3371 participants of Southern Lao People's Democratic Republic Reveals that the overall prevalence of hookworm infection was 48.8% (2); and in Preah Vihear Province, Cambodia Of the 2576 participants included in the study, 49% were infected with hookworm (34). Another cross-sectional study conducted between January to April 2016 among village health volunteers (VHVs) from four sub-districts of Nopphitam District, Nakhon Si Thammarat Province, southern Thailand A total of 324 VHVs were enrolled and The prevalence of hookworm was 8%(35). In across sectional study done on hookworm infection among patients attending usmanu danfodiyo university clinic, main campus, sokoto, sokoto state, Nigeria Out of 100 study participants, overall prevalence of hookworm infection was 71 %. (36).And cross sectional study conducted among participants of 2 years and older in the house hold survey in Kwale County, Kenya Reveals that Prevalence of hookworm infection was 19.1% (37). Where as a community based cross sectional study done in Ashanti region Ghana with724 study participants of those age greater than 6 years revealed that prevalence of hook worm was 5.6% and varied by community (38). Higher rate observed in study conducted among pregnant women attending antenatal care in Maytsebri primary hospital, North Ethiopia, 179Out of the total 448 pregnant women were positive for Hookworm with the prevalence rate of 40% (39). In another cross sectional study conducted among pregnant women attending antenatal care at public health facilities in Lalo Kile district, Oromia, Western Ethiopia Revealed that 106 out of 315 respondents were positive for Hook worm with the prevalence of 33.7%(40). A study conducted Over 306 participants on hookworm infection and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at governmental health centers in Dembecha district, North West Ethiopia, showed that the prevalence of hookworm infection was 32%(9). Astudy conducted in Dera District, South Gondar Ethiopia Among the total 464 study participants' shows that Hookworm prevalence was 14.7% (41).

2.2. Determinants of hook worm infection

2.2.1. Socio demographic factors

Sex

The overall prevalence and intensity of hookworm infection are higher in males than in females, in part because males have greater exposure to infection (22). In A study conducted in Southern Lao People's Democratic Republic, Females showed lower prevalence and intensity of hookworm infection than males, except women aged 50years and above, who were the most heavily infected (2). Also a study conducted in University of Calabar Staff School, Calabar, Nigeria showed that the frequency of hookworm infection was more in males, 11.3% than in females, 6.8% (25). In across sectional study done among patients attending usmanu danfodiyo university clinic, main campus, sokoto, sokoto state, Nigeria Out of 100 study participants, Gender specific prevalence of hookworm infection revealed that males (73.2%) were more infected than females (68.2%)(36). Whereas across sectional study done in Ashanti region, Ghana, among study participants the odds of infection among females were 1.72 the odds of males even if it is not statistically significant (38). In a study conducted among Rural communities of South west Ethiopia, Based on the analysis males were1.67times more often get infection than females (42).

Age

Compared to other soil transmitted helminthes (STH) infections and schistosomiasis, hookworm infection exhibits a unique age-intensity profile. Hookworm intensity usually either steadily raises in intensity with age or plateau in adulthood (18). In a study conducted in Southern Lao People's Democratic Republic showed that Preschool-aged children had the lowest infection levels (2). In A study conducted in Ashanti region, Ghana, The majority of cases of hook worm occurred in adults over the age of 30 years, and the distribution of cases by age was found to be similar between communities included in this study (38). Another community based cross sectional study conducted among study participants of 2years and older in the house holds of Kwale County, Kenya Reveals that 12.5% in less than Five years, 17.4% in 5–14 years and 20.7% in adults; from the total of 19.1% hook worm positives (37). A study conducted in Dera District, South Gondar ,Ethiopia showed that clients with age group greater than 15years old had 5.26 times more to have hookworm infection(19%) compared to clients below15years (3.8%)(41).

Job and Residence

In across sectional study done among patients attending usmanu danfodiyo university clinic, main campus, sokoto, sokoto state, Nigeria Out of 100 study participants, the occurrence of hookworm infection was highest among patients that engage in farming 11(90.9%) while civil servants had the least prevalence of hookworm infection 9(55.5%)(36).In A study conducted in Ashanti region, Ghana, the infection with hookworm was associated with farming OR=14.1& the activity of irrigated culture significantly predicts hookworm infection OR=3.23(38).

Income

In a community level study conducted on the coast of Kenya; the prevalence of Hook worm is associated with low socio-economic status (37). In a study conducted among pregnant women of Dembecha District, North west Ethiopia, pregnant women whose monthly income less than or equal to 1500 Ethiopian birr were 3.7 times more likely to be infected by hookworm than pregnant women whose monthly family income greater than 1500 Ethiopian birr (9).

Level of Education

In A study conducted in Ashanti region, Ghana, A decreasing trend of Hookworm was observed in rates of infection with increased level of education (38) and Hookworm infection was associated with not attending school in a community level study conducted on the coast of Kenya (37).

2.2.2. Personal factors

Personal hygiene

In a study conducted on hookworm infection among patients attending usmanu danfodiyo university clinic, main campus, sokoto, sokoto state, Nigeria Out of 100 study participants, patients with dirty finger nails were the highest infected 55(89.1%) while those with clean finger nails had the lowest prevalence 45(48.9%)(36). In a study conducted at sokoto state Nigeria The occurrence of hookworm infection among Patients not washing hands after toilet was 2.4 times more likely as compared to washing their hands (36). In a study conducted among pregnant women of Dembecha District, North west Ethiopia, The result showed that pregnant women who had not habit of hand washing were 3.4 times more likely to be infected by hookworm than those who had habit of hand washing before meal(9).

Wearing shoes

In a study conducted on hookworm infection among patients attending usmanu danfodiyo university clinic, main campus, sokoto, sokoto state, Nigeria Out of 100 study participants, who walk barefooted were the highest infected 10(80%) while those who always wear shoes were the least infected 59(66.1%) (36). And Ashanti region, Ghana, A higher percentage of individuals who experienced hookworm infections did not wear sandals (17.4%) than those who did (7.4%). Daily shoe use was found to be a protective factor against hookworm infection (38). Another study conducted on the coast of Kenya; shoe-wearing was significantly associated with higher rates of Hook Worm Infection (37).

In across sectional study conducted among pregnant women attending antenatal care at public health facilities in Lalo Kile district, Oromia, Western Ethiopia Showed that pregnant women with a habit of walking barefoot were six times more likely infected by hookworm than who wear shoe regularly (40).Whereas in Dera District, South Gondar, Ethiopia The 17.6% of hook worm infections found among patients walking in barefoot was 2.21 times higher compared to those who worn shoes (7.9%) (41).Another study conducted among pregnant women at Dembecha District ,North west Ethiopia showed that pregnant women with habit of barefoot had almost 4.3 times more likely to be infected by hookworm than those who did not the habit(9).

Awareness

In a study conducted at sokoto state Nigeria Patients unaware of hookworm infection had the highest infection rate (89.9%) while those who had prior knowledge of hookworm infection recorded the lowest prevalence (48.9%) (36).

2.2.3. Environmental factors

In a study conducted on the coast of Kenya; hook worm Infection was associated with individual and household water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) characteristics and behaviors (37). Source of water

In a study conducted at sokoto state, Nigeria, The occurrence of hookworm infection significantly associated with well and river as sources of drinking water, as patients who used well as source of drinking water had the highest prevalence (87.2%), while those who used tap recorded the least prevalence of hookworm infection (33.8%)(36).

8

Availability of latrine

In an Institutional based cross sectional study conducted among pregnant women at Dembecha District ,North west Ethiopia showed Pregnant women who lived in household that have not toilet facility had almost 2.2 times more likely to be infected by hookworm than pregnant women who lived in households that have toilet facility(9).

More over, Literatures showing prevalence and associated factors of hookworm infection in the Adult population representing both sex and Adult age profile in Northwest Ethiopia, and all over Ethiopia are very limited.

3. CONCEPTUAL FRAME WORK ON FACTORS OF HOOK WORM



Figure 1 Conceptual framework on associated factors of hookworm Infection

4. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

4.1. General Objective

To assess prevalence of hook worm infection & associated factors among adult outpatient attendants in north mecha district health facilities, North West Ethiopia 2020/2021.

4.2. Specific Objective

1. To describe prevalence of hook worm infection among adult outpatient attendants in north mecha district health facilities.

2. To identify factors associated with hook worm infection among adult outpatient attendants that are prevalent in north mecha district health facilities.

5. METHOD

5.1. Study design and period

Institutional based cross sectional study was conducted to determine prevalence of hookworm infection and factors associated with it from December 10/2020 to January 8/2021 G.C.

5.2. Study settings

The study was conducted in North Mecha District, Northwest Ethiopia. North Mecha is one of the Districts found in west gojjam Zone of the Amhara Region. North Mecha is bordered on the southwest South Mecha district, on the west by Awi zone and South Achefer district, on the north by North Achefer district, on the South east by Yilmana Densa district and on the east by Bahir Dar zuria district. The administrative city of North Mecha is Merawi which is located 508 km far away from Addis Ababa, capital city of Ethiopia and 34 km from Bahir Dar which is the capital city of Amhara region. The district has an Area of 768.83 Square Kilometers(44) and its Weather condition is 19°C Room temperature, 91% Humidity &, Wind at 13 kilometer/hour in August Month (45).

Based on the 2007 national census conducted by the Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (CSA), this district has a total population of 311,498, of whom 157,306(50.5%) of them were female and 154,192 (49.5%) of them were male; 38,937 or 12.5% are urban inhabitants (44). With an area of 768.83 square kilometers, In North Mecha district there is one government hospital, 10 health centers, 38 health posts and 2 medium private clinics and 4 pharmacies (46). In the district the district hospital and 10 health centers provide primary health care service with investigation and treatment of intestinal parasites including Hookworm infection. Although several methods such as Duplicated Katokatz smear, polymerase chain reaction tests and Microscopical tests used for hookworm diagnosis direct wet mount microscopy of stool sample was the only diagnostic test in the district. This test was routine test and available in all health centers and hospital in the district.

5.3. Population

5.3.1. Source population

All Adults aged 15 years & older who attended health institutions and were permanent residents in North mecha district.

5.3.2. Study population

All adult outpatient attendants in north mecha district of the selected health facilities were the study population.

5.3.3 Study unit

Adult Patient/client who visited an Outpatient Department of Respective Health facility from December 10/2020 to January 8/2021 G.C. were selected.

5.4. Sample size determination and sampling procedure

5.4.1. Sample size determination

Sample size for Objective One

The sample size was determined by using single population proportion formula by taking 32% Hookworm prevalence or p from previous literature done in Dembecha district North West Ethiopia(9). Therefore, by taking p=0.32% and in the assumption that Confidence interval (CI) 95%, α =0.05(5%), 95% confidence level (Z α /2 =1.96), 10% non-response rate and absolute precision or margin of error to be 5 %(d=0.05).

The minimum sample size was calculated using single population proportion estimate that is;

 $n = (\underline{z\alpha/2})^2 \underline{p.q}$ where d^2

n = Minimum sample size

 $Z\alpha/2 = Z$ value at 95% CI (1.96)

p = 32% (0.32)

q=1-p=0.68

d = Margin of error 5% (0.05)

n=
$$\underline{Z\alpha/2}^2 p (1-p)$$

d²
= (1.96)²x (0.32(1-0.32))/ (0.05*0.05) = 334.37

Then by calculating 10% non-response rate from the initial sample size, 334.37*10%=33.43.

So the final sample size will be the sum of $334.37+33.43 = 367.8 \sim 368$.

Sample size for Objective two

Study Population =12401 (Adult outpatients from selected health facilities visited during December 2012E.C.).

S.	Independent	Exposed	Non	Proportion	Adjusted	Calculated	Calculate	
no	Variables	in no	Exposed		odds	Sample	d sample	Referen
			In no		Ratio	size by	+10%	ce
						Epi info.7	non-	
							response	
1	Sex	Female	Male=269	265/2390	1.31	150	165	47
		=265		*100				
				=11.08%				
2	Walking	Yes	No=11	57/464	2.21	163	180	41
	barefoot	=57		*100				
				=12.28%				
3	Availability	No=66	Yes=30	66/300	2.2	258	284	9
	of Latrine			*100				
				=22%				

Table 1Table showing Sample size Determination by using associated factors of Hookworm.As shown above the Sample size estimated from Objective two is less than Objective one.

As the Study was used Multi stage sampling the sample size needs Design Effect; so by adding adesigneffect i.e. Objective one times $1.5 = 368 \times 1.5 = 552$.

The total sample size of the study was 552 of which from Objective One.

5.4.2. Sampling procedure

There is 1 primary hospital with 10 cluster health centers in the District; the data were collected from 6 health facilities. Multi stage sampling technique was used.First of all Simple random sampling technique was used to select 6 out of 11 health facilities before data collection. Merawi primary Hospital, Brakat H.c., Dagi H.c., Abiyotfana H.c.,Tagelwodefit H.c&,Ambomesk H.c.were selected by alottery method. The estimated number of Adult OPD attendants during data collection period prior to data collection had taken from each of the Health centers and Hospital. Annual 2012 fiscal year Report and December month 2012 Report was used. According to North Mecha district Health office and Merawi Primary Hospital Annual 2012 fiscal year report there were a total of 291,544 Adult Outpatient attendances; and the December Month visit of total Adult OPD attendants of 15years&older in the selected 6 health facilities in North Mecha district were 12401(48, 49). The required number of sample was selected from selected health facilities using systematic random sampling technique and sampling Interval/K value was calculated from the December 2012 E.C.monthly Report.



Diagram showing Study population and Sample Size

Figure 2.Schematic presentation of sampling procedures

As shown on the diagram above the total of 5 health centers and the primary hospital were selected by simple random sampling (Lottery) method in North Mecha district for the study.

To select each study unit multi-stage sampling technique was used. Therefore Adult outpatient Visits (N) in each of Health facilities divided by sample size (n) were the sample Interval (K-value) of the study. The study population after K- Value (sample interval) from Triage log book was the sample unit and the selected study participants were interviewed by using systematic random sampling method (K=N/n=12401/552=22.46~22) where

K=sample interval

N=total Adult OPD Attendants (study population)

n=total sample size.

Therefore, eligible study participants were interviewed by going in every K (22) OPD Attendants in each selected health facilities. To select first study unit a lottery method was used. For this study Triage log book /register of selected health facilities was used as sampling frame. The first case selected by lottery method was the 7th Opd attendant from the logbook & then all others were interviewed by every 22 attendant's interval.

5.5. Eligibility criteria

5.5.1. Inclusion criteria

All Adult clients whose age greater than or equal to15 years visited an outpatient department of the selected health facilities for treatment and/or counseling service and who live in the study area for six months or more, were included in the study.

5.5.2. Exclusion criteria

An Adult outpatient attendant who had taken anti helminthic drug within 1month at the time of data collection,

An adult OPD attendant who was in critical emergency situation was excluded from the study.

5.6. Study variables

5.6.1. Dependent variable

Hookworm infection (yes=1, no=0)

5.6.2. Independent variables

Socio demographic variables studied were age, sex, and monthly income of household, place of residence, educational status, occupation, marital status and religion of respondents.

Personal factors included in this study were personal hygiene, wearing shoes and information about hook worm infection.

Environmental factors studied were main source of drinking water and availability of latrine.

5.7. Operational definitions

Health Facility: A health center and/ or a hospital found in the district only, i.e. excluding health posts and Private clinics.

Hookworm Positive: Presence of hookworm ova of any species in a stool microscopy/direct wetmount microscopy regardless of Intensity.

Prevalence: aproportion of hookworm infection in afacility based study.

5.8. Data collection

5.8.1. Data collection technique

An Interviewer Administered questionnaire was originally developed in English and then translated into Amharic. The questionnaire included socio-demographic characteristics, personal factors, environmental factors and stool exam report of hookworm. Most of the items were adapted from existing literatures. The Amharic language questionnaire was used to collect data at all Health facilities during the study period. A pretested questionnaire was used and face to face interview with simple Observation was carried out. Then the Study participants were requested to give stool sample to the Laboratory Department of a health facility and to wait to know their Result.

An approximately 1gm or Head of Safety match size of stool required& collected for Laboratory Exam from each of study participants. Standard operating procedure (SOP) was implemented for laboratory procedures. The Trained Laboratory Technician/Technologist from the Respective Health Facility about this Research performed stool Exam by Direct Wet Mount Microscopy (DWMM) technique which was available in the health facilities. For DWMM the Participant's stool sample was adequately diluted with 0.9% Normal Saline solution and then Examined by 10x (10 times) magnification of the Microscope for presence of Hookworm or other Parasites for each study participant. The trained Laboratory technician or technologist had also notified the result to the data collector and/or the respective OPD, so that the client gets Appropriate Advice and/or Treatment by health proffesionals from the facility.

5.8.2. Data collectors and supervisors

The data was collected by interviewing the study participants, simple observation and by obtaining stool microscopy and result of hookworm after getting informed consent. The data was collected by 6 (2clinical&4BSc) nurses and 6 Laboratory (5Technicians and 1Technologist). Two Health Officers and1 Laboratory Technologist along with Principal Investigator lead (supervised) data collection process.

5.8.3. Data quality control

The questionnaire was translated from English to the local language (Amharic) and was retranslated back to English by another translator to check consistency of the questionnaire. It was also pretested from 5% (28) related participants in south mecha district before conducting the original one. One-day training on the data collection tools and collection procedures was given to data collectors and supervisors by the principal investigator on December 05/2020. Each day questionnaires were checked for completeness during data collection.

Close Supervision of data collectors and laboratories were made. Stool specimens were selected randomly and re-examined by Respective supervisor for cross checking the accuracy of laboratory results. The data collection process was supervised by the Principal investigator throughout the data collection period. The collected data was checked for the completeness, accuracy, clarity and Consistency and confusion on the data collection procedure and or responses were handled immediately (on spot).

5.8.4. Data processing and analysis

After data collection, the questionnaires were checked for completeness and consistency, retranslated to English from Amharic and then the data was coded manually& entered into SPSS version 23 statistical software for analysis&data cleaning was done. Descriptive statistics like frequencies and percentages were done to describe the study variables. Each independent variable was assessed for statistically significant association with the dependent variables in bivariable Logistic regression analysis at 95% confidence interval and p-value of <0.2. Those variables whose p-value less than 0.2 during the bivariable analysis were fitted to the final multiple logistic regression analysis to adjust for potential confounders. Goodness of fit of the final models was checked using Hosmer and Lemeshow test of goodness of fit and result is 0.22. Significant independent variables were declared by adjusted odds ratio at 95% confidence interval and P-value of less than 0.05.

5.9. Ethical considerations

Ethical clearance was obtained from Ethical review committee of Bahirdar University and in order to obtain permission letter I was communicated North mecha district Health office and selected health facilities. All the selected OPD Attendants were informed about the purpose of the study, the importance of their participation, with draw at any time and written/verbal consent was obtained prior to data collection. All the Necessary information was provided & informed consent was obtained from Surrogates for Study participants aged 15-18years. Privacy and confidentiality of information given by each respondent was keeping properly and names will not be recorded but Medical Registration Number (MRN) was recorded. For those whose stool exam was Positive for hookworm and/or other Intestinal parasites during the study period were linked to respective OPD for Counseling and /or Treatment service by health proffesionals from the facility. An incentive was considered or 25 birr per individual was given by principal investigator through data collectors for all study participants to cover Laboratory cost and treatment cost induced by this research.

6. RESULT

6.1. Socio- demographic characteristics of respondents.

A total of 539 Participants were interviewed& examined giving aresponse rate of 97.6% from 552 study participants. The mean age of the respondents was $32.38 (\pm 13.14 \text{ SD})$. The minimum and the maximum age of the respondents were 15 and 80 years respectively. Greater than half (56.8%) of the respondents were between the age of 25 and 49 years. Two hundred fourteen (39.7%) of respondents were Farmers& 330(61.2%) were Rural Residents. Number of respondents who had no formal education was 332(61.6%); as shown on table below.

Table 2 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Respondents, North Mecha District,Northwest Ethiopia January 2021, (n = 539).

Variables	Number	Percentage
Sex of Respondent		
Female	303	56.2
Male	236	43.8
Age of respondents		
15-24 years	164	30.4
25-49 years	306	56.8
\geq 50 years	69	12.8
Residence of Respondent		
Urban	209	38.8
Rural	330	61.2
Marital Status of Respondent		
Single	137	25.4
Married	361	67
Divorced	24	4.5
Widowed	17	3.2
Religion of Respondent		
Orthodox	478	88.7
Muslim	50	9.3
Protestant	11	2.0
Educational status of respondent		
Have no formal education	332	61.6
Primary education	75	13.9
Secondary and above	132	24.5
Occupation of respondents		
Farmer	214	39.7
Employee	80	14.8
Businessman	77	14.3
Others	168	31.2

Monthly income of Respondents in birr			
≤1500	183	34	
1500-3000	210	39	
3000-4500	75	13.9	
>4500	71	13.2	

Key; Others=student, housewife and the like.

6.2. Environmental characteristics of Respondents.

Among the Respondents 424(78.7 %) of respondents have had Toilet in their Household and Almost all (99.1%) of them were using latrines. Among those who had Toilet in their household, 309(73.6%) of them were Always using the latrine. Threehundred fifty one out of 539 (65.1%) of the respondents were using Pipe water as main source of drinking water as shown on table3.

Table 3 Environmental characteristics of Respondents, North Mecha District, NorthwestEthiopia January 2021, (n = 539).

Variables	Number	Percentage
Latrine available in the Household		
No	115	21.3
Yes	424	78.7
Latrine use of Respondent (n=424)		
No	4	0.9
Yes	420	99.1
pattern of using the Latrine (n=420)		
Always	309	73.6
Most of the time	83	19.8
Sometimes	22	5.2
Rarely	6	1.4
Main source of drinking water		
Pipe water	351	65.1
Protected well/spring water	146	27.1
Unprotected water source	42	7.8

6.3. Personal characteristics of Respondents.

Among personal factors studied 50.5% of respondents wash hands always immediately after Toilet while others not always. Two hudred fourty three (45.6%) out of 533 respondents wash hands with water alone immediately after Toilet. All of respondents (100%) had habit of taking ashower. The percentage of respondents having dirty fingernails was 28.2 % (152). Twenty eight or 5.2% out of 539 respondents had no footwear/shoes; But 42.9 % (231) out of 539 Respondents had a habit of walking in bare foot Outside the home even if they have a shoes. Among 539

respondents Interviewed 337(62.5%) of them had had no information about hookworm infection as shown below.

Table 4 Personal characteristics of Respondents, North Mecha District, Northwest EthiopiaJanuary 2021, (n = 539).

Variables	Number	Percentage
Frequency of hand washing before preparing		
food(n=303)	100	
Always	198	65.3
Most of the time	78	25.7
Some times	27	8.9
Frequency of handwashing before eating food		
Always	485	90
Most of the time	50	9.3
Sometimes	4	0.7
Frequency of hand washing after toilet		
Always	272	50.5
Most of the time	153	28.4
Sometimes	114	21.2
Frequency of handwashing after disposal of		
child's excreta (n=301)		
Always	181	60.1
Most of the time	89	29.6
Sometimes	18	6
Rarely	13	4.3
Type of hand washing materials used after		
toilet (n=533)		
Water and so ap	262	49.2
Water and ash	28	5.3
Water alone	243	45.6
Habit of taking bath or shower		
Yes	539	100
Frequency of taking bath or shower		
≥1 aweek	304	56.4
Once> aweek	106	19.7
1>amonth	129	23.9
– Fingernails hygienic status		
Dirty fingernails	152	28.2
Short and clean fingernails	387	71.8
Having afootwear or shoes		
No	28	5.2
Yes	511	94.8
Pattern of wearing shoes (n=511)		

Always	307	60.1
Mostly	140	27.4
Sometimes	51	10
Rarely	13	2.5
Habit of walking in barefoot		
No	308	57.1
Yes	231	42.9
Had Information about Hookworm Infection		
No	337	62.5
Yes	202	37.5

6.4. Respondents characteristics on Stool exam and recommended actions.

The overall percentage of hookworm infection in this research was 20 % (95%CI=16.7-23.4) based on Stool microscopy and all of them had received treatment for hookworm as shown on table 5.

Table 5 Respondents characteristics on results of Stool exam and recommended actions,North Mecha District, Northwest Ethiopia January 2021, (n = 539).

Variables	Number	Percentage
Stool Microscopy Performed for Respondents		
Yes	539	100
Result of stool microscopy for hookworm infection		
Negative	431	80
Positive	108	20
Participant Informed about his/her stool		
microscopy result		
Yes	539	100
Participant Linked to respective Opd for treatment		
and or Counseling service (n=108)		
Yes	108	100

6.5. Factors associated with hook worm infection of Adult OPD attendants.

In the bivariable logistic regression analysis, hookworm infection of adult OPD attendants was associated with age, residence, educational status, occupation, household monthly income, availability of latrine, main source of drinking water, frequency of hand washing immediately after toilet/defecation, frequency of taking bath or shower, fingernails hygienic status, habit of walking in barefoot (outdoor) and had information about hook worm infection.

In the multiple logistic regression analysis, Fingernails hygienic status (AOR=2.534, 95% CI (1.354-4.74)), habit of walking in barefoot in outdoor (AOR = 6.49, 95 % CI (2.753-15.302)) and had information about hook worm infection (AOR=6.013, 95% CI (2.625-13.776)) were significantly associated with hook worm infection of adult OPD attendants. The result is displayed on table 6.

Table 6 Bivariable Logistic Regression and Multivariable Logistic Regression analysis of factors associated with hookworm infection in North Mecha District,NorthWest Ethiopia, January 2021,(n=539)

Variable	Result ofh infection	ookworm	COR(95%CI)	AOR(95%CI)	P –value
	-ve (%)	+ve (%)			
Age					
15-24 years	150(91.5)	14(8.5)	0.187(0.089392)	0.464(0.172-1.249)	0.129
25-49 years	235(76.8)	71(23.2)	0.604(0.343-1.065)	1.089(0.536-2.213)	0.814
≥50 years	46(66.7)	23(33.3)	1	1	
Residence					
Urban	190(90.9)	19(9.1)	1	1	
Rural	241(73)	89(27)	3.693(2.172-6.278)	0.838(0.314-2.235)	0.724
Educational status					
Have no formal education	242(72.9)	90(27.1)	4.091(2.108-7.939)	0.667(0.224-1.984)	0.466
Primary education	68(90.7)	7(9.3)	1.132(0.419-3.057)	0.534(0.16-1.789)	0.309
Secondary and above	121(91.7)	11(8.3)	1	1	
Occupation					
Farmer	148(69.2)	66(30.8)	1	1	
Employee	73(91.3)	7(8.8)	0.215(0.094-0.492)	1.701(0.463-6.249)	0.424
Businessman	67(87)	10(13)	0.335(0.162-0.691)	1.652(0.567-4.811)	0.358
Others	143(85.1)	25(14.9)	0.392(0.234-0.656)	1.169(0.577-2.369)	0.665
Monthly income in birr					
≤1500	125(68.3)	58(31.7)	7.772(2.704-22.33)	2.503(0.755-8.299)	0.134
1500-3000	173(82.4)	37(17.6)	3.582(1.229-10.43)	1.845(0.565-6.029)	0.311
3000-4500	66(88)	9(12)	2.284(0.67-7.782)	1.654(0.429-6.375)	0.465
>4500	67(94.4)	4(5.6)	1	1	
Availablity of latrine					
No	69(60)	46(40)	3.892(2.457-6.167)	1.387(0.747-2.573)	0.300
Yes	362(85.4)	62(14.6)	1	1	
Main source of drinking					
water					
pipe water	302(86)	49(14)	1	1	
Protected well/spring water	106(72.6)	40(27.4)	2.326(1.450-3.731)	0.901(0.467-1.737)	0.755
Unprotected water source	23(54.8)	19(45.2)	5.091(2.583-10.03)	1.564(0.62-3.945)	0.344
Frequency of hand					
washing after toilet					
Always	233(85.7)	39(14.3)	1	1	
Most of the time	120(78.4)	33(21.6)	1.643(0.983-2.745)	0.735(0.383-1.41)	0.354

Sometimes	78(68.4)	36(31.6)	2.757(1.638-4.64)	0.483(0.21-1.112)	0.087
Frequency of taking bath					
≥1 aweek	264(86.8)	40(13.2)	1	1	
once> aweek	80(75.5)	26(24.5)	2.145(1.233-3.731)	0.57(0.269-1.209)	0.143
1≥amonth	87(67.4)	42(32.6)	3.186(1.940-5.233)	0.525(0.242-1.142)	0.104
Fingernails hygienic					
status					
Dirty fingernails	91(59.9)	61(40.1)	4.849(3.107-7.569)	2.534(1.354-4.74)	0.004*
Short& clean fingernails	340(87.9)	47(12.1)	1	1	
Habit of walking in					
barefoot					
No	288(93.5)	20(6.5)	1	1	
Yes	143(61.9)	88(38.1)	8.862(5.241-14.98)	6.49(2.753-15.302)	<0.0001*
Had information about					
hookworm infection					
No	237(70.3)	100(29. 7)	10.232(4.86-21.55)	6.013(2.625-13.776)	<0.0001*
Yes	194(96)	8(4)	1	1	

Keys *= Statisticaly Significant, COR=Crude odds Ratio, P=Level of Significance, AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio.

As shown in the above having dirty fingernails, had habit of walking in barefoot in outdoor and had no information about hook worm infection were positively associated with hook worm infection of adult OPD attendants; While others had no strong association in the multivariable logistic regression analysis in this study.

7. DISCUSSION

The Result from this facility based cross-sectional study showed that Prevalence of hookworm is 20% (95%CI=16.7-23.4). This result is higher than the study conducted in Nophitam nakohnsi thammarat Thailand 8% (35) and Dera district Northwest Ethiopia 14.7% (41). This might be due to socio demographic difference, lower deworming coverage in the adolescents& adults and also higher proportion of habit of walking in barefoot in the study area. This result is lower than the study conducted in Sokoto Sokoto State Nigeria (36) and Dembecha District North west Ethiopia (9) with the Prevalence of 71% 32% respectively. This might be due to Improved environmental and socio economic factors.

In the Present Study Adult Outpatient attendants who had a habit of walking in barefoot had 6.4 times (AOR=6.49, 95% CI ;(2.753-15.302), P value<0.0001) more likely to be infected by hookworm than who do not have. This result is in line with the study conducted in Lalo kile District, Oromia, Ethiopia 6 times (40). Whereas this study is higher than the studies done in Sokoto,sokoto state Nigeria 1.31 times(36),Dera distict North west Ethiopia 2.2 times(41) and Dembecha District North west Ethiopia 4.3times(9). This might be due to low health education coverage& poor intervention of the healh task force.

The result of this study reveals that respondents who had no information about hookworm infection increases chance of hookworm infection by 6 times (AOR=6.013, 95% CI; (2.625-13.776), p value<0.0001). This result is greater than the study done in Sokoto Sokoto State Nigeria (36); Meaning that the Percentage of infected respondents who had no Information about hookworm in Nigeria is 69.1% whereas in my study is 92.6% (100). This might be due to low educational status and limited access to health education in the district.

The result of this study also revealed that respondents with dirty finger nails had 2.5 times greater chance to be infected by hookworm (AOR=2.534, 95% CI (1.354-4.74), p value; 0.004) as compared to respondents with short and clean fingernails. Whereas in study done Nigeria is 1.43 odds;The percentage of hookworm infected respondents with dirty finger nails is 56.5% (61) than with short and clean fingernails 43.5% (47).The result is lower than the study conducted in Sokoto, Sokoto State Nigeria meaning that percentage of Infected respondents

having dirty finger nails is 89.1%(36). This might be due to cultural & socio demographic difference.

8. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

The Limitation of study is Direct Wet Mount Microscopy is less sensitive as compared to concentration diagnostic technique to detect light hookworm infection.

9. CONCLUSION

Hookworm infection among adult outpatient attendants in north mecha district was twenty percent which is low as compared to researches done previously. Hook worm infection among adult OPD attendants greater than 15 years were positively associated with habit of walking with barefoot, dirty fingernails and had no information about hookworm infection. Where as age, residence, education, occupation, monthly income, availability of latrine, main source of drinking water, frequency of hand washing after toilet and frequency of bathing were not significantly associated in multivariable analysis.

10. RECOMMENDATION

Health care providers

Health care providers should give an ongoing health education for their patients/clients on risks of walking in barefooted for hookworm infection, personal hygiene especially finger nails hygiene and about hookworm infection in general. Design and use agood model of communication to change habit of walking in barefoot for the public. Use of mass media communication is crucial. Should do hook worm screening in all service areas.

Government and policy makers

Government should monitor & evaluate health extension package performance emphasis on health education, hand hygiene/personal hygiene and regular shoe wearing.

Community

Should wear protective shoes always, stop walking in barefooted habit, Keeping fingernails hygienic, increase health seeking behavior & being informed about the disease and also implement health professional's advice.

Researchers

Awareness about hookworm infection and Atitude towards walking in barefoot should be studied. Large scale community based Study/research for additional body of knowledge is recommended.

11. REFERENCES

1. Jeremiah N, Carol A, Yulya S, Kacie M. Social and Economic Impact Review on Neglected Tropical Diseases. Washington DC: HUdson Institute 2012. 1-36.

2. Forrer A, Vounatsou P, Sayasone S, Vonghachack Y, Bouakhasith D, Utzinger J, et al. Risk Profiling of Hookworm Infection and Intensity in Southern Lao People's Democratic Republic Using Bayesian Models. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2015:9(3).

3. Despommier, D. D., Gwadz, R. W., Hotez, P. J., & Knirsch, C.A. Trichinella spiralis. Parasitic Diseases, 2000: 135-142.

4. CDC.Core elements of hospital antibiotic stewardship programs. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2017:1-201.

5. Centre for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. Hookworm life cycle, Centre for Disease Control and Prevention Press, USA; 2005.

6. Steenhard, N.R., et al., The role of pigs as transport hosts of the human helminths Oesophagostomum bifurcum and Necator americanus. Acta Tropica, 2000. 76(2): p. 125-130.

7. Loukas, A., et al., Hookworm infection. Nature Reviews Disease Primers, 2016. 3(1).

8. Tang, Y.T., et al., Genome of the human hookworm Necator americanus. Nature Genetics, 2014. 46(3): p. 261-269.

9. Misikir et al. Prevalence of hookworm infection Dembecha, North West Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth (2017) 20:457.

10. Pawlowski, Z.S., Schad, G., Stott, G., & Organization, W.H. Hookworm infection and anaemia: Approaches to Prevention and Control: Geneva: World Health Organization. 1991: 1-96.

11. Hotez, P.J. Hook worm disease in children. The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal, 1989:8(8), 516-520.

12. Hotez, P.J., & Pritchard, D.I. Hookworm infection. Scientific American, 1995:272(6), 68-74.

13. WHO.investing to overcome the global impact of neglected tropical diseases: third WHO report on neglected tropical diseases World Health Organization, 2015 Vol. 3: 1-191.

14.Hotez PJ, Brooker S, Bethony JM, Bottazzi ME, Loukas A, Xiao S Hookworm infection. N Engl J Med, 2004:351: 799 – 807.

15. Fekadu D, Petros B, Kebede A. Hookworm species distribution among school children in Asendabo Town, Jimma Zone, Southwest Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2008; 18 (2):53-6

16. Nasr, N.A., Al Mekhlafi, H. M., Ahmed, A., Roslan, M.A., & Bulgiba, A. towards an effective control programme of soil-transmitted helminth infections among Orang Asli in rural Malaysia. Part 2: Knowledge, attitude, and practices. Parasites & Vectors, 2013 6(1), 1-28.

17. Bethony JM, Brooker S, Albonico M, Geiger SM, Loukas A, Diemert DJ, Hotez PJ. Soil transmitted helminthes infections, Ascaris tridunasis and hookworm. Lancet. 2006;367:1521-1532.

18. Bethony JM, Chen J, Lin S, Xiao S, Zhan B, Li S, Xue H, Xing F, Humphries D, Yan W, Chen G, Foster VI, Hawdon J, Hotez P. Emerging patterns of hookworm infections. Influence of aging on the intensity of Necator infection in Hainan Province, People's Republic of China. Clinical Infectious Disease. 2002; 35:1336-1344.

19. Mckenna, mcatee,et,al Intestinal Parasites and Poor Sanitation in Alabama, American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene.2017; 97(5): 1623–1628.

20. Bartsch SM, Hotez PJ, Asti L, Zapf KM, Bottazzi ME, Diemert DJ, et al. the Global Economic and Health Burden of Human Hookworm Infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2016:10(9).

21. Walana W, Nana E, Crowther S. Prevalence of hookworm infection: a retrospective study in Kumasi. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2014;4(1):158–61.

22. Stoltzfus RJ, Dreyfuss ML, Chwaya HM, Albonico M. Hookworm control as a strategy to prevent iron deficiency. Nutr Rev 1997; 55:223-32.

23. Stoltzfus RJ, Chwaya HM, Tielsch JM, Schulze KJ, Albonico M, Savioli L. Epidemiology of iron deficiency anemia in Zanzibari schoolchildren: the importance of hookworms. Am J Clin Nutr 1997; 65:153-9.

24.Stephenson LS, Lathan MC, Adams EJ, Kinoti SN, Peter A. Physical fitness, growth and appetites of Kenyan School boys with hookworm, Trichuris trichuria and Ascaris lumbricoides: Infection improved four months after a single dose of albendazole. Journal of Nutrition. 2000;123:1036-1046.

25. Agbo B et, al Prevalence and Distribution of Hookworm Infection among Pupils of University of Calabar Staff School, Calabar, Nigeria. South Asian Journal of Parasitology.2019; 2(3): 1-8.

26. Bungiro R, Capello M. Hookworm infection: New developments and prospects for control. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases. 2004;17:421-426.

27. Devaney E. The end of line of hookworm, an update on vaccine development. PLOS. 2005;2:c327.

28. Nacher M, Singhasivanon P, Traore B, et al. Short report: hookworm infection is associated with decreased body temperature during mild Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2001;65:136-7.

29. Brooker S, Bethony J, Hotez PJ. Human hookworm infection in the 21st century. Adv Parasitol 2004; 58: 197-288.

30. De Silva NR, Brooker S, Hotez PJ, Montresor A, Engels D, Savioli L. Soil-transmitted helminth infections: updating the global picture. Trends Parasitol 2003; 19: 547-551.

31. Anyaeze CM. Reducing burden of hookworm disease in the management of upper abdominal pain in the tropics. Trop Doct 2003;33:174-5.

32. Guyatt H. Do intestinal nematodes affect productivity in adulthood? Parasitol Today 2000;16:153-8.

33. Leykun J. Soil transmitted- helminthic infection and S. Mansoni in school children from Chilga district, Northwest Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2001; 11:79–87.

34. Forrer et al. Strongyloides stercoralis and hookworm co-infection: spatial distribution and determinants in Preah Vihear Province, Cambodia.Parasites & Vectors. 2018; 11(33): 1-13.

35. Punsawad et al. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection and associated risk factors among village health volunteers in rural communities of southern Thailand. BMC Public Health. 2017; 17(564): 1-9.

36. Bala Aminu Yabo et al .Risk factors associated with hookworm infection among patients attending Usmanu Uanfodiyo University clinic, main campus, Sokoto, sokoto state, Nigeria. International Journal of Zoology and Applied Biosciences .2019; 4(2):46-52.

37. Halliday KE, Oswald WE, Mcharo C, Beaumont E, Gichuki PM, Kepha S, et al. (2019) Community-level epidemiology of soil-transmitted helminths in the context of school-based deworming: Baseline results of a cluster randomised trial on the coast of Kenya. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13(8): 1-22.

38. Allen, Emma, "Molecular Epidemiology Of Hookworm Infection In The Ashanti Region, Ghana." (2020). Public Health Theses. 2020; 1916:1-47.

39. Gebrehiwet et al. Prevalence and associated factors of soil transmitted helminthes among pregnant women attending antenatal care in Maytsebri primary hospital, North Ethiopia BMC Res Notes.2019; 12(644):1-6.

40. Yesuf et al. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection and associated factors among pregnant women attending antenatal care at public health facilities in Lalo Kile district, Oromia, Western Ethiopia BMC Research Notes (2019) 12:735; 1-6.

41. Shiferaw MB, Mengistu AD (2015) Helminthiasis: Hookworm Infection Remains a Public Health Problem in Dera District, South Gondar, Ethiopia. PLoS ONE 10(12):1-7. e0144588

42. Eyob T,Mitiku B,Mio A,et,al. Prevalence and Intensity of Soil-Transmitted Helminth Infection among Rural Community of Southwest Ethiopia: A Community-Based Study ,Hindawi BioMed Research International Volume 2019, Article ID 3687873,2019,1-7.

43. Loukouri A, Méite A, et, al. Prevalence, Intensity of Soil-Transmitted Helminths, and Factors Associated with Infection: Importance in Control Program with Ivermectin and Albendazole in Eastern Côte d'Ivoire ,Hindawi Journal of Tropical Medicine Volume 2019,2019; Article ID 7658594, 1-10.

44. Office NMpc. North Mecha woreda population size and distribution. 2012 E.C.

45. (Wikipedia), Weather condition of North mecha, August, 2012 E.C.

46. Authority NMwhahrc. Health institutions in North Mecha. 2012 E.C.

47. Elfu Feleke: Epidemiology of Hookworm Infection in the School-age Children; Iran Journal of Parasitology: 2018, Vol. 13(4), p.560-566.

48. Unpublished Annual Report of North Mecha District Health Office in 2012 Ethiopian Fiscal Year. Health Information and Plan office, North Mecha District Health Office, Merawi, Ethiopia.

49. UnPublished Annual Report of Merawi Primary Hospital in 2012 Ethiopian Fiscal Year. Health Information and Plan office, Merawi Hospital, Merawi, Ethiopia.

12. APPENDIX

I. Participant Consent Information Sheet in English

A. Participant Information Sheet

Greetings! My name is <u>Mullualem Asmare</u> from Bahirdar University, College of Medicine and Health sciences, School of Public Health, General Master of Public Health graduating Student and I want to conduct Research data collection among Adult Outpatient attendants about Prevalence of Hookworm Infection and associated factors. Human hookworm is a soiltransmitted helminth (STH) infection caused by either Necator americanus or Anclystoma duodenale.It is a major cause of morbidity globally and predominantly affects the world's poorest populations. Hookworm affects about 740 million people worldwide with 80 million people severely infected. The main Objective of this Study is to Assess Prevalence and Associated factors of Hookworm Infection among Adult Outpatient attendants in Health facilities of North Mecha District, NorthWest Ethiopia.The data collection will be from all Out patient attendants visiting health facilities during the study period.

You are selected to be one of the Participants in this study and you will help us by answering the question we ask you and by providing your stool sample to the laboratory department of health facility .In addition I ask you to know your stool Exam result and to get Treatment & Advice if needed; before leaving Health facility. We assure you that whatever answers you give us are kept strictly secret. We do not need your name and address. We also inform you that you have the full right to withdraw from study at any time and/or skip any questions that you don't want answer. You may find some of the questions or Activities difficult to respond; but your experience will be very helpful for other people. The Interview and sample provision takes approximately 20-30 minutes.

Do you have any question to ask?

Thank you very much!

Are you willing to participate in the study? A. Yes B. No

If yes go to next page.

B. Informed Consent agreement form

I, the undersigned have been informed that the purpose of this particular research project is to study Prevalence of Hookworm Infection and Associated Factors among Adult Outpatient attendants. I have been informed that I am going to respond to this question by answering What I know concerning the Issue and providing stool sample for exam .I have been informed that the information I give will be used only the purpose of this study; My Identity, the information I give will be treated confidentially, I have also been Informed that I can refuse to participate in the study or not to respond to questions/activities I am not interested. Furthermore, I have been informed that I can stop responding to the question/activity at the time in the process. Based on the above information I agree to participate in the research voluntarily with the hope of contributing (On behalf of one) to the effort of knowing prevalence and associated factors of hookworm among adults.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name of Principal Investigator:-Mullualem asmare

Cellphone: - +251936593083

Name of Advisor: - Yihun Mulugeta

Cellphone: - +251946466150

Name of Co-Advisor:- Gebeyehu Tsega

Cellphone: - +251978807477

Bahirdar University

II. Questionnaire in English

<u>Checklist for data collection on prevalence and associated factors of hookworm infection among</u> <u>adult outpatient attendants in public health facilities of North mecha district.</u>

Hello, my name is ______ I am one of the data collectors on the study with the above topic. I would like to cooperate in answering the questions that follow. You have the right to refuse.

Name of Interviewer	Date
---------------------	------

E	nd time	
	E	End time

Interviewer agreement

I Certify that I have filled this questionnaire in accordance to the training I was given. I have checked this questionnaire and confirmed that the information in it is correct.

Signature	Date	
-----------	------	--

Name of health Facility _____ Questionnaire code _____

MRN _____

Pa	art 1. Information on Participant's Socio dem	ographic characteristics	
No	Questions	Responses	Skip
101	Sex of respondent	Male	
		Female	
102	What is your Age in years?	years	
103.	What is Your Marital status?	A. Single	
		B. Married	
		C. Divorced	
		D. Widowed	
104.	What is Your Educational Status?	A. Unable read and write	
		B. Able to read and write but no	
		formal education	
		C. Primary Education	
		D. Secondary Education	
		E. Higher Education.	
105	What is your Occupation?	A. Farmer	
		B. Employee	
		C. Businessman	
		D. Housewife	
		E. Student	
		F. Other	
		specify	
106	Where is your Residence?	A. Urban	
		B. Rural	
-	What is your Religion?	A. Orthodox	
107		B. Muslim	
		C. Catholic	
		D. Protestant	
		E. others specify	
108	How much is your household monthly	birr	
	income in Ethiopian Birr?		
Pa	art II. Participant's Information on Environm	ental characteristics	
109	Do you have a latrine in your household?	A. Yes	If "No"
		B. No	skip to
			Qno.112
110	If yes for Q no. 109, do you use the	A. yes	
	latrine?	B. no	
111	. If yes for Q no. 109, how often do you	A. Always	
	use the latrine?	B. Most of the time	
		C. Sometimes	
		D. Rarely	
112	What is the main source of drinking water	A. Pipe water	
	for you & your household?	B. protected Well	
		C. Unprotected well	

		D protected spring water	
		E Surface water	
		F Others specify	
Г	Part III Participants Information on Personal	factors	
1	How frequently you wash your hands? (For	Questions no. 113 116)	
112	Pafora proparing food?		
115	before preparing food?	A. Always D. Most of the time	
		B. Most of the time	
		C. Sometimes	
		D. Rarely	
114		E. not at all	
114	Immediately after toilet or after	A. Always	
	defecation?	B. Most of the time	
		C. Sometimes	
		D. Rarely	
		E. not at all	
115	Before eating food?	A. Always	
		B. Most of the time	
		C. Sometimes	
		D. Rarely	
		E. not at all	
116	After disposal of child's excreta?	A. Always	
		B. Most of the time	
		C. Sometimes	
		D. Rarely	
		E. not at all	
117	What type of hand washing materials used	A. Water and Soap	
	after toilet?	B. Water and Ash	
		C. Water alone	
		D. Other specify	
118	Have you had a habit of taking bath/or	A. Yes	If "no"
	shower?	B. No	Que 120
119	If yes for Q.no 118, how often do you	A. >1 a week	
	take?	B. Once a week	
		C. Once every 2 up to 3 weeks	
		D. Once a month	
		E. Other specify	
120	What is Your Fingernails Hygienic status?	A. Dirty fingernails	
	(By Interviewing and inspection of both	B. Short and clean fingernails	
	hands)		
	,		
121	Have you had afoot wear/shoes?	A. Yes	If "no"
	,	B. No	Oue 123
122	If yes for O no 121, do you wear a shoes?	A. Always	
		B. Mostly	
		C. Sometimes	
		D Rarely	
L	1	1. I.	1

		E. Not at all	
123	Have you had a habit of Walking in	A. Yes	
	barefoot (Out Door)?	B. No	
124	Have you heared Information about	A. Yes	
	Hookworm infection? (Main mode of	B. No	
	transmission, Prevention & availability of		
	treatment should be answered.)		
	Part IV. Participants Information on Stool	exam and recommended actions.	-
125	Do you able to provide Stool Sample?	A. Yes	
		B. No.	
To be	filled during and after Stool microscopy		-
126	Stool Exam performed?	A. Yes	If "no"
		B. No.	Stop
			here
127	Result of Stool Exam for hookworm?	A. Positive	
		B. Negative.	
128	Result of Stool Exam other than	No Observable parasite seen	
	hookworm?	Positive, Any parasite seen	
		(specify)	
129	Had the Participant Know his Stool Exam	A. Yes	
	result?	B. No.	
130	Had the Participant Linked to respective	A. Yes	
	OPD for Treatment&/or Counseling	B. No, Reason	
	service if answer is Positive for Questions		
	service if answer is rositive for Questions		

Thank you!!

ጣቢይቅ

I.የ ተሳ*ታ*ፊዎች የ *ሚ*ጃና ስምምነ ት ቅጽ

ሀ.ሚጃ

ጠፍ ይስጥልኝ ስሜ መሉአለም አስሚረ እባላለሁ! በባህርዳር ዩኒቨርስቲየህክምናና ጠፍ ሳይንስ ኮሌጅ ፣ በህ/ሰብ ጠፍ ትምህርት ቤት ስር፣ የ 2ኛ ዲግሪ የማህበረሰብ ጠፍ ተመራቂ ተማሪ ነኝ፡፡ በአሁኑ ሰዓት የመንጠቆ ትል በሽታ ስርጭትና ተያያዥ ጉዳዮች በሚል ርዕስ በሰ/ሜሜ ወረዳ ባሉ ጠፍ ተቁማት ለመገልነል ከሚሞጡ ዕድሜክቸው 15 ዓመትና በላይ ከሆናቸው ተመላላሽ ታካሚዎች ጥናት እያደረግሁ ነው፡፡ የመንጠቆ ትል በሽታ በዋናነት የሚመገው ወይ ኔካተር አሜሪካነስ አልያም አንሲለስቶማ ዶደናሌ በሚበሉ ረቂቅ ጥገኛ ተህዋስያን አማካኝነት ነው፡፡ በዓለም አቀፍ ደረጃ ወደ 740 ሚሊዮን የሚጡ ሰዎች በበሽታው ተጠቅተዋል፡፡ የዚህ ጥናት ዋና ዓላማ የመንጠቆ ትል በሽታ ስርጭትና ተያያዥ ጉዳዮች ዓሁናዊ ሁኔታ በሰሜን ሜሜ ወረዳ ባሉ የመንግስት ጠፍ ተቋማት ወስጥ ለመገል ከሚሞጡ ዕድሜክቸው 15 ዓመትና በላይ በሆናቸው ተመላላሽ ታካሚዎች ምን እንደሚጣስል ለማዎቅ ነው፡፡ መረጃው የሚከበሰበው በጥናቱ ወቅትና ቦታ ወደ ጠፍ ተቋማት ውስጥ ለመገል ከ መምጡ ወድ የማ ጠቆ ተል በሽታ ስርጭ የማስበላበው የምናት ወቅትና በታ በ የትምት መስጥ ለመገል ከ የሚጡስ የማስት ነው፡፡

እርስዎ በዚህ ጥናት ተሳታፊዎች እንዲሆኑ ተመርጠዋል እናም እኛ የጠየቅነውን ጥያቄ በመመለስ እና የሰገራ ናጣዋን ለጠፍ ተቋም ላቦራቶሪ ክፍል በማቅረብ ይረዱናል ፡፡ በተጨገሪም የሰገራዎን የምርመራ ውጠት እንዲያወቁ እጠይቃለሁ፡፡ በተጨገሪም የምርመራ ውጠትዎ ሕክምና የሚያስፈልገው ከሆነ የሕክምና እና/ወይም የምክር አገልግሎት አግኝተውእንዲሄዱ በትህትና አሳስባለሁ፡፡ የሚሰጡን ማንኛውም መረጃ ሆነ የምርመራ ውጠት በምስጠር የተያዙ መሆናቸውን እናረ ጋግጥልዎታለን፡፡ የእርስዎ ስም እና የመግሪያ አድራሻ አይጠቀስም፡፡ እንዲሁም በማንኛውም ጊዜ ጥናቱን የማቹረጥ ወይም መመለስ የማይፈልጉትን ማንኛውንም ጥያቄ ለመዝለል መሎ መበት እንዳሎት እናሳውቅዎታለን፡፡ አንዳንድ ጥያቄዎች ወይም ተግባራት ለመመለስ አስቸጋሪ ሊሆኖ ጠዎት ይችላሉ፡፡፡ ነገር ግን የእርስዎ ተሳትፎ ለሌሎች ሰዎች በጣም አስፈላጊ ነው፡፡ የታለ ማጠይቁ እና የናመና አቅርቡቱ በግምት ከ20-30 ደቂቃዎች ይወስዳል፡፡

ጥያቄ አሉዎት?

በጣምአጣስግናለሁ!

በጥናቱ ለመሳተፍ ፈቃደኛ ነ ዎት? ሀ.አዎ ለ.አይደለሁም

መልሱ አዎ ከሆነ ወደ ቀጣዩ ገጽ ይሂዱ።

ለ .የስምምነትቅጽ

እኔ ከዚህ በታች የፈረምሁት የዚህ የምርምር ፕሮጀክት ዓላጣየ ማጠቆ ትል በሽታ ስርጭትና ተያያዥ ጉዳዮች ዓሁናዊ ሁኔታ በሰሜን ሜጭወረዳ ባሉ የመንግስት ጠፍ ተቋማት ወስጥ ለመ ልገል ከሚሞኩዕድሜንቸው 15 ዓመትና በላይ በሆናቸው ተመላላሽ ታካሚዎች ጥናት ለማድረግ እንደሆነ ተነግሮኛል፡፡ ጉዳዩን አስመልክቶ የማወቀወን በመመለስ እና የሰገራ ናመና እንደምስጥ ተነግሮኛል፡፡ የምስጠው መረጃ ለዚህ ጥናት ዓላጣ ብቻ እንደማወል ተገልፆልኛል፡፡ ማንነቴና የምስጠው መረጃ በሚስጥር የሚስተናገድ ነው፤ በጥናቱ ላይ ለመስተፍም ሆነ ለማቹረጥ ብፈልግ በማንኛወም ሰዓት ከጥናቱ መውጣት እንደምችል ተነግሮኛል፡፡ ከላይ በተጠቀሰው መረጃ ላይ በመመርኮዝ ለምርምሩ በፈቃደኝነት ለመስተፍ እስማግለሁ፡፡

ፊርማ_____ ቀን____

የ ጥና ት አድራጊው ስም - ጣትአለም አስማረ

ምባይል ስልክ: - + 251936593083

የአማካሪስም- ይሁን ማትጌታ

ምባይል ስልክ: - + 251946466150

የባልደረባ አማካሪ ስም- ነበየ ሁወጋ

የ ምባይል ስልክ: - + 251978807477

ባህርዳር Բኒቨርሲቲ

በሰ*ሜ ሜ*መወረዳ በ*ሚ* ፑየ ማግስት *ሰ*ፍ ተቋማት ወስጥ በአዋቂ የ ተመላላሽ ህክምና ተገልጋዮች መካከል የ ማስሰቆ ትል በሽታ ስር መትና ተያያዥ ጉዳዮች ላይ መረጃ መነብሰብያ ቅጽ፡፡ ጠፍ ይስጥልኝ ስሜ______ይባላል፡፡ ከላይ ከተጠቀሰውርዕስ ጋር በጥናቱ ላይ ከሚገኙት ሚገጃ ሰብሳቢዎች አንዱነኝ፡፡ ለምጠይቅዎት ጥያቄዎች መልስ በመስጠት እንዲተባበሩኝ እፈልጋለሁ፡፡ በጥናቱ ያለመሳተፍ መበት አለዎት፡፡

የ ቃለ ጣቢይቅ አድራጊውስም_____ ቀን____

የመሻጊዜ______የማብቂያጊዜ_____

የ ,ቃለ -ጣቢይቅ ስምምነ ት

በተሰጠኝ ስልጡና መሥረት ይህንን መጡይቅ እንደሞላሁኝ አረ*ጋግጣ*ለሁ፡፡ ይህንን መጡይቅ አጣርቼ በወስጡ ያለውመረጃ ትክክል መሆኑን አረ*ጋግጫ*ልሁ፡፡

ቆርማ_____ቀን____

የ ጠፍ ተቋምስም_____ የ ጣኪይቅ ኮድ_____

የ ተሳ ታፊውየ ህክምና ካርድ ቁጥር -----

ክፍል I. የ	ተሳ <i>ታ</i> ፊወ⁄ዋ <i>ማ</i> ህበራዊ-ስነ -ህዝብ ባህሪዎች ላ	ይመረጃ	
ተ.ቁ	ጥያ ቄ ዎች	ምላሾች	ይዝለሉት
101	ጾታ	ሀ. ወንድ	
		ለ.ሴት	

102	ልድማዎ በዓመት ስንት ነው ^ን	9 <i>ம</i> ர்	
102	የ ጋብቻ ሁኔ ታዎ ምን ዮን ነ ውን	υ e δ 2 0 /ቾ	
105		Δ <i>θ</i> η η / Τ	
		ሐ.የ ፈታ/ች	
		ሚ የ ሞተባት/የ ሞተችበት	
104	የ ትምህር ት ሁኔ ታዎ ምንድን ነ ው?	ሀ.ማንበብእና መጻፍ	
		የ ማትቸል/የ ማይቸል	
		ለ.ማንበብእና መጻፍ የምትችል/	
		የማቸል	
		ሐ.የ መጀመሪያ ደረጃ ትምህርት	
		ያ ጠና ቀቀ/ች	
		መየ ሁለ ተኛ ደረጃ ት/ት ያ ጠና ቀቀ/ች	
		<i>ሠ</i> .ኮሌጅ እና ከዛ በላይ	
105	ስራዎት ምንድን ነ ው	ሀ.አርሶ አደር	
		ለ. ተቀጣሪ	
		ሐ. ነ <i>ጋ</i> ኤ	
		<i>ሚ</i> የ ቤት እ <i>ሚ</i> ቤት	
		<i>พ.</i> ተ <i>ጫ</i>	
		ረ. ሌሎች ካሉ ይባለጹ	
106	የ ማሪያ አድራሻ?	<i>ህ.ከተማ</i>	
		ለ.7 ጠር	
107	እምነ ትዎ ምንድን ነ ው?	ሀ.ኦርቶዶክስ ተዋህዶ	
		ለ. <i>ጣ</i> ስሊም	
		ሐ.ካቶሊክ	
		<i></i> ማፕሮቴስ <i>ታ</i> ንት	
		<i>ሥ</i> .ሌላ ካለ ይገለጽ	
108	.የቤተሰብዎ ወርሀዊ ነቢምን ያህል ነ ዉ?	ብር	
ክፍል II	. የአካባቢያዊ ባህሪያትን በተማለከተ የተሳታኔ	.ዎች ሚ ጃ	
109	በቤተሰብዎ ውስጥ ማጻዳጃ ቤት አለዎት?	ሀ.አዎ	<i>ሞ</i> ልሱ'
		ለ.የለም	'የለም''
			ከሆነ ''112''
110	ለጥያቄ ቁጥር 109 መልስዎ አዎ ከሆነ	ሀ.አዎ	
	የ መዳጃ ቤቱን ይጠቀጣትታል?	ለ.የለም	
111	ለጥያቄ ቁጥር 109 መልስዎ አዎ ከሆነ	ሀ. ሁል ጊዜ	
	የ መዳጃ ቤቱን ምን ያህል ጊዜ ይጠቀጣሉ?	ለ.አብዛኛውን ጊዜ	
		ሐ. አንዳንድ ጊዜ	
		ማ አልፎ አልፎ	
112	ለእርስዎ እና ለቤተሰብዎ ዋናዉየ <i>ሚ</i> ጠዮ	ሀ የ ቧን ቧ ወሃ	
	ውሃ ምንጭምንድንነው?	ለ. የ ተጠበቀ ጉድጓድ ውሃ	

	ф.	ያልተጠበቀ ጉድጓድ ወሂ	
	an	የ ተጠበቀ የ ምን ጭውሃ	
	υ.	የገጸ ምድር ውሃ	
	۷.	ሌሎች ካሉ ይባለጹ	
ክፍለ III ፣	ተሰ ተፈዎች በ ባለዋ ሁኔ ታዎች ለ ይ መሃ ጀ		<u> </u>
እሯዎን ም	ን የክል ጊዜ ይታጠባሉ? (ለጥየቄዎች 113-117)		
113	መጣብ ከማዝ ግጆትዎ በፈት?	11/11-10 2 日	
115		0/08 216 Л/ Ł ЛН Č ЛЗ Э Н	
		L/ 2 3 6 3 6 9 H	
114	ወዲያ ወኑ ከወፀዳዳ ቤተ መልበ ወይም ከበግራ	U/UA 2.16	
	በኋላ?	ለ/አብዛናወንጊዜ	
		ሐ/ አንዳንድ ጊዜ	
		ማአልፎ አልፎ	
		<i>พ</i> /በ <i>ሜ</i> ራሽአልታጠበም	
115	ምንብ ከመበላ ትዎ በፊት?	ሀ/ሁል ጊዜ	
		ለ/አብዛኛዉን ጊዜ	
		ሐ/ አንዳንድ ጊዜ	
		ማአልፎ አልፎ	
		<i>ሠ/በ ጭ</i> ራሽአልታጠበም	
116	ልጆችን ካጸዳዱበኋላ?	ሀ/ሁል ጊዜ	
		ለ/አብዛኛዉን ጊዜ	
		ሐ⁄ አንዳንድ ጊዜ	
		ማአልፎ አልፎ	
		<i>ሠ/በ ጭ</i> ራሽአልታጠበም	
117	ከመፀዳጃ ቤት በኋላ በምን ዓይነ ት የ እጅ መታጠ	Lያ ሀ/በውሃእናሳ <i>መ</i> ዋ	
	ቁሳቁሶች ይታጠባሉ?	ለ/በወሃ እና አ <i>መ</i> ድ	
		ሐ/ በ ወሃ ብቻ	
		ማ ሌሎች ካሉ ይማለጹ	
118	<i>ገ</i> ላዎን የ <i>ሙ</i> ታጠበ ልማድ አለዎት?	υ/አዎ	ማልሱ
		ለ/የለም	''የለም''
			ከሆነ ''120''
119	ለጥያቄ ቁጥር 118 መልስዎ አዎ ከሆነ ስንት ጊዘ	ሀ በሳምንት ከአንድ ጊዜ	
	ይወስዳሉ?	በላይ።	
		ለ/በሳምንት አንድ ጊዜ	
		ሐ/አንዴበየ 2እስከ 3	
		ሳምን ታት	
		-	

		መ/በወር አንድጊዜ	
		<i>ሠ</i> /ሌለ ች ካሉ ይባለጹ	
120	የ ጥፍሮችዎ ንፅህና ሁኔ ታ ምንድንይጣስላል?	ሀ/የ ቆሸሹ ጥፍሮች	
	(በቃለ ጣጤይቅ እና ሁለቱንምእጆች በማየት)	ለ/አ <i>ሜ</i> ር እና ንጹህ ጥፍሮች	
121	የእባር መግአለዎት?	υ/አ <i>P</i>	<i>ሞ</i> ልሱ'
		ለ/የለም	'የለም'
			ከሆነ ''123''
122	ለተራቁጥር 121 መልሱ አዎ ከሆነ ምን ያክል ጊዜ	ሀ ሁል ጊዜ	
	<i>ጫ</i> ግ ይለ ብሳ ሉ?	ለ .አ ብዛ ኛ ዉን ጊ ዜ	
		ሐ.አንዳንድ ጊዜ	
		ሚአልፎ አልፎ	
		<i>พ</i> .በ <i>ጭ</i> ራሽ አልለብስም	
123	በባዶ እ <i>ግርዎት</i> (ከቤት ወሜ) የ <i>መ</i> ራመድ ልማድ	<i>ህ/</i> አዎ	
	አለዎት?	ለ/የለኝም	
124	ስለ መንጠቆ ትል አንፈክሽን መሃጀ ሰምተዉ	<u>ሀ/አ</u> ዎ	
121	የዉቃሉ? (ዋናውየ መተላለፍየ ዘዴ፤ የመከላከየ	ለ /አላ ወቅም	
	መንገዱና ሕክምና ስለመኖሩ መመላስ አለበት : :)		
ክፍል IV.	 የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናጣና ላይ ሚጃ እና የ <i>ሚ</i> ጣሩ	እር <i>ም</i> ጃዎች።	
ክፍል IV. 125	 የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የመመከሩ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ?	እርምጃዎች። ሀ/ አዎ	
ክፍል IV. 125	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የ ሚመነፉ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ?	እር <i>ምጃዎች።</i> ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልቸልም	
ክፍል IV. 125 በሰ <i>ገ ራ ጣ</i>	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የ ሚመኑፉ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ	እር <i>ም</i> ጃዎች። ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልችልም	
ክፍል IV. 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል	እር <i>ም</i> ጃዎች። ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልችልም ሀ/አዎ	መልሱ' '
ክፍል IV. 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል	እር <i>ምጃዎች።</i> ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልቸልም ሀ/አዎ ለ/ አልተደረ <i>ገ</i> ም	ማልሱ' ' አልተደረገም''
ክፍል IV. 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚጃ እና የሚጣሩ የሰገራ ናማና ጣስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምርማራ ተደርጓል	እር <i>ም</i> ጃዎች። ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልችልም ሀ/አዎ ለ/ አልተደረ <i>ገ</i> ም	መልሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ
ክፍል IV. 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል	እር <i>ምጃዎች።</i> ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልቸልም ሀ/አዎ ለ/ አልተደረ <i>ገ</i> ም	ማልሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ''ያቋሙ'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ማ</i> 126 127	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምር ሙ ተደርጓል የ ማጣቶ ትል የሰገራ ምር ሙ ውጠት?	እር ምጃዎች። ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልችልም ሀ/አዎ ለ/ አልተደረ ነም ሀ/ፖዝ ቲቭ	መልሱ' ' አልተደረገ ም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁሙ'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126 127	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናመና ላይ መረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናመና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሎ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል የመንጠቆ ትል የሰገራ ምርመራ ወጠታ?	እር ምጃዎች።	ማልሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ''ያቁሙ'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ማ</i> 126 127 128	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚሞሉ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምር ምራ ተደርጓል የ ማጣቶ ትል የሰገራ ምር ምራ ወጠት? ከማጠቶ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምር ምራ ወጠት?	እር ምጃዎች። ሀ/ አዎ ለ/ አልችልም ሀ/አዎ ለ/ አልተደረገ ም ሀ/ፖዝ ቲቭ ለ/ነ ጌ ቲቭ። ሀ/ተውሳክ አይታይም	<u>መ</u> ልሱ' ' አልተደረ <i>ገ</i> ም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁሙ'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126 127 128	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሎ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል የማጠቆ ትል የሰገራ ምርመራ ወጠታ? ከማጠቆ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምርመራ ወጠታ?	እር ምጃዎች።	ማእሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁምት'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126 127 128	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚሞሉ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምር ምራ ተደርጓል የ ማጣቶ ትል የሰገራ ምር ምራ ወጠት? ከማጠቶ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምር ምራ ወጠት?	እር ምጃዎች።	መልሱ' ' አልተደረ <i>ገ ም</i> '' ከሆነ '' <i>ያ ቁሙ</i> '
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ማ</i> 126 127 128	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚሞሉ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምር መራ ተደርጓል የ ማንጠቆ ትል የሰገራ ምር መራ ውጠት? ከማንጠቆ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምር መራ ውጠት?	እር ምጃዎች።	ማልሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁሙ'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ጣ</i> 126 127 128	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚመሉ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የ ሚሞሎ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል የማጠቆ ትል የሰገራ ምርመራ ወጠት? ከማጠቆ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምርመራ ወጠት?	እር ምጃዎች።	ማእሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁምት'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ማ</i> 126 127 128 129	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚቀቁሩ የሰገራ ናማና መስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምር ምራ ተደርጓል የማጠቆ ትል የሰገራ ምር ምራ ወጠቅ? ከማጠቆ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምር ምራ ወጠቅ? ተሳታፊውየሰገራ ናማና ወጠታቸዉን አዎቁ?	እር ምጃዎች።	መልሱ' ' አልተደረገ ም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁሙ'
<u>ክፍል IV.</u> 125 በሰ <i>ነ ራ ማ</i> 126 127 128 129	የተሳታፊዎች የሰገራ ናማና ላይ ሚረጃ እና የሚጣሩ የሰገራ ናማና ጣስጠት ይችላሉ? ይክሮስኮፒ ወቅት እና በኋላ የሚሞሉ የሰገራ ምርመራ ተደርጓል የማጠቆ ትል የሰገራ ምርመራ ውጠት? ከማጠቆ ትል ወጭሌላ የሰገራ ምርመራ ውጠት? ተሳታፊውየሰገራ ናማና ውጤታቸዉን አዎቁ?	እር ምጃዎች። U/ አዎ ለ/ አልችልም U/አዎ ለ/ አልተደረገም U/ፖዝቲቭ ለ/ነ ጌቲቭ። U/ተውሳክ አይታይም ለ/ ፖዝቲቭ ፣ ማንኛውምየ ታየ ተውሳክ ካለ ይግለጹ 	መልሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ''ያ ቁሙ'
h ፍ Δ IV. 125 በ ሲ τ ራ ማ 126 127 128 129 130	የ ተሳታፊዎች የ ሰ ን ራ ና ማ ላይ ማረጃ እና የ ሚጣሉ የ ሰ ን ራ ና ማ ጣስ	入 に 列写 夕千:: リ/ ト ク ヘ/ 木 ム 千 ム ም リ/木 ク ヘ/ 木 ム 千 エ ス つ デ リ/ス ク ヘ/ ホム ナ エ ス つ デ リ/ア ユ モ 石 ヘ/ ト ム ナ エ ス つ デ リ/ア ユ モ 石 ヘ/ ト ユ モ 石 三 ヘ/ ト ユ モ 石 三 ハ/ ト ユ モ 石 三 ハ/ ア 田 セ 石 三 ヘ/ ア 田 セ 石 三 ハ ノ ナ 四 カ ト ム ይ プ ム 果 レ/ 木 ク リ/ 木 ク レ/ 木 ク リ/ 木 ク	ማእሱ' ' አልተደረገም'' ከሆነ ·'ያቁሙ

ከፍሎች ሀክምና እና/ወይም የምክር አንልግሎት	
አግኝተዋል?	

ከልብ*አማ*ሳ*ግናለሁ*!!

DECLARATION

I, the under signed, declared that this is my original work, has never been presented in this or any other University, and that all the resources and materials used for the research, have been fully acknowledged.

Principal investigator

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

APPROVAL OF THESIS RESULT FOR SUBMISSION

I hereby certify that I have supervised, read, and evaluated this final thesis titled "Prevalence of hook worm infection and its associated factors among adult outpatient attendants in public health facilities of North Mecha district, North west Ethiopia" by Mullualem Asmare. prepared under my guidance. I recommend this final thesis to be submitted to the department for evaluation.

Advisor		
Name:		
Signature:		
Date:		
Co-Advisor		
Name:		
Signature:		
Date:		
Departmen	t Head	
Name:		
Signature:		
Date:		

Approval of Thesis for Defense Result

We hereby certify that we have examined this thesis entitled "Prevalence of hook worm infection and its associated factors among adult outpatient attendants in public health facilities of North Mecha district, North west Ethiopia; a facility based cross-sectional study" by Mullualem Asmare. We recommend and approve the thesis a degree of "partial fulfillment of master in General Master of public Health".

Board of Examiners		
External examiner's name	Signature	Date
Internal examiner's name	Signature	Date
Chair person's name	Signature	Date